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ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Jan Leventer

## HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to Michigan Compiled Laws (MCL) 400.9 and 400.37, and Claimant request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on March 14, 2011. Claimant appeared and testified at the hearing. , and Department of Human Services (DHS).
, appeared and testified on behalf of the

## ISSUE

Whether DHS denied Claimant's Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits in accordance with DHS policies and procedures?

## FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on competent, material, and substantial evidence in the record and on the entire record as a whole, finds as fact:

1. On September 7, 2010, Claimant applied for FAP benefits with DHS.
2. On October 4, 2010, DHS denied Claimant's application.
3. At the Administrative Hearing held on March 14, 2011, DHS offered to reinstate and reprocess Claimant's application, deleting the income of her daughter, who is twenty-two years old and is not living with Claimant.
4. As a result of DHS' offer, Claimant indicated she no longer wished to proceed with the Administrative Hearing.

## CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

FAP was established by the U.S. Food Stamp Act of 1977 and is implemented by Federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations. DHS administers the FAP program pursuant to MCL 400.10 et seq. and Michigan Administrative Code Rules 400.3001-400.3015. DHS' policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Reference Tables (RFT). These manuals are available online at www.michigan.gov/dhs-manuals.

Under BAM Item 600, clients have the right to contest any DHS decision affecting eligibility or benefit levels whenever they believe the decision is illegal. DHS provides an Administrative Hearing to review the decision and determine if it is appropriate. DHS policy includes procedures to meet the minimal requirements for a fair hearing. Efforts to clarify and resolve the client's concerns start when DHS receives a hearing request and continue through the day of the hearing.

In this case, the parties stipulated to a settlement agreement whereby DHS will reopen and reprocess Claimant's FAP application to reflect accurate income information, specifically, deleting Claimant's daughter's income from the eligibility calculations. As the parties have reached an agreement, it is not necessary for the Administrative Law Judge to decide the issues presented in this case.

## DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above findings of fact, conclusions of law, and the settlement agreement of the parties, states IT IS ORDERED that DHS shall reopen and reprocess Claimant's FAP application, deleting the income of Claimant's daughter from the calculation of Claimant's eligibility. DHS shall take all steps in accordance with DHS policies and procedures.

## IT IS SO ORDERED.



Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services
Date Signed: March 21, 2011

NOTICE: Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hearings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 60 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.
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