

STATE OF MICHIGAN  
STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES  
ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE  
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 20111558  
Issue No.: 3003  
Case No.: [REDACTED]  
Load No.: [REDACTED]  
Hearing Date: November 3, 2010  
Wayne County DHS

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE:** Aaron McClintic

**HEARING DECISION**

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon the Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on November 3, 2010. The Claimant appeared and testified. [REDACTED], ES appeared on behalf of the Department.

**ISSUE**

Was the Department correct in determining Claimant's FAP benefit?

**FINDINGS OF FACT**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- (1) Claimant was an ongoing recipient of FAP benefits.
- (2) Claimant's FAP benefit was increased to \$61 in October 2010.
- (3) Claimant receives \$1524 per month in social security benefits.
- (4) Claimant has \$96 per month medical expenses.
- (5) Claimant has shelter expense of \$1487.
- (6) Claimant requested a hearing on September 30, 2010 contesting the determination of FAP benefits.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Food Assistance Program, formerly known as the Food Stamp ("FS") program, is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations ("CFR"). The Department of Human Services ("DHS"), formerly known as the Family Independence Agency, administers the FAP program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq* and MAC R 400.3001-3015. Departmental policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual ("BAM"), the Bridges Eligibility Manual ("BEM"), and the Program Reference Manual ("PRM").

In the present case, Claimant pays \$96 Medicare premium. The Department only budgeted \$61, this was in error. Claimant received \$16 in benefit for the 3 months prior to the request for hearing. It appears that Claimant was not categorized as senior disabled prior to October 2010, this was also in error. Claimant's FAP benefits need to be rebudgeted to include the correct medical deduction and to take into consideration that she is senior disabled. This Administrative Law Judge only has the authority to go back 3 months from the request for hearing. BAM 600.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, finds that the Department improperly calculated the Claimant's FAP benefits. Accordingly, the Department's determinations are REVERSED. Claimant's FAP benefits shall be rebudgeted going back to July 1, 2010 with a \$96 shelter deduction and based on Claimant being senior disabled. Any increase in benefit shall be paid to Claimant in the form of a supplement.



\_\_\_\_\_  
Aaron McClintic  
Administrative Law Judge  
For Ismael Ahmed, Director  
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: November 10, 2010

Date Mailed: November 10, 2010

20111558/AM

**NOTICE:** Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hearings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 60 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt of the rehearing decision.

AM/hw

cc:

