## STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

# IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No:2011Issue No:2009Case No:1009Hearing Date:1009April 25, 20110akland County DHS

201119712 2009

# ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Robert J. Chavez

## HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Admini strative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a hearing was held on April 25, 2011.

#### **ISSUE**

Was the denial of c laimant's applic ation f or MA-P a nd retroactive MA-P for lack of disability correct?

#### FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- (1) Claimant applied for MA-P and retroactive MA-P on December 18, 2009.
- (2) On April 27, 2010, the Medical Review Team denied MA-P and retroactive MA-P.
- (3) On August 3, 2010, claimant filed for hearing.

- (4) On March 4, 2011, the State Hearing Review Team denied MA-P and retroactive MA-P.
- (5) On April 25, 2011, a hearing was held before the Administrative Law Judge.
- (6) The Social Security Administration i ssued a decision in claimant's case, finding disability for the purpose of securing SSI/RSDI income.
- (7) The Soc ial Security Administration gave claimant a dis ability onset date of October 8, 2009.
- (8) Claimant was represented by

#### **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is estab lished by Title XIX of the Social Sec urity Act and is implemented by T itle 42 of the C ode of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Servic es (DHS or Department) adm inisters the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Bridges Reference Manual (BRM).

Department policy at BEM 260 states that if a claimant has been found eligible for either SSI or RSDI based u pon a finding of disability, the person meets the Department's MA disability criteria. No other evide nce is required to establish disability. In the present case, the claimant was found by the Soc ial Se curity Admin istration to be eligible for RSDI b enefits based upon d isability, and h as present ed prima f acie evidence of the same. This disability was found by the Soci al Security Administration to have an onset date of October 8, 2009, which is an onset date of the claimant's MA-P request.

2

Therefore, the Administrative Law Judge finds that the cl aimant met the Department's definition of disabled for the purposes of MA-P.

### **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon t he above findings of fact and conclusion s of law, decides that the claimant is m edically dis abled for the purposes of the MA program, pending a review of all non-medical eligibility factors.

Accordingly, the Department's decis ion in the a bove stated matter is, hereby, REVERSED.

The Department is ORDERED to process claimant's Medicaid application of December

18, 2009, and initiate a re view of all non-m edical eligibility factors, if it has not already done so.

The Department is further ORDERED to initiate a full review of this case in May, 2012.

Robert

Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Chavez

Date Signed: May 24, 2011

Date Mailed: May 24, 2011

**NOTICE:** Administrative Hearings may or der a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at t he request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hear ings will not orde r a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

RJC

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

RJC/ hw

