STATE OF MICHIGAN STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

201117571 Reg No:

Issue No: 1018

Case No:

HearingDate: March 6, 2011

Wayne County DHS (15)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Lynn M. Ferris

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon the Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was conducte d on March 16, 2011. The Claimant appeared and appeared on behalf of the Department. testified.

ISSUE

Whether the Department properly closed the Claimant's cash assistance ("FIP") case due to income ineligibility.

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. The Claimant's FIP cash assis tance cas e was closed due to exces s income.
- 2. The Claimant is disabled and currently receives \$898.50 in RSDI income. The Claimant lives with her two children.

2 201117571/LMF

The Department pro perly determined that the Claimant had excessincome when computing the Claimant's FIP cash budget to determine her continued eligibility. Exhibit 1

- 4. The Department found the Claimant's income to be \$898.50 in RSDI and included 2 of her children in the FIP budget. The Claimant confirmed that the RSDI income was correct at the hearing.
- 5. The FIP income limit for a group of 3 (the Claimant and 2 of her kids who qualify to be included) is \$492. The Cl aimant's income exceeds the limit making her ineligible for cash assistance.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Family Independence Program ("FIP") was establis hed pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public L aw 104-193, 8 USC 601, et seq. The Department of Human Se rvices administers the FIP program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq and MAC R 400.3101-3131. The FIP program replaced the Aid to Dependent Children ("ADC") program effective October 1, 1996. Department policies are found in the Br idges Administra tive Manual ("BAM"), the Bridges Eligibility Manual ("BEM"), and the Program Reference Manual ("PRM").

The eligible group must be in financ ial need to re ceive benefits. Need is determined to exist when b udgetable income is less than the payme nt standard established by the department. Program, living arrangement, grantee status and eligible group size are variables that affect the payment standard. BEM 515, p. 1. Specifically, financial need exists when the eligible group passes both the "Deficit Test" and the "Child Support Income Test." To perform the deficit test, subtract the program group's

3 201117571/LMF

budgetable income from the eligible gr oup's payment standard (BEM 515) for the

benefit month. To meet the child support income test, the FIP group's countable income

plus the amount of certified support (or amount of support to be certified) must be less

than the eligible group's payment standard. BEM 518. In this instance no child support

was included in the calculation of budgetable income.

The payment standard for a group of three, such as the instant c ase, is \$492.00.

RFT 210. Claimant's household RSDI income used in the 12/1/10 budget was \$898.50.

The Claimant's RSDI income exceeds the pay ment standard and therefore is ineligible

to receive FIP cash assistanc e. The Department's FIP dec ision closing the Claimant's

FIP case is AFFIRMED.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and

conclusions of law, finds t hat the Department properly clos ed the Claimant's FIP case

due to excess income which exceeded the payment standard.

Accordingly, the Department's FIP

12/01/10 eligibility determination is

AFFIRMED.

Lvnn M. Ferris Administrative Law Judge

Maura Corrigan, Director for

Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 03/30/11

Date Mailed: 03/30/11

NOTICE: Administrative Hearings may or der a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this

4 201117571/LMF

Decision and Order. Administrative Hear ings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

LMF/dj

