## STATE OF MICHIGAN STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: DHS County:

2011-2576 2009

March 23, 2011 Macomb (50-36)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Jonathan W. Owens

# HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a hearing was held on March 23, 2011. Claimant appeared and testified. Claimant was represented.

# ISSUE

Whether the Department of Human Services (DHS or Department) properly determined that Claimant is not "disabled" for purposes of the Medical Assistance (MA-P) program?

## FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. On October 30, 2009, Claimant applied for MA-P and retro MA-P to July 2009.
- 2. On July 16, 2010, the Medical Review Team denied Claimant's request.
- 3. On October 8, 2010, Claimant submitted to the Department a request for hearing.
- 4. The State Hearing and Review Team (SHRT) denied Claimant's request.
- 5. Claimant is 48 years old.
- 6. Claimant completed education through an Associate's Degree.
- 7. Claimant has employment experience (last worked June 2010). She was trained in January 2010 for 3 weeks and worked 3 months April-June 2010 at and quit due to her inability to perform the job. She worked 20 hours a week at

\$8.40 an hour gross. She last worked full time in 2004 as a child abuse advocate. She also has experience working as a waitress.

- 8. Claimant's limitations have lasted for 12 months or more.
- 9. Claimant suffers from major depression recurrent severe, bipolar disorder, hypertension, hypothyroidism, asthma, acute chronic back pain and degenerative disc disease.
- 10. The GAF ranged from 10-46 according to an independent examine and hospital records.
- 11. Claimant has significant limitations on understanding, carrying out, and remembering simple instructions; use of judgment; responding appropriately to supervision, co-workers and usual work situations; and dealing with changes in a routine work setting.

#### CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

MA-P is established by Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department administers MA-P pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

Pursuant to Federal Rule 42 CFR 435.540, the Department uses the Federal Supplemental Security Income (SSI) policy in determining eligibility for disability under MA-P. Under SSI, disability is defined as:

...the inability to do any substantial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical or mental impairment which can be expected to result in death or which has lasted or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months.... 20 CFR 416.905.

A set order is used to determine disability. Current work activity, severity of impairments, residual functional capacity, past work, age, or education and work experience are reviewed. If there is a finding that an individual is disabled or not disabled at any point in the review, there will be no further evaluation. 20 CFR 416.920.

Medical evidence may contain medical opinions. Medical opinions are statements from physicians and psychologists or other acceptable medical sources that reflect judgments about the nature and severity of the impairment(s), including symptoms, diagnosis and prognosis, what an individual can do despite impairment(s), and the physical or mental restrictions. 20 CFR 416.927(a)(2).

The Administrative Law Judge is responsible for making the determination or decision about whether the statutory definition of disability is met. The Administrative Law Judge reviews all medical findings and other evidence that support a medical source's statement of disability. 20 CFR 416.927(e).

For mental disorders, severity is assessed in terms of the functional limitations imposed by the impairment. Functional limitations are assessed using the criteria in paragraph (B) of the listings for mental disorders (descriptions of restrictions of activities of daily living, social functioning; concentration, persistence or pace; and ability to tolerate increased mental demands associated with competitive work). 20 CFR, Part 404, Subpart P, Appendix 1, 12.00(C).

**12.04** *Affective disorders*: Characterized by a disturbance of mood, accompanied by a full or partial manic or depressive syndrome. Mood refers to a prolonged emotion that colors the whole psychic life; it generally involves either depression or elation.

The required level of severity for these disorders is met when the requirements in both A and B are satisfied, or when the requirements in C are satisfied.

- A. Medically documented persistence, either continuous or intermittent, of one of the following:
  - 1. Depressive syndrome characterized by at least four of the following:
    - a. Anhedonia or pervasive loss of interest in almost all activities; or
    - b. <u>Appetite disturbance with change in weight;</u> or
    - c. <u>Sleep disturbance;</u> or
    - d. Psychomotor agitation or retardation; or
    - e. <u>Decreased energy;</u> or
    - f. Feelings of guilt or worthlessness; or
    - g. Difficulty concentrating or thinking; or
    - h. Thoughts of suicide; or
    - i. Hallucinations, delusions, or paranoid thinking; or
  - 2. Manic syndrome characterized by at least three of the following:
    - a. Hyperactivity; or
    - b. <u>Pressure of speech;</u> or

- c. Flight of ideas; or
- d. Inflated self-esteem; or
- e. <u>Decreased need for sleep;</u> or
- f. <u>Easy distractibility;</u> or
- Involvement in activities that have a high probability of painful consequences which are not recognized; or
- h. Hallucinations, delusions or paranoid thinking; or
- Bipolar syndrome with a history of episodic periods manifested by the full symptomatic picture of both manic and depressive syndromes (and currently characterized by either or both syndromes);

## AND

- B. Resulting in at least two of the following:
  - 1. Marked restriction of activities of daily living; or
  - 2. <u>Marked difficulties in maintaining social</u> <u>functioning; or</u>
  - 3. <u>Marked difficulties in maintaining concentration,</u> persistence, or pace; or
  - 4. <u>Repeated episodes of decompensation, each of extended duration;</u>

## OR

- C. Medically documented history of a chronic affective disorder of at least 2 years' duration that has caused more than a minimal limitation of ability to do basic work activities, with symptoms or signs currently attenuated by medication or psychosocial support, and one of the following:
  - 1. Repeated episodes of decompensation, each of extended duration; or
  - 2. A residual disease process that has resulted in such marginal adjustment that even a minimal increase in mental demands or change in the

environment would be predicted to cause the individual to decompensate; or

3. Current history of 1 or more years' inability to function outside a highly supportive living arrangement, with an indication of continued need for such an arrangement.

Claimant testified to extreme issues with dealing with people, isolating herself, multiple suicidal attempts resulting in hospitalizations. Claimant was seen by an independent evaluator who found a GAF of 46. This same physician indicated her condition was severe and recurrent. Claimant's hospital records indicate GAF scores as low as a 10. Claimant struggles with sleep and eating. She also testified she struggles with concentration and maintaining a thought process.

In this case, this Administrative Law Judge finds that Claimant may be considered presently disabled at the third step. Claimant appears to meet listing 12.04 A and B or their equivalent. This Administrative Law Judge will not continue through the remaining steps of the assessment. Claimant's testimony and the medical documentation support the finding that Claimant meets the requirements of a listing.

Therefore, Claimant is found to be disabled.

### DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, decides that Claimant is medically disabled as of July 2009.

Accordingly, the Department's decision is hereby REVERSED and the Department is ORDERED to initiate a review of the application dated October 30, 2009, if not done previously, to determine Claimant's non-medical eligibility. The Department shall inform Claimant of the determination in writing. A review of this case shall be set for April 2012.

Jonathan W. Owens Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: March 30, 2011

### 2011-2576/JWO

Date Mailed: March 31, 2011

**NOTICE**: Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hearings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

JWO/pf

