STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

Reg. No: 2011-24301

Issue No: 1038

Hearing Date: June 23, 2011 Kent County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Suzanne L. Morris

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Admini strative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on June 23, 2011. The claimant appeared and provided testimony.

ISSUE

Did the department properly terminate and s anction the claimant's Family Independence Progr am (FIP) benefits for nonc ompliance with Work First/Jobs, Education and Training (WF/JET) requirements?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. The claimant's boyfriend, was referred to the WF/JET program as a mandatory participant. (Department Exhibit 2)
- On February 3, 2011, OB signed a probationary stat us form due to unexcused absences on January 26 – January 28; and February 1, 2011. (Department Exhibit 1)
- did not turn in any job search hours for the week of January 23, 2011 and did participate for the required amount of hours on February 4 and February 7, 2011. (Department Exhibit 1)

- 4. On February 14, 2011, the department mailed the claimant a Notice of Noncompliance (DHS-2444), scheduling a triage appointment for February 23, 2011. (Department Exhibit 4 5)
- 5. The department found no good ca use for the noncompliance. (Department Exhibit 7)
- 6. The department mailed the claimant a Notice of Case Action (DHS-1605) on February 23, 2011, informing the claimant that the FIP case would close and be sanctioned for one year.
- 7. The claimant submitted a hearing request on March 1, 2011.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Family Independence Progr am (FIP) was establis hed pursuant to the Persona I Responsibility and W ork Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 8 USC 601, et seq. The Department of Human Serv ices (DHS or department) administers the FIP progr am pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MAC R 400.3101-3131. The FIP program replaced the Ai d to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996. Department polic ies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

Department policy indicates:

DEPARTMENT PHILOSOPHY

FIP

DHS requires clients to partici pate in employment and self-sufficiency-related activities and to accept employment when offered. Our focus is to assist clients in removing barriers so they can participate in activities which lead to self-sufficiency. However, there are consequences for a clien—t who refuses to participate, without good cause.

The goal of the FI P penalty policy is to obtain client compliance with appropriate wo rk and/or self-sufficiency-related as signments and to ensure that barriers to such compliance have been identified and removed. The goal is to bring the client into compliance.

Noncompliance may be an indic ator of possible disabilities. Consider further exploration of any barriers.

DEPARTMENT POLICY

FIP

A Work Eligible Indiv idual (WEI), see BEM 228, who fails, without good cause, to participa te in em ployment or self-sufficiency-related activities, must be penalized.

See <u>BEM 233B</u> for the Food Assistance Program (FAP) policy when the FIP penalty is closure. For the Refugee Assistance Program (RAP) penalty policy, see <u>BEM 233C</u>. BEM 233A, p. 1.

NONCOMPLIANCE WITH EMPLOYMENT AND/OR SELF-SUFFICIENCY-RELATED ACTIVITIES

As a condition of eligibility, all WEIs and non-WEIs must work or engage in employment and/or self-sufficiency-related activities. Noncomplia nce of applic ants, recipients, or member adds means doing any of the following without good cause:

- . Failing or refusing to:
 - Appear and participate with the Jobs, Education and Training (JET) Program or other employment service provider.
 - .. Complete a Family Automated Screening Tool (FAST), as assigned as t he first step in the FSSP process.
 - Develop a Family Se If-Sufficiency Plan (FSSP) or a Personal Responsibility Plan and Family Contract (PRPFC).
 - .. Comply with activities assigned to on the Family Self-Sufficiency Plan (FSSP) or PRPFC.
 - Appear for a scheduled ap pointment or meeting related to assigned activities.
 - Provide legitimate documentation of work participation.

- .. Participate in employ ment and/or self-suffi ciencyrelated activities.
- .. Accept a job referral.
- .. Complete a job application.
- Appear for a job interview (see the exc eption below).
- Stating orally or in writing a definite intent not to comply with program requirements.
- . Threatening, physically abusing or otherwise behaving disruptively toward anyone conducting or participating in an employment and/or self-sufficiency-relat ed activity.
- Refusing employment support s ervices if t he refusal prevents participation in an em ployment and/or self sufficiency-related activity. BEM 233A, pp. 1-2.

