STATE OF MICHIGAN STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No:	201118939
Issue No:	1018
Case No:	
Hearing Date:	
March 17, 2011	
Genesee County DHS (2)	

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Suzanne D. Sonneborn

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Admini strative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on March 17, 2011. The claimant, appeared and provided testimony.

ISSUE

Did the department properly deny Claim ant's application for Family Independe nce Program (FIP) benefits due to excess income?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. At all tim es relevan t to this ma tter, Claimant was a recipient of Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits.
- On January 14, 2011, Claimant applied for FIP benefits. (Department Exhibit 4).
- 3. On Januar y 18, 2011, t he department mailed Claim ant a Notice of Case Action (DHS 1605) advis ing him tha t, effective February 1, 2011, h is application for FIP benefits was denied for the reason that his incom e exceeded the limit for the program. The Notice further advised Claimant that, effective February 1, 2011, his FAP benefits were being cancele d for failure to verify necessary information. (Department Exhibits 5, 7).

- 4. On January 21, 2011, Claimant requested a heari ng contesting the department's denial of hi s FIP application and canc ellation of his FAP benefits.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The regulations governing the hearing and a ppeal process for applicants and recipients of public assistance in Michigan are found in the Michigan Administrative Code, MAC R 400.901-400.951. An oppor tunity for a hearing shall be granted to an applicant who requests a hearing because his claim for assistance is denied. MAC R 400.903(1)

Clients have the right to cont est a department decis ion affective eligibility for benefit levels whenever it is believed that the decision is incorrect. BAM 600. The department will provide an adm inistrative hearing to review the dec ision and determine the appropriateness of that decision. BAM 600.

While Claimant's hearing reque st challenged both the departme nt's denial of his FIP application and the cancellation of his F AP benefits, Claimant a cknowledged at the hearing that the department's February 8, 2011, reinstatement and retroactive payment of his FAP benefits rendered the FAP portion of his hearing request moot. A ccordingly, this Administrate Law Judge wil I only address Claimant's hearing request as it pertains to the department's denial of his FIP application.

The Family Independence Progr am (FIP) was establis hed pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and W ork Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 8 USC 601, *et seq.* The Department of Human Serv ices (DHS or department) administers the FIP progr am pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MAC R 400.3101-3131. The FIP program replaced the Ai d to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996. Department polic ies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manu al (BEM) and the Reference Ta bles Manual (RFT).

Department policy provides that financial need must exist for a group to be eligible for FIP benefits. BEM 518. Financial need exists when the eligible group pass es both the "Deficit Test" and the "Child Support Income Test". If the group fails either test, the group is ineligible for assistanc e and the appleication should be denied or the cas e closed for the benefit month (the month covered by an assistance payment) unless the group meets the conditions for Temporary I neligibility of Extended FIP. BEM 518. Moreover, if, at the time of application, the group is ineligible for FIP benefits due to excess income but a change is expected for the next benefit month, the department

should process the second month's benefit dete rmination and, if the group is eligible based on that processing, the department should not deny the application. BEM 518.

To perform the Deficit Test, the group's count able income is subtracted from the eligible group's payment standard for the benefit month and if there is at I east a **\$ 100** deficit remaining, the group is eligib le for the benefit month. BEM 518, BEM 515. The FIP Monthly Assistance Payment Standard is s et forth in RFT 210 and delineates payment standards for group sizes of one and more (i e. the payment standard for a program group of one member is **\$ 100** two members is **\$ 100** three memb

A Child Support Income Test is required only when t he group has certified support of more than **\$ BEM 518**. To meet t he Child Support Income Test, the program group's countable inc ome and the amount of ce rtified support must be less than the eligible group's payment standard. BEM 518.

For FIP purposes, all earned and unearned income available to Claimant is countable. Earned income means income received from another person or organization or from self-employment for duties that were performed for compensation or profit. BEM 500. Unearned income means all income that is not earned, including but not limited to funds received from Child Development and Care (CDC), Medicaid (MA), Retirement, Survivors, and Disability Insurance (RSDI), Supplemental Security Income (SSI), Veterans Administration (VA), Unemploy ment Compensation Benefits (UCB), Adu It Medical Program (AMP), alimony, and child support payments. The amount counted may be more than the client actually receives because the gross amount is used prior to any deductions. BEM 500.

In this case, Claimant indicated in his app lication and confirmed at the hearing that his household group, consisting of his daughter and himself, received a total monthly in RSDI benefits. The FIP payment standard for a group size of two income of \$ is \$ RFT 210. Because Claimant's total countable income of \$ when subtracted from the relevant payment standard of \$ does not result in a deficit, Claimant is not eligible to receive FIP b enefits. It should be noted that, while the department incorrectly attributed an additio nal \$ in other unearned income to Claimant's monthly budget when the department performed the FIP income test, the ineligibility for FIP benefi ts would have been achieved same result of Claimant's regardless of the department's error. That is, whether Claimant's countable monthly income was \$ or \$ (as the departm ent had incorrectly reported) there is still no deficit to establish Claimant's eligibility for FIP benefits.

Consequently, this Administrative Law J udge finds that, based on the material and substantial evidence presented during the hearing, t he department properly denied Claimant's application for FIP benefits due to excess income.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon t he above findings of fact and conclusion s of law, decides that the department acted in acc ordance with policy in denying Claimant's FIP application due to excess income.

Accordingly, the department's actions are UPHELD. It is SO ORDERED.

Suzanne D. Sonneborn Administrative Law Judge for Maura D. Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed:_____

Date Mailed:

NOTICE: Administrative Hearings may or der a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at t he request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hear ings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

SDS/alc

CC:

