

STATE OF MICHIGAN
STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES
ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

[REDACTED]

Reg. No.: 20112514
Issue No.: 3003
Case No.: [REDACTED]
Load No.: [REDACTED]
Hearing Date: November 17, 2010
Wayne County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Aaron McClintic

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon the Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on November 17, 2010. The Claimant appeared and testified. [REDACTED], Assistant Payment Specialist and [REDACTED], FIM appeared on behalf of the Department.

ISSUE

Was the Department correct in determining Claimant's FAP benefits?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- (1) Claimant was an ongoing recipient of FAP benefits.
- (2) Claimant resided at [REDACTED] during the period in question.
- (3) Claimant had rental obligation of \$320 for the months of June and July 2010.
- (4) Claimant had no rental obligation for August 2010.
- (5) Claimant requested a hearing on September 22, 2010 contesting the determination of FAP benefits.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Food Assistance Program, formerly known as the Food Stamp ("FS") program, is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations ("CFR"). The Department of Human Services ("DHS"), formerly known as the Family Independence Agency, administers the FAP program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq* and MAC R 400.3001-3015. Departmental policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual ("BAM"), the Bridges Eligibility Manual ("BEM"), and the Program Reference Manual ("PRM").

In the present case, Claimant presented credible evidence, in the form of an adjustment notification from MSHDA, that she had rental contribution obligation of \$320 per month for the months of June and July 2010. The Department did not budget this rental obligation. Therefore the Department's determination of benefits is incorrect.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, finds that the Department improperly calculated the Claimant's FAP benefits, and it is ORDERED that the Department's decision in this regard be, and is hereby REVERSED. Claimant's FAP benefit shall be rebudgeted for the months of June and July 2010 to include \$320 rental obligation, any increase in benefit shall be paid to Claimant in the form of a supplement.



Aaron McClintic
Administrative Law Judge
For Ismael Ahmed, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: November 29, 2010

Date Mailed: November 29, 2010

NOTICE: Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hearings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 60 days of the filing of the original request.

20112514/AM

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt of the rehearing decision.

AM/hw

cc:

