STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES





Reg. No. Issue No. Case No. Hearing Date: 201119706 2009 May 31, 2011

Wayne County DHS (49)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Christian Gardocki

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon the c laimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on May 31, 2011. The claimant appeared and testified. On behalf of Department of Human Services (DHS), Specialist, appeared and testified.

ISSUE

Whether DHS properly denied CI aimant's application for Medical Assistance (MA) and State Disability Assistance (SDA) benefits on the bas is that Claimant is not a disabled individual.

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. On 5/18/10, Claimant applied for SDA and MA benefits.
- 2. Claimant's only basis for MA and SDA benefits was as a disabled individual.
- 3. On 9/13/10, the Medic al Review Team (MRT) determined that Claimant was not a disabled individual (see Exhibit 4)
- 4. On 1/11/11, DHS denied Claimant's application for MA and SDA benefits.
- 5. On 2/9/11, Claimant requested a hearing (Exhibit 1) di sputing the denial of SDA and MA benefits.

- 6. On 3/2/11, the State Hearing Review T eam (SHRT) determined that Claimant was not a disabled individual (see Exhibits 35-36).
- 7. As of the date of the administrative e hearing, Claiman t was a 42 year old man (DOB with a height of 5'7 $\frac{1}{2}$ " and weight of 198 pounds.
- 8. Claimant has a history of alcohol abuse though does not currently drink alcohol.
- 9. Claimant currently smokes one pack per day.
- 10. Claimant's highest education year completed was the eighth grade.
- 11. Claimant claimed to be a disabled in dividual based on the following impairments: seizures, gout and various mental diso rders including depres sion and bipolar disorder.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by Title XIX of the Social Sec urity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of F ederal Regulations (CFR). DHS (formerly known as the Fa mily Independence Agenc y) admin isters the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400. 105. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The undersigned will refer to the DHS regulations in effect as of 1/2011, the month of the DHS decision which Claimant is disputing. Current DHS manuals may be found online at the following URL: <u>http://www.mfia.state.mi.us/olmweb/ex/html/</u>.

MA provides medical assistance to indi viduals and families who meet fi nancial and nonfinancial eligibility factors. The goal of t he MA program is to ensure that essentia I health car e services are made available to those who other wise would not hav e financial resources to purchase them.

The Medic aid program is comprised of se veral sub-programs which fall under one of two categories; one category is FIP-related and the second category is SSI-related. BEM 105 at 1. To receive MA under an SSI -related category, the person must be aged (65 or older), blind, disabled, ed, entitled to Medicare or formerly blind or disabled. *Id.* Families with dependent children, caretake r relatives of depend ent children, persons under age 21 and pregnant, or recently pregnant, women receive MA under FIP-related categories. *Id.* AMP is an MA program available to persons not eligible for Medicaid through the SSI-related or FIP- related categories. It was not disputed that Claimant's only potential category for Medicaid would be as a disabled individual.

Disability is established if one of the following circumstances applies:

- By death (for the month of death).
- The applicant receives Supplemental Security Income (SSI) benefits.
- SSI benefits were recently terminated due to financial factors.
- The applicant receives Retirement Surv ivors and Disability Insurance (RSDI) on the basis of being disabled
- RSDI eligibility is established following denial of the MA benefit application (under certain circumstances). BEM 260 at 1-2.

It was not disputed that none of the above circ umstances apply to Claimant. Accordingly, Claimant may not be considered for Medicaid eligibility without undergoing a medical r eview process which determines whether Claimant is a dis abled individual. *Id.* at 2.

Generally, state agencies such as DHS m ust use the same de finition of disab ility as found in the federal regulations. 42 CFR 435.540(a). Disability is federally defined as the inability to do any substant ial gainful activity (SGA) by reason of any medically determinable physical or mental impairment which can be expected to result in death or which has lasted or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months. 20 CFR 416. 905. A ne arly identical definition of disability is found under DHS regulations. BEM 260 at 8.

Substantial gainful activity means a person does the following:

- Performs significant duties, and
- Does them for a reasonable length of time, and
- Does a job normally done for pay or profit. *Id*. at 9.

Significant duties are duties used to do a job or run a business. *Id*. They must also have a degree of economic value. *Id*. The ability to run a ho usehold or take care of oneself does not, on its own, constitute substantial gainful activity. *Id*.

The person claiming a physical or mental disability has the burden to establish a disability through the use of competent medical evidence from qualified medical sources such as his or her medical history, clinic al/laboratory findings, diagnosis/prescribed treatment, prognosis for recovery and/or m related activities or ability to reason and mental disability is alleged. 20 CRF 413.913 An i ndividual's subjective pain complaints are not, in and of themselves, sufficient to establish disability. 20 CFR 416.908; 20 CFR 416.929(a). Similarly, conclusory statement s by a phys ician or mental health

professional that an individual is disabled or blind, ab sent supporting medical evidence, are insufficient to establish disability. 20 CFR 416.927.

Federal regulations describe a sequential five step process that is to be followed in determining whether a person is disabled. 20 CFR 416.920. If there is no finding of disability or lack of disability at each step, the process moves to the next step. 20 CFR 416.920 (a)(4).

The first step in the process considers a person's current work activity. 20 CFR 416.920 (a)(4)(i). If a person's current work activity meets the definition of SGA, then the person must be found not disabled. In the present case, Claimant denied having any employment since t he date of the MA application; no ev idence was s ubmitted to contradict Claimant's testim ony. Without any current employment, it can only be concluded that Claimant is not performing SGA . Accordingly, the disability analysis may proceed to step two.

The second step in the disability evaluation is to determine whether a severe medically determinable physic allor mental impairment exists to meet the 12 month duration requirement. 20 CFR 416.920 (a)(4)(ii). The impairments may be combined to meet the severity requirement. If a severe impairment is not found, then a person is deemed not disabled. *Id*.

The impairments must signific cantly limit a person's basic work activities. 20 CF R 416.920 (a)(5)(c). "Basic work activities" refers to the abilities and aptitudes necessary to do most jobs. *Id.* Examples of basic work activities includes:

- physical functions (e.g. walking, standi ng, sitting, lifting, pushing, pulling, reaching, carrying, or handling)
- capacities for seeing, hearing, and speaking, understanding; carrying out, and remembering simple instructions
- use of judgment
- responding appropriately to s upervision, co-workers and us ual work situat ions; and/or
- dealing with changes in a routine work setting.

Generally, federal courts have imposed a de minimus standard upon claimants to establish the existence of a s evere impairment. *Grogan v. Barnhart*, 399 F.3d 12 57, 1263 (10th Cir. 2005); *Hinkle v. Apfel*, 132 F.3d 1349, 1352 (10th Cir. 1997). *Higgs v Bowen,* 880 F2d 860, 862 (6th Cir. 1988). Similarly, Social Security Ruling 85-28 has been interpreted so that a claim may be denied at step two for lack of a sev ere impairment only when the medical ev idence establishes a slight abn ormality or combination of slight abnormalities that would have no more than a minimal effect on an individual's ability to work even if the individual's age, education, or work experienc e

were specifically considered. *Barrientos v. Secretary of Health and Human Servs.*, 820 F.2d 1, 2 (1st Cir. 1987). Social Security Ruling 85-28 has been clarified so that the step two severity requirement is intended "to do no more than screen out groundless claims." *McDonald v. Secretary of Health and Human Servs*., 795 F.2d 1118, 1124 (1 st Cir. 1986).

The undersigned has doubts that Claimant's physical impairments are severe enough to meet even the lenient requi rements of the disability analysis at step two. Claimant alleged that gout and seizures affect his ability to work.

Claimant has not suffered from a seizure since 6/2010 and there is no evidence to conclude that seizures would af fect Claimant's ability to work in anything beyond a minimal fashion. At the time, Claimant was not taking his medications for several months and suffered a seizure that lasted 2-3 minutes (see Exhibits 25-28). As Claimant has not suffered from a seizure for 11 months there is little reason to consider the cause of Claimant's seizures to be an obstacle to Claimant gaining employment.

Claimant also complained of gout and was treated for it on 7/24/10 (see Exhibits 18-21); specifically, Claimant's toe was examined. The medical records showed that there was some swelling and tenderness in Claimant's toe. However, there is no medical evidence to support any physical limitations based on the gout.

Claimant also specified a gun sh ot wound from 1996. Claimant te stified that this affects his ability to bend. Again, there simply was no medical eviden ce to supp ort that any injuries cause from Claimant's wound affects his ability to work.

Other physical problems mentioned by Clai mant inc lude hyper tension and asthma. Again, there is no medical evidence to support that these impairments caused Claimant any notable physical limitations.

Claimant was also di agnosed with depression. A psyc hiatric evaluation (Exhibits 6-8) was performed on Claimant on 8/21/10. The evaluation noted that Claimant reported hearing voices and suffered from mood s wings. There wer e no con clusions on Claimant's ability to work but Claimant was given a Global Assessment of Functioning (GAF) score.

The Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders Fourth Edition (DSM-IV) describes GAF as a scale used by clinic ians to subjectively rate the socia I, occupational, and ps ychological functioning of adults . Claimant was asses sed a GAF score of 45 which is representative of "Serious symptoms (e.g., suicidal ideation, severe obsessional rituals, frequent shoplifting) OR any serious impairment in social, occupational, or school functioning (e.g., no friends, unable to keep a job). The GAF score was supported by Claimant's statem ents in which he reported having no clos e

friends, no hobbies, suffering from hearing voic es and suicidal t houghts. Though it is debatable whether Claimant is still as impaired as he was at the time of his examination, there was at least a showing that Claimant had impairments which would have affected his ability to perform basic work activities and the de minimus requirements of step two. Thus, the disability analysis may proceed to step three.

The third step of the s equential analysis requires a determination whether the Claimant's impairment, or combination of impairments, is listed in Appendix 1 of Subpart P of 20 CF R, Part 40 4. 20 CFR 416.920 (a)(4)(iii). If Claimant's impairments are listed and deemed to meet the 12 month requirement t, then the claimant is deemed disabled. If the impairment is unlisted, then the analysis proceeds to the next step.

Claimant alleged multiple impairments, the most significant appearing to be depression. Depression is properly characterized as an affective disorder. A ffective disorders are characterized by a disturbanc e of mood, accompanied by a full or partial manic or depressive syndrome. Mood refers to a prolonged emotion that colors the whole psychic life; it generally involv es either depression or elat ion. The required level of severity for these disorders is met when the requirements in both A and B are satisfied, or when the requirements in C are satisfied.

A. Medically documented persist ence, either continuous or intermittent, of one of the following:

- 1. Depressive syndrome characterized by at least four of the following:
- a. Anhedonia or pervasive loss of interest in almost all activities; or
- b. Appetite disturbance with change in weight; or
- c. Sleep disturbance; or
- d. Psychomotor agitation or retardation; or
- e. Decreased energy; or
- f. Feelings of guilt or worthlessness; or
- g. Difficulty concentrating or thinking; or
- h. Thoughts of suicide; or
- i. Hallucinations, delusions, or paranoid thinking; or

OR

- 2. Manic syndrome characterized by at least three of the following:
- a. Hyperactivity; or
- b. Pressure of speech; or
- c. Flight of ideas; or
- d. Inflated self-esteem; or
- e. Decreased need for sleep; or
- f. Easy distractibility; or
- g. Involvement in act ivities that have a high probability of painful consequences which are not recognized; or
- h. Hallucinations, delusions or paranoid thinking;

OR

3. Bipolar syndrome with a history of episodic periods manifested by the full symptomatic picture of both manic a nd depres sive syndr omes (and currently characterized by either or both syndromes);

AND

B. Resulting in at least two of the following:

- 1. Marked restriction of activities of daily living; or
- 2. Marked difficulties in maintaining social functioning; or
- 3. Marked difficulties in maintaining concentration, persistence, or pace; or
- 4. Repeated episodes of decompensation, each of extended duration;

C. Medically documented history of a chronic affective disorder of at least 2 years' duration that has caused more than a minima I limitation of ability to do basic work activities, with symptoms or signs currently attenuated by medication or psychosocia I support, and one of the following:

1. Repeated episodes of decompensation, each of extended duration; or

2. A residual disease process that has re sulted in such marginal adjustment that even a minimal increase in mental dem ands or change in the environment would be predicted to cause the individual to decompensate; or

3. Current history of 1 or more years' inability to functi on outside a highly supportive living arrangement, with an indic ation of continued need for suc h an arrangement.

Claimant's circumstances do not meet the listed impairm ent for depression. The evidence does not support finding that Claimant meets the above listing. The undersigned did not evaluate any other potentia I listing because there is no evide nce that the impairments would have met the required 12 month duration. It is found that Claimant faile d to establish he met a liste d impairment. Thus, the disability analysis moves to step four.

The fourth step in analyzing a dis ability claim requires an assessment of the Claimant's residual f unctional capacity (RFC) and past relevant employment. 20 CF R 416.920(a)(4)(iv). An individual is not disabled if it is determined that a claimant can perform past relevant work. *Id*.

Past relevant work is work that has been performed within the past 15 years that was a substantial gainful ac tivity and t hat last ed long enough for the indi vidual to learn the position. 20 CFR 416.960(b)(1). Vocation al factors of age, education, and wor k experience, and whether the past relevant employment exists in significant numbers in

the national econom y is not considered. 20 CFR 416.960(b)(3) RFC is assessed based on impairment(s), and any related sympt oms, such as pain, whic h may cause physical and mental limitations that affect what can be done in a work setting. RFC is the most that can be done, despite the limitations.

To determine the physical demands (i.e. exertional requirements) of work in the national economy, jobs are c lassified as sedentary, light, medium, hea vy, and very heavy. 2 0 CFR 416.967. The definitions for each are listed below.

Sedentary work inv olves lifting of no more than 10 pounds at a t ime and oc casionally lifting or carrying articles like docket files, ledgers, and small tools. 20 CFR 416.967(a). Although a sedentary job is defined as one whic h involves sitting, a certain amount of walking and standing is often necessa ry in carrying out job duties. *Id.* Jobs are sedentary if walking and standing are required occasionally and other sedentary criteria are met.

Light work involves lifting no more than 20 pounds at a time with frequent lifting or carrying objects weighing up to 10 pounds. 20 CFR 416.967(b) Even though weigh t lifted may be very little, a job is i n this category when it requires a good deal of walking or standing, or when it involves sitting most of the time with some pushing and pulling of arm or leg controls. *Id.* To be considered capable of performing a full or wide range of light work, an individual must have the ability to do substantially all of these activities. *Id.* An individual capable of light work is also capable of sedentary work, unless there are additionally limiting factors such as loss of fine dex terity or inability to sit for long periods of time. *Id.*

Medium work involves lifting no more than 50 pounds at a time with frequent lifting or carrying of objects weighing up to 25 pounds. 20 CFR 416.967(c). An individual capable of performing medium work is also capable of light and sedentary work. *Id.*

Heavy work involves lifting no m ore than 100 pounds at a time with frequent lifting or carrying of objects weighing up to 50 pounds. 20 CFR 416. 967(d). An indiv idual capable of heavy work is also capable of medium, light, and sedentary work. *Id.*

Finally, very heavy work involves lifting objects weighing more than 100 pounds at a time with frequent lifting or carrying objects weighing 50 pounds or more. 20 CFR 416.967(e) An individual capable of very heavy work is able to perform work under all categories. *Id*.

Limitations or restrictions which affect the ability to meet the demands of jobs other than strength demands are considered nonexertional. 20 CFR 416.969a(a). Examples of non-exertional limitations include difficulty functioning due to nervousness, anxiousness, or depression; difficulty maintaining attention or concentration; difficulty understanding

or remembering detailed instructions; difficulty in seeing or hearing; difficulty tolerating some physical feature(s) of certain work settings (i.e. can't tolerate dust or fumes); or difficulty performing the manipulative or possible stural functions of some work such as reaching, handling, stooping, climbing, crawling, or crouching. 20 CF R 416.969a(c)(1)(i)-(vi) If the impairment(s) and related symptoms, such as pain, only affect the ability to perform the non-exertional aspects of work-related activities, the rules in Appendix 2 do not direct factual conclusions of disabled or not dis abled. 20 CFR 416.969a(c)(2) The determination of whether disability exists is based upon the principles in the appropriate sections of the regulations, giving consideration to the rules for specific case situations in Appendix 2. *Id.*

Claimant's past employment involved perf orming various job through a temporary employment agency. The only identified job duty involved si tting a chair while watching an assembly line so that def ective items could be discar ded. The employ ment would appropriately fall under sedentary employment.

There is no medical evidence to support any physical limitations on Claimant's ability to perform sedentary employment. Claimant stated that he had lim itations in bending and was limited to carrying more than 25 pounds. Claimant's seizures have not shown to be an obstacle for Claim ant since 6/2011 and Cla imant's gout has no apparent impact on Claimant's ability to perform sit-down employment. It is found that Claim ant has no exertional limits to perform rming sedentary employ ment. Claimant's non-exertional limits to be considered.

Based on claimant's GAF score. Claimant's depression caused serious symptoms. However, the undersigned is not persuaded t hat the depression has not improved. Claimant conceded better mental health s ince the 8/2010 psyc hiatric evaluation but stated he was not ready to return to work until he was "100% right". Claimant stated he is irritable around people bu t made no mention of recent halluc inations. The undersigned has no authority to determine Cla imant's current GAF level but based on the evidence, it is believe d that Claimant's GAF score of 45 is not current enough to render him currently disabled. The improvement in Claimant's depression would be likely attributed to the commencement of CI aimant's insuranc e coverag e for Adult Medical program (AMP) benefits. Based on the evidence, it is found that Claimant has the ability to perform his prior employment duties; accordingly, Claimant is found not disabled for purposes of MA benefits.

The State Disability A ssistance (SDA) program which provides financial assistance for disabled persons is established by 2004 PA 344. DHS administers the S DA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MAC R 400.3151-400.3180. DHS polic ies for SDA are found in the Bridges Administrati ve Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

State Disability Assist ance (SDA) provides financial as sistance to dis abled adults who are not eligible for Family Independenc e Program (FIP) benefits. BEM 100 at 4. T he goal of the SDA program is to provide financial assistance to meet a disabled person's basic per sonal and shelter needs. *Id.* To receive SDA, a person must be disabled, caring for a disabled person, or age 65 or older. BEM 261 at 1.

A person is disabled for SDA purposes if the claimant:

- Receives other specified disability -related benefits or services, see Other Benefits or Services below, or
- Resides in a qualified Special Living Arrangement facility, or
- Is certified as unable t o work due to ment al or physical disabili ty for at least 90 days from the onset of the disability; or
- Is diagnosed as having Acquired Immunodeficiency Syndrome (AIDS).

The undersigned has already found Claimant to be disabled for purposes of MA benefits by finding that Claimant did not have physical impairments which affected his ability to perform past employ ment. The same holdin g may be applied for purposes of SDA benefits.

Claimant's non-exertional im pairment (i.e. depression) was found to not meet the 12 month duration for disability certification. The undersigned must consider the possibility that Claimant's depression met the 90 day durational requirement for SDA benefits. Based on the evidence, the und ersigned is inclined to find that Claimant's depression did not meet the 90 day requirement.

The same findings for steps one through thr ee from above apply the same for the SDA analysis. The undersigned will reevaluate the disability analysis for step four.

There is simply insufficient evidence to show how Claimant's depression would hav e adversely impacted Claimant's a bility to work for even 90 days. The description from Claimant's psychiatrist as fe eling hopeless, low self-esteem and reporting of auditory hallucinations tend to support a finding of disability for purpos es of SDA benefits. Other descriptions tend to support a finding that Claimant was no t disabled; these inc lude: spontaneous and logical speech, contact with reality and an ability to take care of basic needs. Claimant's GAF score tends to show a borderline call of w hether Claimant was disabled or not disabled.

Based on all of the evidence, the undersigned is slightly more persuaded t han not that Claimant failed to establish that he was unable to perform his past employment for even the 90 day requirement for SDA benefits. The 8/21/10 psychiatrist evaluation noted improvement in Claimant's condition and there were a su fficient number of positive

descriptions to indicate t hat Claimant's depression di d not render him disable d. Accordingly, Claimant is found to be not disabled for purposes of SDA benefits.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon t he above findings of fact and conclusion s of law, finds that DHS properly denied MA and SDA benefits to Claimant on the basis of a determination that Claimant was not disabled. T he actions taken by DHS are AFFIRMED.

Christian Gardocki Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed:

Date Mailed:

NOTICE: Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party wit hin 30 days of the ma iling date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hear ings will n ot order a rehearing o r reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

CG/ctl

CC:

Wayne County DHS (49)

Christian Gardocki Administrative Hearings