STATE OF MICHIGAN

MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF THE CLAIM OF:



Reg. No.: 201115247

Issue No.: 2000

Case No.: Load No.:

Hearing Date:

March 31, 2011

Wayne County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Aaron McClintic

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon the Claimant 's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on March 31, 2011. The Claim ant appeared at the hearing and testified.

ISSUE

Was the Department correct in denying Claimant's Medical Assistance application?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- (1) Claimant applied for MA benefits on October 1, 2010.
- (2) On November 3, 2010, the Department denied Claimant's MA application.
- (3) Claimant requested a hearing on November 17, 2010, contesting the denial of MA benefits.
- (4) The party reached an agreement whereby the Department agreed to activate MA- Adcare coverage back to the date of application.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is estab lished by Title XIX of the Social Sec urity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MC L 400.105. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM). The Medica Assistance (MA) program is establishhed by Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105. Department po licies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Progra m Reference Manual (PRM).

The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by Title XXI of the Social Security Act; (1115)(a)(1) of the Social Security Act, and is administered by the Department of Human Services (DHS or department) pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.* Department policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

Under Bridges Administrative Manual Item 600, clients have the right to contest any agency decision affecting eligibility or benefit levels whenever they believe the decision is illegal. The agency provides an Administrative Hearing to review the decision and determine if it is appropriate. A gency policy includes procedures to meet the minimal requirements for a fair hearing. Efforts to clarify and resolve the client's concerns start when the agency receives a hearing reques that the continues through the day of the hearing.

In the present case, the parties reached an agreement whereby the Department agreed to activate MA- Adcare coverage back to the date of application. Since the Claimant and the Department have come to an agreement it is unnecessary for this Administrativ e Law Judge to make a decision regarding the facts and issues in this case.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Department and Claim ant have come to a settlement regarding Claimant's request for a hearing. Therefore it is O RDERED t hat the Department activate MA- Adcare coverage back to the date of application.

Aaron McClintic
Administrative Law Judge
For Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: April 27, 2011

Date Mailed: April 27, 2011

NOTICE: Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party wit hin 30 days of the ma illing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hear ings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

AM/sm

