# STATE OF MICHIGAN STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

Reg. No: 20111752 Issue No: 2009; 4031

Case No: Load No:

Hearing Date: January 5, 2011

Ogemaw County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Janice Spodarek

### **HEARING DECISION**

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9; and MCL 400.37 upon claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on 1/5/11.

## <u>ISSUE</u>

Did the Department of Human Services (DHS) properly deny claimant's Medica Assistance (MA) and State Disability Assistance (SDA) application?

#### FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. On 6/4/10, claim ant applied for MA and SDA with the Michigan Department of Human Services (DHS).
- Claimant did not apply for retro MA.
- 3. On 8/27/10, the MRT denied.
- 4. On 9/2/10, the DHS issued notice.
- 5. On 10/4/10, claimant filed a hearing request.
- 6. Claimant has an SSI application pending with the Socia I Security Administration (SSA).
- 7. On 10/26/10, the State Hearing Review Team (SHRT) denied claimant.

- 8. As of the date of applicat ion, claimant was a 36-year-old male standing 5' 8" tall and weighing 196 pounds. Claim ant is classified at a 29.8 BMI; obesity is a rating of 30 or greater. Claimant testified that that is his normal weight. Claimant has a 9<sup>th</sup> grade education.
- 9. Claimant does not have an alcohol/drug abuse problem. Claimant testified that he had an alcohol abuse problem in 1994. Claimant does not smoke.
- Claimant has a driver's license and testified that he drives short distances.
- 11. Claimant is not currently working. Claimant testified that he worked for approximately three weeks in 2005. Prior to that, claim ant has not worked since 2002. Claimant's work history is unskilled.
- 12. Claimant alleges disability on the basis of low back pain.
- 13. The 10/26/10 SHRT findings and conc lusions of its dec ision are adopted and incorporated by reference to the following extent:

Medical summary: cervical spine CT scan negative. CT of lumbar spine showed no spinal stenosis or foraminal stenosis and normal T-12 – L1, L2 appearance. Exhibit 35.

physical exam ... heart functioning within At the normal limits. Blood pressure 140/80. Peripher pulses present and equal. Lung s clear to auscultation with no rales, rhonchi, or wheeze. Claimant chews tobacco. Abdomen was non-ten der with no palpable masses. Gait without assistive device with a slight leg limp. Straight leg raise negat ive bilaterally. Range of motion of lumbar spine and cervical spine slightly decreased. Range of motion of all other major joints within normal limits. Good grip strength and use of the hands wer e fine and gross dexterity. Deep tendon reflexes and motor str ength present and equal. Sensation was present and eq ual bilaterally. Exhibits 34-37.

- 14. Claimant testified that he has two minor children who do not live with him.
- 15. Claimant testified that he does need assistance at times bending over.
- 16. Exhibit 37 indicates c laimant quit working in due to going to prison for a B&E.

- 17. Exhibit 36 reports claimant can open a jar, button clothing, write legibly, pick up a coin, tie shoe laces with either hand.
- 18. Claimant lists his nume rous jobs as including housek eeping, as sembler, tire tech. See Exhibit 24.

# **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is estab lished by Title XIX of the Social Sec urity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the C ode of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Program Administ rative Manual (PAM), the Program Eligibili ty Manual (PEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

The State Disability A ssistance (SDA) program which provides financial assistance for disabled persons is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human Service s (DHS or department) admin isters the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MAC R 400.3151-400.3180. Department polic ies are found in the Program Administrative Manual (PAM), the Program Eligibility Manual (PEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

Statutory authority for the SDA program states in part:

(b) A person with a phy sical or mental impairment which meets federal SSI disability standards, except that the minimum duration of the disa bility shall be 90 days. Substance abuse alone is not defined as a basis for eligibility.

In order to receive MA benefits based upon disa bility or blindness, claimant must be disabled or blind as defined in T itle XVI of the Social Security Act (20 CFR 416.901). DHS, being authorized to make such disability determinations, utilizes the SSI definition of disability when making medical decisions on MA applications. MA-P (disability), also is known as Medicaid, which is a program designated to help public assistance claimants pay their medical expenses. Mi chigan administers the federal Medicaid program. In assessing eligibility, Michigan utilizes the federal regulations.

