STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg No:2011-15127Issue No:2006Case No:1000Hearing Date:1000June 8, 2011Washtenaw County DHS-20

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Aaron McClintic

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice , an in pers on hearing was held on June 8, 2011. The Claimant appeared and testified. The Claimant's Representative was also present at the hearing. FIM and ES appeared on behalf of the Department.

ISSUE

Was the Department correct in closing Claimant's MA case for failing to return verifications?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, bas ed upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- (1) Claimant was a recipient of MA.
- (2) Redetermination forms were sent to Claimant on July 14, 2010 with an August 2, 2010 due date.
- (3) Claimant submitted verifications in June 2010 purs uant to a midcertification contact notic e. Medicaid e ligibility was part of the midcertification.

- (4) Claimant called her case worker twice in July 2010 and left detailed messages inquiring whether verifications were needed despite the fact that verifications were submitted the month before.
- (5) was not available to testify at hearing.
- (6) Claimant had verifications ready to submit on July 28, 2010.
- (7) Claimant suffered a migraine headac he on July 29, 2010 that caused numerous difficulties including memo ry loss that precluded her from submitting verifications prior to t he deadline. A letter from Claimant's treating physician confirmed this.
- (8) Claimant's MA case was closed on September 1, 2010 for failing to return verifications.
- (9) Claimant requested a hearing on August 26, 2010 contesting the closure of her Medicaid benefits.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is es tablished by Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of F ederal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Servic es (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105. Department polic ies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manua I (BAM), the Br idges Elig ibility Manual (BEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM). T he Medical Assistanc e program was designed to assist needy persons with medical expenses.

Clients must cooperate with the local office ce in determining initial and ongoing eligibility to provide v erification. BAM 130, p. 1. The questionable information might be f rom the client or a third party. Id. ____ The Department can use documents, collateral contacts or home calls to verify information. Id. The client should be allowed 10 calendar days to provide the veri fication. If the client cannot provide the v erification despite a reasonable effort, the time limit to provide should be extended at least once. BAM 130, p.4; BEM 702. If the client refuses to provide the information or has not made a reasonable effort within the specified time period, then polic y directs that a negative action be issued. BAM 130, p. 4.

INCOMPLETE APPLICATIONS All Programs

An inc omplete application contains the minimum info rmation required for registering an applic ation. However, it does not contain enough information to determine eligibility because all required red questions are not answered for the program(s) for which the client is appled ying; see BAM 105. When an incomplet e

application is filed, retain the application and give or send the client the DHS-3503, Verification Checklist. Inform the client of the: • Request for contact to complete missing information. • Due date for missing information. • Interview date, if applicable. If an interview is necessary, conduct it on the day of the filing, if possible. Otherwise, schedule it for **no later than 10 calendar days** from the application date. BAM 115

In the present case, Claimant's credibly testified that she was incapacitated due to a migraine headache on July 29, 2010 and th is prevented her from submitting the documents prior to the August 2, 2010 deadline. A letter from Claimant's treating physician confirms this. In addition, Claimant was understandably confused regarding why more verifications were needed when the mid-certification review was completed the month before and it was likely that none of the information changed. Claimant act ed properly in seeking clarific ation from her worker. Claimant's undisputed testimony wa s that she left detailed messages with her worker on two occasions seeking clarification and received no response. The verific ations requested by the Depart ment are cumbersome and time consuming to obt ain and complete, it is understandable why she may have waited for a response from her worker.

This Administrative Law Judge c annot find that Claimant refused to cooperat e or failed to make a reas onable effort to c ooperate. This Administrative Law J udge finds that Claimant was sufficiently c ooperative. Therefore the Department was incorrect to close Claimant's MA case for failing to return verifications. BAM 130

Furthermore, Claimant credibly testifi ed that she submitted the requested documents prior to the closure and was given assurances from her worker at the time that her case would not close. Claimant had to submit the documents a second time after they could not be located by the Department. Claimant's case could have been reinstated on this basis also.

Finally, Claimant reapplied for Medicaid in Decem ber 2010 checking the box on her applic ation for retroactive coverage back to September 2010. Claimant's application was not processed for retroac tive coverage, although at hearing, now 6 months later, the Depar tment has offered to do so. Apparently, additional documents were needed to process the retroactive coverage, but the Claimant was not notified regarding what was missing. In does not appear that the Department followed policy regarding incomplete applications. BAM 115

DECISION AND ORDER

Therefore based on the forgoing findings of fact and conclusions of law it is ORDERED that the Department decision to close Claimant's MA case for f ailing to return verifications is REVERSED. Claimant's MA case shall be reinstated and reprocessed going back to the date of closure.

Am milet

Administrative for Department McClintic Law Judge Maura Corrigan, Director of Human Services

Date Signed: June 20, 2011

Date Mailed: June 20, 2011

NOTICE: Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party wit hin 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Admi nistrative Hearings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 60 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decisi on and Order or, if a time ly request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

AM/ctl

cc: Washtenaw County DHS (20)/1843 A. McClintic Administrative Hearings