


STATE OF MICHIGAN  
MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM  
ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE  
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No: 2011-22917  
Issue No: 2006



Eaton County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Suzanne L. Morris

**HEARING DECISION**

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on May 25, 2011. The claimant appeared and provided testimony.

**ISSUE**

Did the department properly close the claimant's Medical Assistance (MA) benefits for failure to return the required verifications?

**FINDINGS OF FACT**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. The claimant's MA case came due for a redetermination during the month of January, 2011. The claimant was mailed a Redetermination form (DHS-1010) on December 14, 2010, due back to the department by January 3, 2011. (Department Exhibit 7)
2. The claimant returned the completed form to the department on January 3, 2011. No verification of income was included with the redetermination. (Department Exhibit 7 – 10)
3. On January 11, 2011, the claimant was mailed a Verification Checklist (DHS-3503), along with a Verification of Employment (DHS-38), which was required to verify the claimant's job stop. These were due back to the department by January 21, 2011. (Department Exhibit 3 – 6)

4. On January 24, 2011, the claimant submitted two paycheck stubs from her employment. (Department Exhibit 11)
5. On February 8, 2011, the department mailed the claimant a Notice of Case Action (DHS-1605), indicating that her MA was closed for failure to verify the required information.
6. The claimant submitted a hearing request on February 22, 2011.

### **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

Department policy states:

#### **CLIENT OR AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE RESPONSIBILITIES**

##### **Responsibility to Cooperate**

##### **All Programs**

**Clients must cooperate with the local office in determining initial and ongoing eligibility. This includes completion of the necessary forms. BAM 105.**

##### **Refusal to Cooperate Penalties**

##### **All Programs**

Clients who are able but refuse to provide necessary information or take a required action are subject to penalties. BAM 105.

##### **Verifications**

##### **All Programs**

Clients must take actions within their ability to obtain verifications. DHS staff must assist when necessary. See BAM 130 and BEM 702. BAM 105.

##### **Assisting the Client**

### **All Programs**

The local office must assist clients who ask for help in completing forms (including the DCH-0733-D) or gathering verifications. Particular sensitivity must be shown to clients who are illiterate, disabled or **not** fluent in English. BAM 105.

Verification is usually required at application/redetermination **and** for a reported change affecting eligibility or benefit level. BAM 130.

### **Obtaining Verification**

#### **All Programs**

Tell the client what verification is required, how to obtain it, and the due date (see "**Timeliness Standards**" in this item). Use the DHS-3503, Verification Checklist, or for MA redeterminations, the DHS-1175, MA Determination Notice, to request verification. BAM 130.

The client must obtain required verification, but you must assist if they need and request help.

If neither the client nor you can obtain verification despite a reasonable effort, use the best available information. If **no** evidence is available, use your best judgment. BAM 130.

### **Timeliness Standards**

#### **FIP, SDA, CDC, FAP**

Allow the client 10 calendar days (**or** other time limit specified in policy) to provide the verification you request. BAM 130.

**Exception:** For CDC only, if the client cannot provide the verification despite a reasonable effort, extend the time limit at least once.

Verifications are considered to be timely if received by the date they are due. For electronically transmitted verifications (fax, email), the date of the transmission is the receipt date. Verifications that are submitted after the close of business

hours through the drop box or by delivery of a DHS representative are considered to be received the next business day.

Send a negative action notice when:

- . the client indicates refusal to provide a verification, **or**
- . the time period given has elapsed and the client has **not** made a reasonable effort to provide it. BAM 130.

**Note:** For FAP only, if the client contacts the department prior to the due date requesting an extension or assistance in obtaining verifications, you must assist them with the verifications but do not grant an extension. Explain to the client they will not be given an extension and their case will be denied once the VCL due date is passed. Also, explain their eligibility will be determined based on their compliance date if they return required verifications. Re-register the application if the client complies within 60 days of the application date; see BAM 115, Subsequent Processing. BAM 130.

Claimants are required to comply with the local office to allow the department to determine initial or ongoing eligibility. BAM 105. The department informs the client what verification is required, how to obtain it, and the due date by using the Verification Checklist form (DHS-3503). BAM 130. Clients are provided ten days to return the verifications. BAM 130. If the time period to provide the verifications elapses and the verifications have not been provided, the department is directed to send a negative action notice. BAM 130.

In this case, the claimant disputes the closure of her MA benefits for failure to verify her job loss. The claimant admits that she received the Verification Checklist (DHS-3503), requiring her to provide verification of the job loss. While the claimant did submit two paycheck stubs, they were not received until January 24, 2011, after the due date for her verifications. Further, these stubs did not verify the job stop. The claimant was mailed a Verification of Employment (DHS-38) to have her former employer complete to verify the job stop. The department testified that they did not receive any completed Verification of Employment or other letter showing the claimant had been terminated from her employment.

The claimant testified that her employer did complete the Verification of Employment form and that it had been faxed to the local DHS office. However, the claimant did not have a copy of the form or any confirmation of the fax being sent to the department.

Therefore, given the absence of evidence to show the claimant did submit the Verification of Employment as required, the department is found credible in their testimony that they did not receive the form. When the verification period lapsed and this form was not received, department policy directs the department to deny the claimant's benefits.

**DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, decides that the department properly closed the claimant's MA for failure to return the required verifications.

Accordingly, the department's determination is UPHELD. It is SO ORDERED.

\_\_\_\_\_/s/\_\_\_\_\_  
Suzanne L. Morris  
Administrative Law Judge  
for Maura D. Corrigan, Director  
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 6/29/11

Date Mailed: 6/29/11

**NOTICE:** Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hearings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

SM/ds

