# STATE OF MICHIGAN STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF THE CLAIM OF:



# ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Susan C. Burke

# **HEARING DECISION**

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon the Claimant 's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on April 18, 2011. The Claimant was present and testified. The Department of Human Services (Department) was represented by

#### <u>ISSUE</u>

Was the D epartment correct in i ts calculation of Claimant's Food Assistance Program (FAP) grant?

### FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. Claimant was an ongoing FAP recipient in a household of two persons.
- 2. Claimant was eligible for senior/disabl ed/veteran status (SDV) and had incurred medical expenses.
- 3. Claimant had an obligation for shelter, heat and utilities.
- 4. The Department determined that Claimant was entitled to \$17.00 in FAP b enefits, effective April 1, 2011.
- 5. Claimant requested a hearing contesting the amount of the FAP grant.

### CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) is est ablished by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations c ontained in T itle 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations ("CFR"). The Department administers the FAP program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq* and MAC R 400.3001-3015. Departmental policies are found in BAM, BEM and PRM, which includes the Reference Tables (RFT).

The federal regulations define household in come to include SSI and RSDI benefits, as well as earned income. 7 CFR 273.9(b) Under 7 CFR 2 73.9, as amended, and RF T 255, \$141.00 is deducted from the gross income of FAP re cipients in determining FAP grants for a group size of tw o. Under 7 CFR 273.9, deducti ons for shelter are also made. BEM 554. Medical expenses for SDV members are also deducted. BEM 554.

In the present case, the Department did not submit a budget showing how the shelter deduction was obtained. Without this information, this Administrative Law Judge cannot determine whether the Department calculat ed correctly Claimant's FAP gr ant. In addition, Claimant states that his wife is no longer working, and the Department had included his wife's income in determining the April 1, 2011 grant.

It is also noted that the unearned income of \$620.00 found in the Department's budget for Claimant was not substantiated, and medical expenses were not included in the budget.

### DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon t he above findings of fact and conclusion s of law decides that the Department was not correct in its ca lculation of Claimant's FAP grant, and it is therefore ORDERED that the Department's decision is REVERSED. It is further ORDERED that the D epartment shall recalc ulate Claimant's FAP budget as of April 1, 2011, using current information. It is further ORDERE D that any increased payments shall be made in the form of a supplement.

Jusa C. Buche

Susan C. Burke Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

201125778/ SCB

Date Signed: May 4, 2011

Date Mailed: May 4, 2011

**NOTICE**: Administrative Hearings may or der a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at t he request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hear ings will not orde r a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 60 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

SCB / hw

CC:			