# STATE OF MICHIGAN STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No: 2011-241 Issue No: 3008

Case No: Load No:

Hearing Date: October 26, 2010 Branch County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Kevin Scully

### **HEARING DECISION**

This matter is before the undersigned Administ rative Law Judge by authority of MC L 400.9 and MCL 400.37. Claimant 's request for a hearing was received on September 17, 2010. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on Tuesday, October 26, 2010

## <u>ISSUE</u>

Whether the Department of Human Serv ices (Department) properly det ermined the Claimant's Food Assistance Program (FAP) eligibility?

### FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. The Claimant applied for FAP benefits on August 24, 2010.
- 2. On August 24, 2010, the Department sent the Claimant a Verification Chec klist with a due date of September 3, 2010.
- 3. On September 7, 2010, the Department notified the Claimant that it had denied his FAP applic ation for failure to submit information necessary to determine eligibility to receive benefits.
- 4. The Department received the Claimant's request for a hearing on September 17, 2010, protesting the denial of his FAP application.

# **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) (formerly known as the Food Stamp program, is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (DHS or Department), administers the FAP program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MAC R 400.3001-3015. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Reference Table Manual (RFT), and the Bridges Reference Manual (BRM).

Clients must cooperate with the local office in determining initial and ongoing eligibility. This includes the completion of necessary forms. BAM 105, p. 5. Verification means documentation or other evidence to establish the accuracy of the client's verbal or written statements. BAM 130, p. 1. Verification is usually required at application/redetermination and for a reported change affecting eligibility or benefit level when it is r equired by policy, required as a local office option, or information regarding an eligibility factor is unclear, inconsistent, incomplete, or contradictory. BAM 130, p. 1. ents, collateral contacts, The Department uses docum or home calls to verify information. BAM 130, p. 1. A collateral contact is a direct contact with a person, organization, or agency to verify information from the client. BAM 130, p. 2. When documentation is not available, or clarific ation is needed, collateral contact may be necessary. BAM 130.

Clients are allowed ten calend ar days to provide the verifications reque sted by the Department. BAM 130, p. 4. The Department should send a negative action notice when the client indicates a refusal to provide the verification, or the time period provided has lapsed and the client has not made a reasonable effort to provide it. BAM 130, p. 4. The Department should extend the time limit no more than once if the client cannot provide the verification despite a reasonable effort. BAM 130, p. 4.

In this case, the Claimant applied for F AP benefits on August 24, 2010. On August 24, 2010, the Department s ent the Claimant a Verification Check list with a due date of September 3, 2010. The Department r equested that the Claimant supply t he Department with his spouse's s ocial sec urity number. When the Claima nt failed to supply the Department with his s pouse's social sec urity number before the due date, the Department denied the Claimant's FAP application on September 17, 2010.

The Claimant argued that he was willing to provide the Department with his wife's social security number, but he was not aware that it was necessary. The Claimant did not request an extension to the Department's due date for verification documents.

The Department sent the Claimant a Verifi cation Checklist, which in dicates the information the Department needed to determi ne eligibility for FAP benefits. This checklist indicates that verification of the wife's social security number was needed, and the form listed how this information should be verified.

The Department has established that it properly denied the Claimant's FAP application for failure to submit information necessary to determine eligibility for benefits.

# **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusion sof law, decides that the Department acted in accordance with policy in determining the Claimant's FAP eligibility.

The Department's FAP eligibility determination is AFFIRMED. It is SO ORDERED.

/s/		<u></u>
	Kevin	Scully
		Administrative Law Judge
		for Duane Berger, Director
		Department of Human Services

Date Signed: <u>January 6, 2011</u>

Date Mailed: <u>January 6, 2011</u>

**NOTICE**: Administrative Hearings may or der a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hear ings will not orde rarehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 60 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

### KS/alc

cc: