STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

п	1 TI		BA A	T-	ΓFR		┏.
П	u II	HE	IVI	۱ı	ırk	U	-

	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2011-23069 2021 August 2, 2011 Jackson
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Carmen C	5. Fahie	
HEARING I	DECISION	
This matter is before the undersigned Admin and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's requelephone hearing was held on Tuesday, Participants on behalf of Claimant included Department of Human Services (Department).	uest for a hearing. August 2, 2011, fron I the Claiman <u>t. Part</u>	After due notice, a n Lansing, Michigan.
<u>ISSI</u>	<u>JE</u>	
Due to excess assets, did the Department p	properly 🛛 deny the 0	Claimant's application
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)?		Assistance (AMP)? y Assistance (SDA)?
FINDINGS	OF FACT	
The Administrative Law Judge, based on evidence on the whole record, including the fact:	•	-
Claimant ⊠ applied for benefits □ receiv	ed benefits for:	
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP). ☐ Medical Assistance (MA).		Assistance (AMP). y Assistance (SDA).
2. Due to excess assets, on December 29, 2 ⊠ denied Claimant's application. □ c	2010, the Department losed Claimant's case	e.

3.	On December 29, 2010, the Department sent Claimant Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) notice of the denial. Closure.
4.	On January 7, 2011, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the implication implication implication implication implication implication.
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
	epartment policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges gibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
□ ad	The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is ministered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq.
Re 42 Ag thr	The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal esponsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence lency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.3101 ough Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program ective October 1, 1996.
Se Th	The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social curity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). e Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the A program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105.
for as	The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department (formerly known the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 0.10, et seq., and 2000 AACS, R 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180.

Additionally, the Claimant reported that he owned a 2000 Chevrolet Blazer, NADA value \$2,000, a 1996 Pontiac Transport, NADA value \$350, a 1974 Spectra Speed Boat, average value online \$5,000, and a checking account with \$150 balance. The Blazer was excluded per policy BEM 400. The Claimant's remaining assets totaled \$5,650, which exceeds the \$2,000 asset limit for MA. The Claimant was given the opportunity to verify the value of the boat that made him over asset, but failed to provide the information.

Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that, due to excess assets, the Department

properly denied Claimant's application improperly denied Claimant's application properly closed Claimant's case improperly closed Claimant's case						
for:						
DECISION AND ORDER						
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department ☐ did not act properly. ☐ did not act properly.						
Accordingly, the Department's AMP FIP MA SDA decision is AFFIRMED REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.						
Carmon J. Jahr						

Carmen G. Fahie Administrative Law Judge

for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: December 21, 2011

Date Mailed: December 21, 2011

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome
 of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

2011-23069/CGF

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

CGF/pf