Relevant federal guidelines provide in pertinent part:

"Disability" is:

...the inability to do any substant ial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical or mental impairment which can be expected to result in death or which has lasted or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months.... 20 CFR 416.905.

The federal regulations require that several considerations be analyzed in shequential order:

...We follow a set order to determine whether y ou are disabled. We review any current work activity, the severity of your impairment(s), your residual functional capacity, your past work, and your age, education and work experience. If we can find that you are disabled or not disabled at any point in the review, we do not review your claim further.... 20 CFR 416.920.

The regulations require that if disability can be ruled out at any step, analysis of the next step is not required. These steps are:

- 1. If you are working and the work you are doing is substantial gainful activity, we will find that you are not dis abled regardless of your medical condition or your age, education, and work experienc e. 20 CFR 416.920(b). If no, the analysis continues to Step 2.
- 2. Does the client have a severe impairment that has lasted or is expected to last 12 months or more or result in deat h? If no, the client is ineligible for MA. If yes, the analysis continues to Step 3. 20 CFR 416.909(c).
- 3. Does the impairment appear on a special Listing of Impairments or are the clie nt's symptoms, signs, and laboratory findings at least equivalent in severity to the set of medical findings specified for the listed impairment that meets the duration requirement? If no, the analysis continues to Step 4. If yes, MA is approved. 20 CFR 416.920(d).
- 4. Can the client do the former work that he/she performed within the last 15 years? If yes, the client is ineligible for MA. If no, the analys is continues to Step 5. Sections 200.00-204.00(f)?
- 5. Does the client hav e the Residual Func tional Capacity (RFC) to perform other work according to the guidelines set forth at 20 CFR 404, Subpart P, Appendix 2, Sections 200.00-204.00? This step consider s the residual functional capacity, age, education, and past work experience to see if

the client can do other work. If yes, the analysis ends and the client is ineligible for MA. If no, MA is a pproved. 20 CFR 416.920(g).

At application claimant has the burden of proof pursuant to:

...You must provide medical evidence showing that you have an impairment(s) and how severe it is during the time you say that you are disabled. 20 CFR 416.912(c).

Federal regulations are very specific regarding the type of medical evidence required by claimant to establish statutory disability. The regulations essentially require laboratory or clinical medical reports that corroborate claimant's claims or claimant's physicians' statements regarding disability. These regulations state in part:

- ... Medical reports should include --
- (1) Medical history.
- (2) Clinical findings (such as the results of physical or mental status examinations);
- (3) Laboratory findings (such as sure, X-rays);
- (4) Diagnosis (statement of di sease or injury based on its signs and symptoms).... 20 CFR 416.913(b).
- ...Statements about your pain or other symptoms will not alone establish that you are disabled; there must be medical signs and laboratory findings which show that you have a medical impairment.... 20 CFR 416.929(a).
- ...The med ical evidence...mus t be complete and detailed enough to allow us to make a determination about whether you are disabled or blind. 20 CFR 416.913(d).

Medical findings consist of symptoms, signs, and laboratory findings:

- (a) **Sy mptoms** are your own description of your physical or mental impairment. Y our statements alone are not enough to establish t hat there is a physic all or mental impairment.
- (b) **Signs** are anatomical, physiological, or psychological abnormalities which can be obs erved, apart from your

statements (symptoms). Si gns must be shown by medically acceptable clinic al diagnostic t echniques. Psychiatric signs are medically demonstrable phenomena which indic ate s pecific ps ychological abnormalities e.g., abnormalit ies of behavior, mood, thought, memory, orientat ion, development, or perception. They must al so be shown by observable facts that can be medically described and evaluated.

(c) **Laboratory findings** are anatomical, phy siological, or psychological phenomena which can be shown by the use of a medically acceptable elaboratory diagnostic techniques. Some of these diagnostic techniques include chemical tests, el ectrophysiological studies (electrocardiogram, electroencephalogram, etc.), roentgenological studies (X -rays), and psychological tests. 20 CFR 416.928.

