### STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

## IN THE MATTER OF:



 Reg. No. :
 2011-26228

 Issue No. :
 5016

 Case No.:
 June 15, 2011

 Hearing Date:
 June 15, 2011

 DHS County:
 Wayne (57)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Jan Leventer

# HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to Michigan Compiled Laws (MCL) 400.9 and 400.37 and Claimant he aring request of March 16, 2011. After due notic e, a telephone hearing was conducted on June 15, 2011. The Claimant appeared and testified. Eligibility Specialist, and Family Independence Manager, appeared and testified for the Department of Human Services (DHS).

#### **ISSUE**

Whether DHS denied Claimant's request fo r State Emergency Relief (SER) energy services assistance in accordance with its policy and procedure?

## FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on competent, material and substantial evidence in the record and on the entire record as a whole, finds as fact:

- 1. In or about March, 2011, Claimant appl ied for SER as sistance with his heat and electricity bills.
- 2. Claimant's heat and electric services fo r his current residence ar e not shut off and there is no threat of shutoff.
- 3. On March 16, 2011, Claimant requested a hearing with DHS.

#### CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

SER was established by 2004 Michigan Public Acts (PA) 344. SER is administere d pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.* and Mic higan Administrative Code Rules 400.700 1-400.7049. DHS' SER polic ies are found in the State Em ergency Relief Manual (ERM). This Manual is available online at <u>www.michigan.gov/dhs-manuals</u>.

ERM is a policy and procedure do cument DHS officially creat ed for its own use. While ERM is not law created by the U.S. Congress or the Michigan Legislature, it constitutes legal authority which DHS must follow. It is to the ERM that I look now, in order to see what policies apply in this case. After setting forth what the applicable policies are, I will examine whether they were followed in this case.

Claimant's application for SER benefits for his utilities is governed by ERM 301, "Energy Services." This policy requires that there must be a threat that a utility will be shut of f, or, if it is already shut off, there must be pr oof that it is necessar y to restore it. ERM 301, p. 1.

In reaching my decision I reviewed all of t he evidence and testimony in this case as a whole. I find and c onclude t hat neither of these emergencie s exists in this case. Claimant's account inf ormation states that he is not in shut off status, no shutoffs are scheduled, and his balanc e owing has been referred to a c ollection entity. DHS correctly denied SER energy services to Claimant in this case and I AFFIRM the action taken by DHS. *Id.* 

In conclusion, based on the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, I determine that DHS is AFFIRMED. DHS need take no further action in this matter.

#### **DECISION AND ORDER**

Based on the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, the Administrative Law Judge AFF IRMS the Department's decision. DHS need take no further action in this matter.

Jan Leventer Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: June 20, 2011

2011-26228/JL

Date Mailed: June 21, 2011

**NOTICE**: Administrative Hearings may or der a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at t he request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hear ings will not orde r a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

