# STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 201120380 Issue No.: 1001

Case No.:

Hearing Date: April 13, 2011

DHS County: Wayne

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Susan C. Burke

# **HEARING DECISION**

This matter is before the undersigned Admini strative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, at elephone hearing was held on April 13, 2011. Claimant appeared and testified. The Department of Human Services (Department) was represented by

# <u>ISSUE</u>

Was the Department correct in its decision to deny Claimant's applic ation for Family Independence Program (FIP) benefits?

### **FINDINGS OF FACT**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. Claimant applied for Cash Assistance/FIP on December 17, 2010.
- 2. Claimant is the legal guardian of whose date of birth is
- 3. Claimant's FIP application was denied on December 17, 2010, due to "no eligible children."

4. Claimant requested a hearing on the denial of her FIP application on December 22, 2010.

# **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

The Family Independence program (FIP) was es tablished pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconc iliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 8 USC 601, et seq. The Department administers the FIP program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MAC R 400.3101-3131. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM), which includes the Reference Tables (RFT.)

BEM 245 dictates: "Children ages 18 or 19 must attend high school full time and be reasonably expected to graduate by age 20, as a condition of eligibility for FIP." BEM 245, p. 1.

In the present case, the Claimant testified credibly that she is the guardian of a child who is nineteen years of age. Claimant testified that the child is receiving special education courses. The Department did not prove that Claimant's nineteen-year-old child was not attending high school full time and was not reasonably expected to graduate by age twenty. Therefore, the Department was incorrect in its decision to deny Claimant's FIP application.

#### **DECISION AND ORDER**

Based upon the abov e findings of fact and conclusions of law, it is conc luded that the Department was inc orrect in it s decision to deny Claimant's FI P application, and it is ORDERED, therefore, that it s decision is REVERSED. It is further ORDERED that the Department shall reinstate and reprocess Claimant's FIP app lication of December 17, 2010. It is further ORDERED that if Claimant is othe rwise eligible, any missed payments shall be made in the form of a supplement.

Susan C. Burke Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director

Department of Human Services

Jusa C. Bruke

#### 2011-3753/SCB

Date Signed: May 3, 2011

Date Mailed: May 3, 2011

**NOTICE**: Administrative Hearings may or der a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hear ings will not orde rarehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

#### SCB/hw

