

STATE OF MICHIGAN  
MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM  
ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE  
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

[REDACTED]

Reg. No.: 2011-24293  
Issue No.: 2019  
Case No.: [REDACTED]  
Hearing Date: April 14, 2011  
DHS County: Wayne (82-18)

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE:** Jan Leventer

**HEARING DECISION**

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to Michigan Compiled Laws (MCL) 400.9 and 400.37, and Claimant [REDACTED] request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on April 14, 2011. Claimant appeared and testified. [REDACTED], appeared and testified on behalf of the Department of Human Services (DHS).

**ISSUE**

Whether DHS assessed Claimant's Medical Assistance (MA or Medicaid) Patient Pay Amount (PPA, spend-down, or deductible) in accordance with DHS policy and procedure?

**FINDINGS OF FACT**

The Administrative Law Judge, based on competent, material, and substantial evidence in the record and on the entire record as a whole, finds as fact:

1. On about March 10 2011, DHS notified Claimant that based on his Social Security income of \$1,164.50, he is required to pay a monthly spend-down of \$658 in order to receive further Medicaid coverage.
2. The spend-down requirement was to become effective on April 1, 2011.
3. On March 11, 2011, Claimant filed a hearing request notice with DHS.

**CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

MA was established by Title XIX of the U.S. Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations. DHS administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10 *et seq.* and MCL 400.105. DHS' policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Reference Tables (RFT). These manuals are available online at [www.michigan.gov/dhs-manuals](http://www.michigan.gov/dhs-manuals).

The administrative manuals are the policies and procedures DHS officially created for its own use. While the manuals are not laws created by the U.S. Congress or the Michigan Legislature, they constitute legal authority which DHS must follow. It is to the manuals that I look now in order to see what policy applies in this case. After setting forth what the applicable policies are, I will examine whether they were in fact followed in this case.

At the hearing, the Claimant and DHS reviewed DHS' calculations and Claimant then understood how the deductible was arrived at. I reviewed the calculations and I find and conclude that DHS followed the procedures set forth in BEM 541, "MA Deductions – SSI-Related Adults." I find also that DHS properly utilized RFT 240, "MA Monthly Protected Income Levels," in selecting \$375 as the amount of Claimant's income that must not be used toward his medical expenses.

In conclusion, based on the findings of fact and conclusions of law above, I find and determine that DHS shall be AFFIRMED in this case. DHS need take no further action in this case.

**DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, states that DHS is AFFIRMED. IT IS ORDERED that DHS need take no further action in this case.



---

Jan Leventer  
Administrative Law Judge  
for Maura Corrigan, Director  
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: April 21, 2011

2011-24293/JL

Date Mailed: April 25, 2011

**NOTICE:** Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hearings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

JL/pf

cc:

