STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

Reg. No: 2011-25876 Issue No: 2006 Case No: Load No: Hearing Date: August 17, 2011 Shiawassee County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Landis Y. Lain

REHEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administ rative Law Judge by authority of MC L 400.9 and MCL 400.37. This is a tellephone hearing he Id August 17, 2011. The Claimant's representative, from appeared and testified.

ISSUE

Whether the Department of H uman Servic es (Department) properly processed the Claimant's Medical Assistance (MA) application?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. The Autho rized Repr esentative submitted a Cover letter, Facility Admis sion Notice, and Authoriz ation to Represent to the Department on behalf of the Claimant on January 29, 2009. (Department Exhibit 1 pgs 4-9).
- The Authorized Representative submitted a completed DHS-1171 Application for Assistance and DHS 3243 Retroactive Medical Assistance Applic ation on March 6, 2009. (Department Exhibit 1 pgs 11-28).
- 3. The Department used the filing date of March 6, 2009 as the application date and stated that the Applicant was not eligible for Retro-MA for October, November or December 2008. (Department Hearing Summary).
- 4. On Januar y 11, 2010, the Department received the Claimant 's Request for Hearing.

- 5. On July 27, 2010, a hearing was held by Administrative Law Judge Jana Bachman.
- 6. Judge Bachman is no longer affiliated wi th the Michigan Administrative Hearing System.
- 7. On February 16, 2011, Adminis trative Law Judge Kandra Robbins issued a Decision and Order Affirming the department's decision.
- 8. On March 15, 2011, rehearing/reconsideration stating that had submitted a DHS-1171 Filing form on January 29, 2009, which alleges should have pres erved the application date for the retroactive months of October, November, and December 2008.
- 9. On July 28, 2011, Administrative Law J udge Manager Marya Nelson-Davis issued an Order that a r ehearing be held and assigned to Ad ministrative Law Judge Vicki Armstrong.
- 10. On the date of hearing Administrative Law Judge Armstrong was unavailable and the case was reassigned to Administrative Law Judge Landis Y. Lain.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The regulations governing the hearing and appeal process for applicants and recipients of public assistance in Michigan are found in the Michigan Administrative Code, MAC R 400.901 - .951. An opportunity for a hearing shall be granted to an applicant who requests a hearing because his claim for a ssistance is denied. MAC R 400.903(1) An opportunity for a hearing shall be granted to an applicant who requests a hearing shall be granted to an applicant who requests a hearing shall be granted to an applicant who requests a hearing shall be granted to an applicant who requests a hearing because of a denial. MAC R 400.903(2).

Clients have the right to cont est a department decis ion affect ing eligibility or benefit levels whenever it is belie ved that the decision is inc orrect. BAM 600. The department will provide an adm inistrative hearing to review the decision and determine the appropriateness. BAM 600.

A rehearing is a full hearing which is granted when:

- The original hearing record is inadequate for purposes of judicial review;
- There is newly discovered evidence **that existed** at the time of the origina I hearing, that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.

A **reconsideration** is a paper review of the facts, law and any new evidence or legal arguments. It is granted when the original hearing record is adequate for purposes of judicial review and a rehearing is **not** necessary, but one of the parties believes the ALJ failed to accurately address all the relevant issues **raised in the hearing request**.

Rehearing/ Reconsideration Requests

All Programs

The department, client or aut horized hearing representative may file a writte n request for rehearing/reconsideration. Request a r ehearing/ reconsideration when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing, and that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion.
- Typographical, mathematical, or other obv ious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client.
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The Department, AHR or the client must specify all reasons for the request.

A written request from the local office for a rehearing/reconsideration must be sent to the Divis ion of Family Program Policy in central office for a recommendation. The written request must include all of the following:

- A copy of the decision and order.
- A copy of the hearing summary and all evidence presented at the hearing.
- Explain why a rehearing / reconsideration is appropriate.

Send requests to:

Division of Family Program Policy Grand Tower Building, Suite 1307 PO Box 30037 Lansing MI 48909

Or fax to: (517) 335-7771

Or email the appropriate policy email box per, BEM 100.

If OPP supports the local office request, the request shall be made a part of the record. OPP will forward the request to all parties including; MAHS, the c lient, AHR, and the requesting local office.

AHR or client Requests

2011-25876/LYL

A written request made by the AHR or, if none, by the client, must be faxed to:

(517) 335-6088- Attention: MAHSClient Requested Rehearing/Reconsideration

All Requests

MAHS will not review any response filed to any rehearing/reconsideration requests.

A request must be received within 30 days of the date the hearing decision is mailed. The request must be received as follows:

- Department request -- received in MAHS.
- Client or authorized hearing representative request -- received anywhere in DHS.

Granting A Rehearing/ Reconsideration

All Programs

MAHS will either grant or deny a rehearing/reconsideration request and will send written notice of the decision to all parties to the original hearing.

MAHS grants a rehearing/reconsideration request if:

The information in the request justifies it; and

- There is t ime to rehear/recon sider the case and implement the resul ting decision w ithin the standar d of promptness; see ST ANDARDS OF PROMPTNESS in this item.
- If the client or authorized hearing representative made the request and it is impossible to meet the stand ard of pr omptness, the client or authorized hearing representative may waive the timeliness requirement in writing to allow the rehearing/reconsideration.

MAHS will **not** grant a rehearing involving FAP-IPV.

If MAHS grants a reconsideration, the hearing decision may be modified without another hearing unless there is need for further testimony.

If a rehearing is grant ed, or if the need for further testimony changes a reconsideration to a rehearing, MAHS will schedule and conduct the hearing in the same manner as the original.

Implementation Pending a Rehearing

All Programs

Pending a rehearing or reconsideration reques t, implement the orig inal Decision and Order unless a circuit court or other cour t with jurisdiction iss use an Order whic h requires a delay or stay.

If such an order is received by the client, MAHS, the court or the Legal Affairs, or if there are questions about implementing the or der; see Administrative Handbook manual Legal & FOIA Issues (AHN) item 1100, How to Obtain Legal Services. BAM 600, pages 33-35.

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by Title XIX of the Social Sec urity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the C ode of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105.

Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Reference T able Manual (RFT), and the Bridg es Reference Manual (BRM). Department policy states:

BAM 105 DEPARTMENT POLICY All Programs

Clients have rights and responsibilities as specified in this item. The local office must do **all** of the following:

- Determine eligibility.
 - Calculate the level of benefits.
 - Protect client rights.

CLIENT RIGHTS Right to Apply All Programs

On the **same day** a person comes to the local office, a person has the right to file an application and get local office help to pr ovide the minimum information for filing. An application **or** filing form, whether faxed, mailed or received from the internet must be r egistered with the receipt date, **if** it contains at least the following information:

- Name of the applicant.
- Birth date of the applicant (not required for FAP).
- Address of the applicant (unless homeless).
- Signature of the applicant/authorized representative.

An application/filing form with the minimum information listed above must be registered in Br idges using the receipt date as the application date even if it does not contain enough information needed to determine eligibility; see BAM 110.

BAM 110 DEPARTMENT POLICY Request for Assistance

All Programs

A request for assistance may be in person, by mail, telephone or an application can be obtained on the Internet. The requester has the right to receive the appropriate application form:

• DHS-1171, Assistance Application (all programs). The DHS-1171packet includes an information booklet and the assistance application.

A filing form used to preserve the application filing date is available on the last page of the information booklet and online at <u>www.michigan.gov/dhs-forms</u>.

MA Only

Receipt of a comp leted MSA- 2565-C, Fa cility Admission Notice, serves as a **request** for MA for all persons except:

- Automatically eligible newborns, see BEM 145.
- Active MA recipients.
- Pending MA or FIP applicants. Retro MA

Applications MA Only

The DHS-3243, Retroactive Medi caid Application, is used **along with** the DHS-1171, DHS-4574 or DCH-0373 for retro MA applications. Only one DHS-3243 is needed to apply for one, two or three retro MA months; see RET RO MA APPLICATIONS in BAM 115.

Who May Apply All Programs

Any person, regardless of age, or their authorized representative (AR) may apply for assistance. For **FAP only**, an AR m ust apply on behalf of certain clients; see the AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVES section below.

Date of Application All Programs Faxed and Paper Applications

The date of application is the date the local office receives the required minimum information on an applic ation or the filing form. If the application or transmission date of the fax is the date of application. Record the date of application on the application or filing form. The date of application does **not** change for FIP, SDA, MA, CDC or AMP when the a pplication is transferred to another local office.

Response to Applications All Programs

An application or filing form, with the minimum information, must be registered on Bridges **unless** the client is already active for that programs; see REGISTERING APPLICATIONS in this item. If there is no record on Bridges, the system assigns individu al ID number(s) a nd an application number.

MA Only

Application may be made on behalf of a client by his spouse, parent, legal guardian, adult ch ild, stepchild, s pecified relative or any other person pr ovided the person is at least age 18 or married. If this person is not a spouse, parent, legal guardian, adult child, stepchild, or specified relative the person must have a signed authorization to act on behalf of the client, by the client, client 's spouse, parent(s) or lega 1 guardian. The applic ation form must be signed by the client or the individual acting as hi s authorized representative. When an assistance application is received in the local office ant's signat ure or without a signed without the applic document authorizing someone to act on the applicant's behalf you must do the following:

- Register the application as a request if it contains a signature.
- Send a DHS-330, Notice of Missing Information, to the client explaining the need for a valid signature. The signature page of the application may be copied and sent to the agenc y or individual who filled out the application with the notice.

- Allow 10 days for a response. You cannot deny an application due to incompleteness until 10 calendar days from the date of your in itial request in writing to the applic ant to complete the application form or supply mis sing infor mation, or the initial scheduled interview.
- Record the date the applic ation or filing for m with the minimum information is received. The application must be registered and disposed of on Brid ges, using the receipt date as the application date. An application received from an agen cy is acceptable if it is signed by an individual and is accompanied by written documentation from t he client authorizing the agency to act as their authorized representative.

In this case, on the Claimant's Authorized Representative submitted a cover letter, MSA 2565-C F acility Admission Notice, and Authorization to Represent the Claimant to the Department. The Aut horized Representative did not file a DHS 1171 Application for Assistance or a Filing Form at that time.

BAM 110 specifically states that a completed MSA 2565-C Facility Admission Notice is a **request** for assistance. It is not an Application for Assistance or filing form as defined by policy. BAM 105 s tates that an application or a filing form would be registered upon receipt by the Department. This includes incomplete applications with a minimum of information.

The Authorized Repr esentative in this matter r neglected to file the Application for Assistance or the filing form despite the Authorized Representative clearly having the required minimum information available. The fact that the Authorized Representative called the Facility Admission Notice an incomplete application does not change it from the request for assistance as defined in BAM 110. The Authorized Representative gave no explanation for the delay in filing the Application for A ssistance. The Authorized Representative clearly had access to the information necessary to complete the filing form or application as the required minimum information is contained in the Facility Admission Notice. The Authorized Representative did not file the required application for assistance or filing form.

The Authorized Representative failed to complete the require Application for Assistance or Filing form. The letter from the Authoriz ed Representative dated indicates that the Authorized Represent ative would send the 1171 Applic ation for Assistance upon com pletion. Therefore, it is c lear that the Authorized Representative had the necessary Application form but did not submit it to the Department at that time. The authorized representative actually received authorization to represent claimant on and could have filed and application on t hat date to preserve the month of **Automatical The Automized Representative did not file the Ap plication for** Assistance until **Automatical II**. This is the app lication date as defined by policy as it was the date the Application or filing form was received by the department. Because an Application was not received until **Automatical III** the Depart ment was unable to process any request for assistance until that time. Retroactive Medicaid is only available for 3 months prior to the Application date. Therefore, the Claimant would only be eligible for retroactive Medicaid from 3 months prior to the March application date.

At rehearing, the authorized r epresentative now presents a filing form that w as alleged to have been included in the packet which was signed by "a second and a second and a

and asks this Administrative Law Judge to determine that the department failed to find the form in the or iginal packet rather than finding that made a clerical error and failed to put the filing form into the original packet. was unavailable to testify as to what she might have done on Therefore, the newly submitted document is hearsay and inadmissible. There is no way to tell when the document was created or signed. No one from was present at the hearing to testify from personal kno wledge about when the document was created or submitted to the department. The department representative would have had no reason to exc lude the filing form which was referenced in a cover letter when attempting to process the original documents filed by The claimant or the claimant's representat ive has the responsibility to make certain all pertinen documents and information is contained in an application pack age. had ample time to submit a completed DH S-1171 on claimant's behalf and failed to do so until

The Department properly proces sed the Claimant's **MA** application. A cover letter is not sufficient to preserve an application date. Poli cy requires that an Application for Assistance or filing form be s ubmitted to preserve an applic ation date. BAM 110. The required filing form is availa ble as part of the DHS-1 171. The Authorized Representative failed to file the required filing form or application until

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon t he above findings of fact and conclusion s of law, decides that the D epartment did properly process the Claimant's application for MA and Retro-MA dated March 6, 2009. The D epartment's decision to deny as untimely claimant's retroactive application for the months of October, No vember and December of 2008 was correct under the circumstances.

Accordingly, the Department's decision is AFFIRMED.

<u>/s/</u>

Landis Y. Lain Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: August 23, 2011

Date Mailed: August 24, 2011

<u>NOTICE</u>: Administrative Hearings may or der a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at t he request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hear ings will not orde r a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 60 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

KKR/ds