It must allow us to determine --

- (1) The nature and limiting effects of your impairment(s) for any period in question;
- (2) The probable duration of your impairment; and
- (3) Your residual functional capac ity to do work-related physical and mental activities. 20 CFR 416.913(d).

Information from other sour ces may also help us to understand how y our impairment(s) affects your ability to work. 20 CFR 416.913(e).

...You can only be found disabled if you are unable to do any substantial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical or ment al impairment which can be expected to result in death, or which has lasted or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less t han 12 months. See 20 CFR 416.905. Your impairment must result from anatomical, physiologi cal, or psyc hological abnormalities which are demonstrable by medically acceptable clinical and laborat ory diagnostic techniques.... 20 CFR 416.927(a)(1).

It is noted that Congress removed obesity from the Listing of Impai rments shortly after the removal of drug addition and alcoholism. This removal reflects the view that there is a strong behavioral component to obesity. Thus, obesity in-and-of itself is not sufficient to show statutory disability.

Applying the sequential analysis her ein, claimant is not inelig ible at the first step as claimant is not currently working. 20 CFR 416.920(b). The analysis continues.

The second step of the analysis looks at a two-fold assessment of duration and severity. 20 CFR 416.920(c). This second step is a *de min imus* standard. Ruling a ny ambiguities in claimant's favor, this Adm inistrative Law Judge (ALJ) finds that claimant meets both. The analysis continues.

The third step of the analysis looks at whether an individual meets or equals one of the Listings of Impairments. 20 CFR 416.920(d). Claimant does not. The analys is continues.

The fourth step of the analysis looks at the ability of the applicant to return to past relevant work. This step examines the physical and mental demands of the work done by claimant in the past. 20 CFR 416.920(f).

In this case, this ALJ finds that claimant cannot return to past relevant work on the basis of the medical evidence. The analysis continues.

The fifth and final step of the analysis applie s the biographical data of the applic ant to the Medical Vocational Grids to determine the residual functional capacity of the applicant to do other work. 20 CFR 416.920(g). After a careful review of the credible and substantial evidence on the whole record this Administrative Law Judge finds claimant does not meet statut ory disability on the basis of Medical Vocational Grid Rule 202.17 as a guide.

Pursuant to 20 CFR 416.912(c). F ederal and state law is quite specific with regards to the type of evidence sufficient to show st atutory disability. 20 CFR 416. 913. This authority requires sufficient medical evidence to substantiate and corroborate statutory disability as it is defined under federal and state law. 20 CFR 416.913(b), .913(d), and .913(e); BEM 260. These medical findings must be corroborated by medical tests, labs, and other corroborating medical evidence that substantiates disability. 20 CFR 416.927, .928. Moreover, complaints and symptoms of pain must be corroborated pursuant to 20 CFR 416.929(a), .929(c)(4), and .945(e). Claimant's medical evidence in this case, taken as a whole, simply does not rise to st atutory disability by meeting these federal and state requirements. 20 CFR 416.920; BEM 260, 261.

In reaching this conclusion, it is noted that claimant is considered to be a very young individual under federal law with regards to disability. At application, claimant was only 36 years old. In such situations, the law assumes that an individual can retrain for other work assuming that there is the physical capability to engage in other type of work. The

medical evidence, taken as a whole, indic ates that claimant could perform work under the 202 Grid Rules and certainly at the sedentary level pursuant to Exhibits 12, 15, 33, 34. While claimant has some problems, tak en as a whole, these problems do not meet the burden of proof requirements.

While claimant has some medical issues, these issues and these problems do not meet statutory disability as it is defined under f ederal and state law, and t hus, the department's denial is upheld.

## **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusion sof law, decides that the department's actions were correct.

Accordingly, the department's determination in this matter is UPHELD.

Janice Spodarek
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura D. Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: January 28, 2011

Date Mailed: January 31, 2011

**NOTICE**: Administrative Hearings may or der a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hear ings will not orde rarehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the mailing date of the rehearing decision.

