## STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:	Reg. No.:	201123428
Issue	Nos.:	5016
	Case No.: Hearing Date: DHS County:	May 2, 2011 Macomb County DHS (12)

## ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Susan C. Burke

# HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Admini strative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a t elephone hearing was held on May 2, 2011. Claimant appeared and testified.

#### **ISSUES**

Was the Department correct i n its decis ion regar ding the aut horized amount for Claimant's request for State Emergency Relief (SER) for electricity and heat?

## FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantia I evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. Claimant applied for SER for electricity and heat on November 24, 2010.
- 2. The Department approved CI aimant's applicat ion and issued payments in the amount of \$90.10 for electricity and \$83.30 for heat.
- 3. After the Department iss ued the payments, Claimant was no longer in shut-off status.
- 4. Claimant requested a hearing, protesting the app roved amount issued by the Department.

# CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The SER program is established by 2004 PA 344. The SER program is administered pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and by final administrative rules filed with the

2011-23428/SCB

Secretary of State on Oc tober 28, 1993. MAC R 4 00.7001-400-7049. Department policies are found in the State Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

ERM 208, p. 1 states in part "Do not authorize a SER payment unless it will resolve the emergency."

ERM 301, p. 3 also states in part:

If the bill, including old or transferred balances, must be paid to start or maintain service at the current or new address, payment may be authorized up to the fiscal year cap, as long as the payment resolves the emergency.

In the present case, the Department iss ued a payment of \$90.10 for electricity and \$83.30 for heat upon Claimant's request for SER. Claimant admits that the payments made by the Department for electric ity and heat took Claiman t out of shut-off status. Since Claimant's emergency was resolved with the payments issued, the Department was correct in its decision r egarding the amount of authorized payment. Claimant argued that she was entitled to \$350.00, the fiscal year cap. However, since a lesser amount resolved Claim ant's emergency, that is, took her out of shut-off status, Claimant was not entitled to the full fiscal year cap of \$350.00.

It is noted that Claimant also raised the issue of professionalism in her worker, but that issue is beyond the scope of this hearing.

## DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon t he above findings of fact and conclusions of law, finds that t he Department was corr ect in its de cision regarding the authorized amount for Claimant's SER for electricity and heat, and it is therefore ORDERED that its decision is AFFIRMED.

/s/\_\_\_\_\_

Susan C. Burke Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: May 11, 2011

Date Mailed: May 11, 2011

**NOTICE**: Administrative Hearings may or der a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at t he request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hear ings will not orde r a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

cc:

SCB/ctl