

STATE OF MICHIGAN
MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM
ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

Issue

[REDACTED]

Reg. No.: 201123989
No.: 3000
Case No.: [REDACTED]
Load No.: [REDACTED]
Hearing Date: May 2, 2011
DHS County: Macomb County DHS
(20)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Susan C. Burke

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon the Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on May 2, 2011. The Claimant was present and testified. The Department of Human Services (Department) was represented by [REDACTED]

ISSUE

Was the Department correct in its determination of Claimant's FAP grant?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. Claimant was an ongoing recipient of FAP.
2. The Department decreased Claimant's FAP grant.
3. Claimant requested a hearing protesting the FAP grant decrease.
4. At the hearing, the Department agreed to re-determine Claimant's FAP grant amount, effective January 1, 2011, based on current information at the time.
5. As a result of the agreement, Claimant indicated that she no longer wished to proceed with the hearing.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations ("CFR"). The Department administers the FAP program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq* and MAC R 400.3001-3015. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

Under Bridges Administrative Manual Item 600, clients have the right to contest any agency decision affecting eligibility or benefit levels whenever they believe the decision is illegal. The Department provides an Administrative Hearing to review the decision and determine if it is appropriate. Department policy includes procedures to meet the minimal requirements for a fair hearing. Efforts to clarify and resolve the client's concerns start when the Department receives a hearing request and continues through the day of the hearing.

In the present case the Department has agreed to re-determine Claimant's FAP grant amount, effective January 1, 2011, and ongoing, based on current information at the time. As a result of this agreement, Claimant indicated she no longer wished to proceed with the hearing. Since the Claimant and the Department have come to an agreement it is unnecessary for this Administrative Law Judge to make a decision regarding the facts and issues in this case.

It is noted that Claimant also requested a hearing on Medical Assistance (MA), but Claimant stated at the hearing that she was currently receiving MA and was satisfied with the action taken by the Department with respect to MA.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law decides that the Department and Claimant have come to a settlement regarding Claimant's request for a hearing. Therefore, it is ORDERED that the Department re-determine Claimant's FAP grant amount, effective January 1, 2011, and ongoing, based on current information at the time. It is further ORDERED that Claimant's request for a hearing regarding MA is DISMISSED, as Claimant was satisfied with actions taken by the Department in regard to MA.

/s/ _____
Susan C. Burke
Administrative Law Judge
For Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

201123989/SCB

Date Signed: May 12, 2011

Date Mailed: May 12, 2011

NOTICE: Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hearings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 60 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt of the rehearing decision.

SCB/ctl

cc:

[REDACTED]