# STATE OF MICHIGAN STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No: 201120087

Issue No: 1038

Hearing Date:March 31, 2011

Jackson County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Janice G. Spodarek

#### **HEARING DECISION**

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on March 31, 2011.

# <u>ISSUE</u>

Did the department properly propose terminate and sanction the claimant's Family Independence Program (FIP) benefits for noncompliance with Work First/Jobs, Education and Training (WF/JET) requirements?

#### FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. At all relevant times, claimant has been a mandatory WF/JET participant.
- 2. On January 20, 2011, the DHS issued a Notice of Noncompliance to claimant.
- On February 1, 2011, the DHS concluded that claimant did not have good cause. The department's Notice of Noncompliance indicated closure effective January 31, 2011.
- On February 1, 2011, claimant filed a timely hearing request. The department reinstated the action pending the outcome of the hearing. The only program affected is FIP.

- 5. The department's proposed negative action was closure of FIP for 12 months. The department had no evidence at the administrative hearing of any prior sanctions and did not include any evidence in the evidentiary packet of any prior sanctions.
- 6. Claimant's job duties entail 20 hours per week. Claimant is the only adult in the group.
- 7. On December 21, 2010, claimant called JET to inform JET that she began employment. The JET worker testified that she returned a phone call on December 23, 2010, but was not available until after the holidays.
- 8. The JET worker scheduled an appointment with claimant on January 5, 2011.
- 9. On January 5, 2011, the JET worker was absent from work.
- 10. On January 5, 2011, a person from JET informed claimant that the JET worker was absent from work. This individual also informed claimant that she need not complete any Job Search documents as she was employed. This worker also informed claimant that she should complete an Employment Verification form and that her JET worker would be in contact with claimant. This worker was not at the administrative hearing to give testimony, or be subject to cross-examination by claimant or the administrative forum. The substitute worker also informed claimant that the regular JET worker would be in contact with claimant.
- 11. Claimant waited for a contact from the JET worker pursuant to the representations given on January 5, 2011. Claimant did not receive a phone call.
- 12. Claimant's JET worker issued a Notice of Noncompliance on January 20, 2011 scheduling claimant for an appointment on February 1, 2011.
- 13. Over this time frame, claimant had three different caseworkers with the DHS. Her case was at one point reassigned for unknown reasons; at another point reassigned due to a retirement.
- 14. The department incorrectly insisted repeatedly at the administrative hearing that claimant did not deliver a statement of earnings. The department failed to bring claimant's file into the administrative hearing which contained pertinent and relevant documents. The department was allowed to retrieve the case file and discovered that in fact claimant did deliver the verification of earnings.

- 15. Claimant's employment ended shortly after her communication with the substitute worker on January 5, 2011 (where the regular worker failed to show for the appointment).
- 16. Claimant subsequently obtained employment that satisfies Work First job requirements, not at issue here.

# **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 8 USC 601, et seq. The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the FIP program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MAC R 400.3101-3131. The FIP program replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) (formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program) is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the FAP program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MAC R 400.3001-3015. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

Department policy indicates:

# **DEPARTMENT PHILOSOPHY**

# **FIP**

DHS requires clients to participate in employment and selfsufficiency-related activities and to accept employment when offered. Our focus is to assist clients in removing barriers so they can participate in activities which lead to selfsufficiency. However, there are consequences for a client who refuses to participate, without good cause.

The goal of the FIP penalty policy is to obtain client compliance with appropriate work and/or self-sufficiency-related assignments and to ensure that barriers to such compliance have been identified and removed. The goal is to bring the client into compliance.

Noncompliance may be an indicator of possible disabilities. Consider further exploration of any barriers.

#### **DEPARTMENT POLICY**

#### **FIP**

A Work Eligible Individual (WEI), see <u>BEM 228</u>, who fails, without good cause, to participate in employment or self-sufficiency-related activities, must be penalized.

See <u>BEM 233B</u> for the Food Assistance Program (FAP) policy when the FIP penalty is closure. For the Refugee Assistance Program (RAP) penalty policy, see <u>BEM 233C</u>. BEM 233A, p. 1.

# NONCOMPLIANCE WITH EMPLOYMENT AND/OR SELF-SUFFICIENCY-RELATED ACTIVITIES

As a condition of eligibility, all WEIs and non-WEIs must work or engage in employment and/or self-sufficiencyrelated activities. Noncompliance of applicants, recipients, or member adds means doing any of the following without good cause:

- . Failing or refusing to:
  - .. Appear and participate with the Jobs, Education and Training (JET) Program or other employment service provider.
  - .. Complete a Family Automated Screening Tool (FAST), as assigned as the first step in the FSSP process.
  - .. Develop a Family Self-Sufficiency Plan (FSSP) or a Personal Responsibility Plan and Family Contract (PRPFC).
  - .. Comply with activities assigned to on the Family Self-Sufficiency Plan (FSSP) or PRPFC.
  - .. Appear for a scheduled appointment or meeting related to assigned activities.

- .. Provide legitimate documentation of work participation.
- .. Participate in employment and/or self-sufficiencyrelated activities.
- Accept a job referral.
- .. Complete a job application.
- .. Appear for a job interview (see the exception below).
- Stating orally or in writing a definite intent not to comply with program requirements.
- Threatening, physically abusing or otherwise behaving disruptively toward anyone conducting or participating in an employment and/or self-sufficiency-related activity.
- Refusing employment support services if the refusal prevents participation in an employment and/or selfsufficiency-related activity. BEM 233A, pp. 1-2.

#### **GOOD CAUSE FOR NONCOMPLIANCE**

Good cause is a valid reason for noncompliance with employment and/or self-sufficiency-related activities that are based on factors that are beyond the control of the noncompliant person. A claim of good cause must be verified and documented for member adds and recipients. Document the good cause determination in Bridges and the FSSP under the "Participation and Compliance" tab.

See "School Attendance" BEM 201 for good cause when minor parents do not attend school.

# **Employed 40 Hours**

#### **Client Unfit**

#### Good cause includes the following:

The person is working at least 40 hours per week on average and earning at least state minimum wage.

The client is physically or mentally unfit for the job or activity, as shown by medical evidence or other reliable information. This includes any disability-related limitations that preclude participation in a work and/or self-sufficiency-related activity. The disability-related needs or limitations may not have been identified or assessed prior to the noncompliance.

# Illness or Injury

The client has a debilitating illness or injury, or an immediate family member's illness or injury requires in-home care by the client.

#### **Reasonable Accommodation**

The DHS, employment services provider, contractor, agency, or employer failed to make reasonable accommodations for the client's disability or the client's needs related to the disability. BEM 233A, pp. 3-4.

#### No Child Care

The client requested Child Day Care Services (CDC) from DHS, the MWA, or other employment services provider prior to case closure for noncompliance and CDC is needed for a CDC-eligible child, but none is appropriate, suitable, affordable and within reasonable distance of the client's home or work site.

- . **Appropriate.** The care is appropriate to the child's age, disabilities and other conditions.
- . **Reasonable distance.** The total commuting time to and from work and child care facilities does not exceed three hours per day.
- Suitable provider. The provider meets applicable state and local standards. Also, providers (e.g., relatives) who are NOT registered/licensed by the DHS Office of Child and Adult Services must meet DHS enrollment requirements for day care aides or relative care providers. See PEM 704.

. **Affordable.** The child care is provided at the rate of payment or reimbursement offered by DHS.

# **No Transportation**

The client requested transportation services from DHS, the MWA, or other employment services provider prior to case closure and reasonably priced transportation is not available to the client.

# **Illegal Activities**

The employment involves illegal activities.

#### **Discrimination**

The client experiences discrimination on the basis of age, race, disability, gender, color, national origin, religious beliefs, etc. BEM 233A, p. 4.

# **Unplanned Event or Factor**

Credible information indicates an unplanned event or factor which likely prevents or significantly interferes with employment and/or self-sufficiency-related activities. Unplanned events or factors include, but are not limited to the following:

- Domestic violence.
- Health or safety risk.
- . Religion.
- Homelessness.
- . Jail.
- Hospitalization.

# **Comparable Work**

The client quits to assume employment comparable in salary and hours. The new hiring must occur before the quit.

#### Long Commute

Total commuting time exceeds:

. Two hours per day, NOT including time to and from child care facilities, **or** 

Three hours per day, including time to and from child care facilities. BEM 233A, pp.4-5.

#### **EFIP**

EFIP unless noncompliance is job quit, firing or voluntarily reducing hours of employment.

# NONCOMPLIANCE PENALTIES FOR ACTIVIE FIP CASES AND MEMBER ADDS

The penalty for noncompliance without good cause is FIP closure. Effective April 1, 2007, the following minimum penalties apply:

- For the first occurrence on the FIP case, close the FIP for 3 calendar months unless the client is excused from the noncompliance as noted in "First Case Noncompliance Without Loss of Benefits" below.
- For the second occurrence on the FIP case, close the FIP for 3 calendar months.
- . For the third and subsequent occurrence on the FIP case, close the FIP for 12 calendar months.
- . The penalty counter also begins April 1, 2007 regardless of the previous number of noncompliance penalties.

#### TRIAGE

JET participants will not be terminated from a JET program without first scheduling a "triage" meeting with the client to jointly discuss noncompliance and good cause. Locally coordinate a process to notify the MWA case manager of triage meetings including scheduling guidelines.

Clients can either attend a meeting or participate in a conference call if attendance at the triage meeting is not possible. If a client calls to reschedule an already scheduled triage meeting, offer a phone conference at that time. Clients must comply with triage requirement within the negative action period.

When a phone triage is conducted for a first noncompliance and the client agrees to comply, complete the DHS-754, First Noncompliance Letter, as you would complete in a triage meeting. Note in the client signature box "Client Agreed by Phone". Immediately send a copy of the DHS-754 to the client and phone the JET case manager if the compliance activity is to attend JET.

Determine good cause based on the best information available during the triage and prior to the negative action date. Good cause may be verified by information already on file with DHS or MWA.

If the FIS, JET case manager, or MRS counselor do not agree as to whether "good cause" exists for a noncompliance, the case must be forwarded to the immediate supervisors of each party involved to reach an agreement.

DHS must be involved with all triage appointment/phone calls due to program requirements, documentation and tracking.

**Note:** Clients not participating with JET must be scheduled for a "triage" meeting between the FIS and the client. This does not include applicants. BEM 233A, p. 7.

#### **Good Cause Established**

If the client establishes good cause within the negative action period, do **NOT** impose a penalty. See "<u>Good Cause for Noncompliance</u>" earlier in this item. Send the client back to JET, if applicable, after resolving transportation, CDC, or other factors which may have contributed to the good cause. Do not enter a new referral on ASSIST. Enter the good cause reason on the DHS-71 and on the FSSP under the "Participation and Compliance" tab.

#### **Good Cause NOT Established**

If the client does NOT provide a good cause reason within the negative action period, determine good cause based on the best information available. If no good cause exists, allow the case to close. If good cause is determined to exist, delete the negative action. BEM 233A, pp. 10-11.

# When to Disqualify

- Disqualify a FAP group member for noncompliance when:
- The client was active both FIP and FAP on the date of the FIP noncompliance, and
- . The client did not comply with FIP employment requirements, and
- . The client is not deferred from FAP work requirements (see DEFERRALS in BEM 230B), and the client did not have good cause for the noncompliance. BEM 233B, p. 1.

Noncompliance is defined by department policy as failing or refusing to do a number of activities, such as attending and participating with WF/JET, completing the FAST survey, completing job applications, participating in employment or self-sufficiency-related activities, providing legitimate documentation of work participation, etc. BEM 233A.

After careful review of the substantial and credible evidence on the whole record, this Administrative Law Judge finds that the department did not meet its burden of proof to show noncompliance without good cause as defined under DHS policy and procedure for the reasons set forth below.

Specifically, much of what took place herein took place over the holidays when the department stipulated it was not available. When the department did in fact schedule an appointment on January 5, 2011, the department was absent. The individual who stood in the worker's stead indicated to claimant that she should wait to hear from the worker and would in fact hear from her. Instead, what took place was a Notice of Noncompliance.

Claimant credibly argued at the administrative haring that there was miscommunication and disorganization. The department's testimony at the administrative hearing clearly evidenced claimant's assessment of the handling of this case. Claimant had three different workers with the DHS and evidently at least two different JET workers. The department did not have any evidence of prior sanctions. The department did not have claimant's case file at the hearing. The department insisted that claimant did not provide employment verification; after being excused to obtain claimant's file the department had to revise its testimony as it in fact found the employment verification. At one point the department insisted that claimant did not report her Job Start which the department again subsequently revised its testimony upon subsequent evidence presented. The department insisted that claimant failed to submit job sheets when in fact claimant was

informed by the substitute worker that she did not need to complete these sheets as she was working. Claimant was a credible witness. The department's actions are reversed.

# **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, decides that the department's proposed closure and sanction of claimant's FIP case pursuant to a January 20, 2011 Notice of Noncompliance was incorrect and thus, the department's proposed action is, hereby, REVERSED.

s/

Janice G. Spodarek Administrative Law Judge for Maura D. Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: April 6, 2011

Date Mailed: April 6, 2011

**NOTICE**: Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hearings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

JGS/db