GOOD CAUSE FOR NONCOMPLIANCE

Good cause is a valid reason for noncomplianc e with employment and/or self-sufficien cy-related activities that are based on factors that are beyond the control of the noncompliant person. A cl aim of good cause must be verified and documented for me mber adds and recipients. Document the good c ause determination in Bridges and the FSSP under the "Participation and Compliance" tab.

See "School Attendance" BEM 201 for good cause when minor parents do not attend school.

Employed 40 Hours

Client Unfit

Good cause includes the following:

- . The person is working at least 40 hours per week on average and earning at least state minimum wage.
- . The client is physic ally or m entally unfit for the job or activity, as shown by medical evidence or other reliable

information. This includes any dis ability-related limitations that pr eclude participation in a work and/or self-sufficiency-related activity. The disability-related needs or limitations may not have been identified or assessed prior to the noncompliance.

Illness or Injury

The client has a debilitating illness or injury, or an immediate family member's illn ess or injury requires in-home care by the client.

Reasonable Accommodation

The DHS, employ ment services provider, contractor, agency, or employer failed to make reasonable accommodations for the client 's disability or the client's needs related to the disability. BEM 233A, pp. 3-4.

No Child Care

The client requested Child Day Care Services (CDC) from DHS, the MWA, or other employ ment services provider prior to case closure for noncomp liance and CDC is needed for a CDC-eligible child, but none is appr opriate, s uitable, affordable and within reasonable distance of the client's home or work site.

- . **Appropriate.** The c are is appropriate to the child's age, disabilities and other conditions.
- . **Reasonable distance.** The total commuting time to and from work and child care facilities do es not exceed three hours per day.
- . **Suitable provider.** The prov ider meets applicable state and local standards. Also, prov iders (e.g., relatives) who are NO T registered/licensed by the DHS Office of Child and Adult Services must meet DHS enrollment requirements for day care aides or relative care providers. See PEM 704.
- . **Affordable.** The child care is provided at the rate of payment or reimbursement offered by DHS.

No Transportation

The client requested transportati on services from DH S, the MWA, or other employment serv ices provider prior to cas e closure and reasonably priced transportation is not available to the client.

Illegal Activities

The employment involves illegal activities.

Discrimination

The client experiences discrimin ation on the bas is of age, race, disability, gender, color, national origin, religious beliefs, etc. BEM 233A, p. 4.

Unplanned Event or Factor

Credible information indicates an unplanned event or factor which lik ely prevents or si gnificantly interferes with employment and/or self-sufficiency-related activities.

Unplanned events or factors incl ude, but are not limited to the following:

- Domestic violence.
- Health or safety risk.
- . Religion.
- Homelessness.
- . Jail.
- Hospitalization.

Comparable Work

The client quits to assume employment comparable in salary and hours. The new hiring must occur before the quit.

Long Commute

Total commuting time exceeds:

- . Two hours per day, NOT inc luding time to and from child care facilities, **or**
- . Three hours per day, including time to and from child care facilities. BEM 233A, pp.4-5.

EFIP

EFIP unles s noncompliance is job quit, firing or voluntarily reducing hours of employment.

NONCOMPLIANCE PENALTIES FOR ACTIVIE FIP CASES AND MEMBER ADDS

The penalty for nonc ompliance without good caus e is FIP closure. Effective April 1, 2007, the following minimum penalties apply:

- . For the first occurrence on the FI P case, close the FIP for 3 calendar months unless the client is excused from the nonc ompliance as not ed in "First Cas e Noncompliance Without Loss of Benefits" below.
- For the second occur rence on the FIP case, close the FIP for 3 calendar months.
- . For the third and subsequent occurrence on the FIP case, close the FIP for 12 calendar months.
- . The penalty counter also begins April 1, 2007 regardless of the previous number of noncompliance penalties.

TRIAGE

JET participants will not be te rminated from a JET program without first scheduling a "triage" meeting with the client to jointly disc uss noncompliance and good cause. Locally coordinate a process to notif y the MWA case manager of triage meetings including scheduling guidelines.

Clients can either attend a m eeting or participate in a conference call if attendance at the triage meeting is not possible. If a client calls to reschedule an already scheduled triage meeting, offer a phone conference at that time.

Clients must comply with tr iage requirement within the negative action period.

When a phone triage is conducted for a first noncompliance and the client agrees to comply, complete the DHS-754, First Nonc ompliance Letter, as you would complet e in a triage meeting. Note in the client signature box "Client Agreed by Phone". Immediately send a copy of the DHS-754 to the client and phone the JET case manager if the compliance activity is to attend JET.

Determine good cause based on the best information available during the triage and pr ior to the negative action date. Good cause may be verified by information already on file with DHS or MWA.

If the FIS, JET case manager, or MRS counselor do not agree as to whether "good cause" exists for a noncompliance, the case must be forwarded to the immediate supervisors of each party involved to reach an agreement.

DHS must be involved with all triage appointment/phone calls due to program require ments, documentation and tracking.

Note: Clients not participating with JET must be scheduled for a "triage" meeting between t he FIS and the client. This does not include applicants. BEM 233A, p. 7.

Good Cause Established

If the client establis hes good cause within the negative action period, do **NOT** impose a penalty. See "Good Cause for Noncompliance" earlier in this item. Send the client back to JET, if applic able, after re solving transportation, CDC, or other factors which may have contributed to the good cause. Do not enter a new referral on ASSIST. Enter the good cause reason on the DHS-71 and on the FSSP under the "Participation and Compliance" tab.

Good Cause NOT Established

If the client does NOT provide a good cause e reason within the negative action period, determine good cause bas ed on the best information available. If no good cause exists, allow the case to close. If good cause is det ermined to exist, delete the negative action. BEM 233A, pp. 10-11.

If the noncompliant member LEAVES the home PRIOR to issuing a DHS-2444, Notice of N oncompliance, do not act on the closure. If the noncompliant member joins a new group in this instance, the penalty wo uld apply to the new group. Continue to process the good cause determination and noncompliance for the new or oup. If the nonc ompliant member LEAVES the home AFTE R a DHS-2444, Notice of FIP closure has taken effect. Noncompliance is sent or the continue to impose the sanction for the remainder of the sanction period on the original FIP group. The nonc ompliant member takes their individual penalty counter with them to the new group and reduces the ca se penalty counter of the original group. BEM 233A.

Noncompliance is defined by de partment policy as failing or refusing to do a number of activities, such as attending and partici pating with WF/JET, completing the FAST survey, completing j ob applications, participat ing in employm ent or self -sufficiency-related activities, providing legitimate docum entation of work participation, etc. BEM 233A.

This Administrative Law Judge finds t hat the c laimant's boyfriend, OB, was noncompliant with WF/JET program requirements by not completing his required hours for February 4 and February 7, 2011, while already on a probatio nary status form. was further noncompliant by not completing any hours of job searching for the week of January 23, 2011.

Good cause is defined as a valid reason for noncompliance wit h employment and/or self-sufficiency-related activities that are based on factors that are beyond the control of the noncompliant per son. BEM 233A. The cl aimant's boyfriend has not provided any good cause for his noncompliance.

The claimant does not disput e that her boyfriend was nonc ompliant with WF/JET program requirements, but test ified that she did not know anything about it until he left the home and she opened the closure notice. The claimant testified that moved out of the house after the triage appointment. However, department policy requires that the penalty period still be imposed on the program group if the noncompliant person leaves the home after the DHS-2444 is i ssued. The claimant testified that he did not leave the home until after the triage, which has after the Notice of Noncompliance was issued. Therefore, the penalty must still be imposed on the claimant's program group.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusion sof law, decides that the department properly terminated and sanctioned the claimant's Family Independence Program (FIP) benefits for noncompliance with Work First/Jobs, Education and Training (WF/JET) requirements.

Accordingly, the department's determination is UPHELD. SO ORDERED.

Suzanne

L. Morris

Administrative Law Judge
for Maura D. Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: July 13, 2011

Date Mailed: July 18, 2011

NOTICE: Administrative Hearings may or der a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hear ings will not orde rarehearing or reconsideration on the Department's moiton where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

SM/ac

