STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



2011-23387 Reg. No.: Issue No.: 5026 Case No.: Hearing Date: DHS County:

May 18, 2011 Wayne (82-15)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Jan Leventer

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to Michigan Compiled Laws (MCL) 400.9 and 400.37 and Claimant request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on May 18, 2011. Claimant appeared and appeared and testified. testified for the Department of Human Services (DHS).

ISSUE

Whether Claimant is eligible for State Emergency Relief (SER) benefits for relocation services?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on competent, material, and substantial evidence in the record and on the entire record as a whole, finds as fact:

- 1. On the State of Michigan Judicial District entered a Judgment: Landlord-Tenant against Claimant, stating that the had a right to possession of the premises and that an eviction order would be issued if Claimant did not pay the amount due or move out by October 1, 2010.
- 2. Claimant did not receive a court eviction order or court summons regarding eviction.
- 3. Claimant applied to DHS for SER assistance with Also on her back rent arrearage.

2011-23387/JL

- 4. Pursuant to DHS Emergency Relief Manual, Item 303, "Relocation Services," a Judgment: Landlord-Tenant is not acceptable verification of homelessness.
- 5. On November 10, 2010, Claimant filed a Request for Hearing with DHS.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

SER was established by 2004 Michigan Public Acts 344. The SER program is administered pursuant to MCL 400.10 *et seq.* and Michigan Administrative Code Rules 400.7001-400.7049. DHS' policies are found in the Emergency Relief Manual (ERM). ERM is available online at <u>www.michigan.gov/dhs-manuals</u>.

ERM contains the policies and procedures that DHS officially created for its own use in the SER program. While the manual is not law created by the U.S. Congress or the Michigan Legislature, it constitutes legal authority which DHS must follow. It is to ERM that I look now in order to see what policy applies to this case.

ERM 303, "Relocation Services," is the authority for the denial of Claimant's application. ERM 303, "Relocation Services," states that the purpose of the procedure is "to resolve or prevent homelessness." ERM 303, p. 1. In ERM 303, DHS spells out its requirements and procedures for assisting homeless, or potentially homeless, customers with rent, security deposits, moving expenses, etc.

The first ERM requirement to qualify for SER is verification of homelessness from DHS customers. If the client is not currently homeless, the client must present an eviction order or a court summons regarding eviction. ERM 303 sets out specifically what types of documents are suitable for this verification responsibility. ERM 303 specifically states that a demand for possession based on nonpayment of rent is not an acceptable verification document to qualify a customer for emergency relocation services. ERM 303, p. 5.

In this case, Claimant presented to DHS a document called a Judgment: Landlord-Tenant. The Judgment states:

TO THE DEFENDANT:

4.a. An order evicting you will be issued unless you pay the plaintiff or court the amount due in item 2.c. above or unless you move out on or before 9/24/10. *See Para. 10.

10. FURTHER ORDERS: Def to pay \$500 by 9/24, balance by 10/1/10. b. An order evicting you will be issued on or after [Date left blank] unless you move. Department Exhibit 1, p. 9. 2011-23387/JL

In reaching my decision, I have reviewed all of the testimony and evidence in this case. I find and determine that the Judgment Claimant received is not an eviction notice or summons for an eviction, but a Judgment that states that the owner has a right to possession and that the tenant owes money to the owner. I do not find that the September 14, 2010, Judgment is an eviction notice or an eviction summons as contemplated by ERM 303.

Rather, I understand the Judgment to be a legal notice informing the recipient that some legal action may take place in the future. Stated in other words, the Judgment may serve as the basis for legal action to be taken at a future date. I find and determine that the Judgment does not create the type of emergency situation, or threat of one, for which SER can be received.

Accordingly, I find and determine that Claimant's request for SER was premature because she was not in an emergency situation as described by ERM 303, although she may become eligible in the future if she is served with an eviction notice or summons. I find and determine that DHS properly denied SER to Claimant because she was not in an emergency situation as defined by ERM 303. ERM 303, p. 5.

In conclusion, based on the findings of fact and conclusions of law, I conclude and decide that DHS is AFFIRMED. IT IS ORDERED that DHS need take no further action in this matter.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, AFFIRMS the DHS denial of SER to Claimant. IT IS ORDERED that DHS need take no further action in this matter.

Jan

Jan Leventer Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: May 19, 2011

Date Mailed: May 19, 2011

NOTICE: Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this

2011-23387/JL

Decision and Order. Administrative Hearings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

JL/pf

