STATE OF MICHIGAN STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY HEALTH

P.O. Box 30763, Lansing, MI 48909 (877) 833-0870; Fax: (517) 334-9505

IN THE MATTER OF:

Docket No. 2011-14851 HHS Case No. 61301486

Appellant

DECISION AND ORDER

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 42 CFR 431.200 *et seq.*, upon the Appellant's request for a hearing.

After due notice, a hearing was held on

	a nearing ne			-	
		, appeared on [•]	the Appella	anťs beha	f. ,
, and		, app	eared as	witnesses	for the Appellant.
		represented	the Depa	artment.	
	, and			, appea	red as witnesses for
the Department.					

ISSUE

Did the Department properly assess the Appellant's Home Help Services (HHS) case?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. The Appellant is Medicaid beneficiary.
- 2. On **Construction**, the Adult Services Worker, made a visit to the Appellant's home to conduct a Home Help Services assessment. During the home visit the Appellant requested an increase in Home Help Services because of an expected reduction in the service hours he received through another program. (Exhibit 1, pages 6-7)
- The Adult Services Worker determined that more Home Help Services hours can not be approved for the currently authorized tasks at the Appellant's current functional level. (Exhibit 1, page 7 and Adult Services Worker Testimony)
- 4. On , the Adult Services Worker received a telephone call

from the other service program indicating they needed proof an attempt was made to get an increase in the Appellant's Home Help Services. (Exhibit 1, page 7)

- 5. The Adult Services Worker did not grant the increase in Home Help Services hours requested by the Appellant and provided documentation of his current time and task authorizations to the other service program. (Exhibit 1, page 7)
- 6. On Rules received the Appellant's Request for Hearing. (Exhibit 1, page 4)

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Medical Assistance Program is established pursuant to Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). It is administered in accordance with state statute, the Social Welfare Act, the Administrative Code, and the State Plan under Title XIX of the Social Security Act Medical Assistance Program.

Home Help Services (HHS) are provided to enable functionally limited individuals to live independently and receive care in the least restrictive, preferred settings. These activities must be certified by a physician and may be provided by individuals or by private or public agencies.

Adult Services Manual (ASM 363, 9-1-08), pages 2-5 of 24 addresses the issue of assessment:

COMPREHENSIVE ASSESSMENT

The Adult Services Comprehensive Assessment (FIA-324) is the primary tool for determining need for services. The comprehensive assessment will be completed on all open cases, whether a home help payment will be made or not. ASCAP, the automated workload management system provides the format for the comprehensive assessment and all information will be entered on the computer program.

Requirements for the comprehensive assessment include, but are not limited to:

- A comprehensive assessment will be completed on all new cases.
- A face-to-face contact is required with the client in his/her place of residence.
- An interview must be conducted with the caregiver, if applicable.

- Observe a copy of the client's social security card.
- Observe a picture I.D. of the caregiver, if applicable.
- The assessment must be updated as often as necessary, but minimally at the six-month review and annual redetermination.
- A release of information must be obtained when requesting documentation from confidential sources and/or sharing information from the department record.
- Follow specialized rules of confidentiality when ILS cases have companion APS cases.

Functional Assessment

The **Functional Assessment** module of the **ASCAP** comprehensive assessment is the basis for service planning and for the HHS payment.

Conduct a functional assessment to determine the client's ability to perform the following activities:

Activities of Daily Living (ADL)

- Eating
- Toileting
- Bathing
- Grooming
- Dressing
- Transferring
- Mobility

Instrumental Activities of Daily Living (IADL)

- Taking Medication
- Meal Preparation and Cleanup
- Shopping
- Laundry
- Light Housework

Functional Scale ADL's and IADL's are assessed according to the following five-point scale:

1. Independent

Performs the activity safely with no human assistance.

2. Verbal Assistance

Performs the activity with verbal assistance such as reminding, guiding or encouraging.

3. Some Human Assistance

Performs the activity with some direct physical assistance and/or assistive technology.

4. Much Human Assistance

Performs the activity with a great deal of human assistance and/or assistive technology.

5. Dependent

Does not perform the activity even with human assistance and/or assistive technology.

Note: HHS payments may only be authorized for needs assessed at the 3 level or greater.

Time and Task

The worker will allocate time for each task assessed a rank of 3 or higher, based on interviews with the client and provider, observation of the client's abilities and use of the reasonable time schedule (RTS) as a guide. The RTS can be found in **ASCAP** under the **Payment** module, Time and Task screen.

IADL Maximum Allowable Hours

There are monthly maximum hour limits on all IADLs except medication. The limits are as follows:

- 5 hours/month for shopping
- 6 hours/month for light housework
- 7 hours/month for laundry
- 25 hours/month for meal preparation

These are maximums; as always, if the client needs fewer hours, that is what must be authorized. Hours should continue to be prorated in shared living arrangements.

Service Plan Development

Address the following factors in the development of the service plan:

- The specific services to be provided, by whom and at what cost.
- The extent to which the client does not perform activities essential to caring for self.

The intent of the Home Help program is to assist individuals to function as independently as possible. It is important to work with the recipient and the provider in developing a plan to achieve this goal.

- The kinds and amounts of activities required for the client's maintenance and functioning in the living environment.
- The availability or ability of a responsible relative or legal dependent of the client to perform the tasks the client does not perform. Authorize HHS **only** for those services or times which the responsible relative/legal dependent is unavailable or unable to provide.
- Do **not** authorize HHS payments to a responsible relative or legal dependent of the client.
- The extent to which others in the home are able and available to provide the needed services. Authorize HHS **only** for the benefit of the client and **not** for others in the home. If others are living in the home, prorate the IADL's by at least 1/2, more if appropriate.
- The availability of services currently provided free of charge. A written statement by the provider that he is no longer able to furnish the service at no cost is sufficient for payment to be authorized as long as the provider is not a responsible relative of the client.
- HHS may be authorized when the client is receiving other home care services if the services are not duplicative (same service for same time period).

Services not Covered by Home Help Services

Do not authorize HHS payment for the following:

- Supervising, monitoring, reminding, guiding or encouraging (functional assessment rank 2);
- Services provided for the benefit of others;

- Services for which a responsible relative is able and available to provide;
- Services provided free of charge;
- Services provided by another resource at the same time;
- Transportation See Program Administrative Manual (PAM) 825 for medical transportation policy and procedures.
- Money management, e.g., power of attorney, representative payee;
- Medical services;
- Home delivered meals;
- Adult day care.

Adult Services Manual (ASM) 363, 9-1-2008, Pages 2-15 of 24

During the **Services**, home visit, the Appellant requested an increase in Home Help Services. It was reported to the Adult Services Worker that additional hours were needed because of an expected decrease in services through another program. A telephone conversation with the other service program also indicated that they required proof that an increase in Home Help Services was attempted. The Adult Services Worker determined that additional hours could not be approved for the current tasks at the current functional level. (Exhibit 1, pages 6-7 and Adult Service Worker Testimony)

The Appellant's representative and caregiver testified that the Appellant's needs for assistance have increased within the past 3-4 months. They also indicated that they now have documentation from the Appellant's doctor of a need for assistance 12-14 hours per day.

The information available to the Adult Services Worker in **Sector** did not support an increase in the Appellant's Home Help Services hours. The testimony of the Appellant's representative and caregiver indicated that the Appellant's need for increased assistance only began 3-4 months prior to the **Sector**, hearing date. Further, the testimony indicated that they never discussed these recent changes with the Department of Human Services. The information provided to the Adult Services Worker at the **Sector**, home visit indicated that the increase was requested to obtain documentation another for program that an attempt was made to get an increase in Home Help Services, not because there was a change in the Appellant's functional abilities or needs for assistance with the authorized tasks. Accordingly, the Department's determination to continue HHS payments at the same level is upheld.

If he has not already done so, the Appellant may wish to communicate the recent changes in his functional abilities and needs to the Department of Human Services, and provide the current documentation form his doctor to support a new request for an increase in Home Help Services.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, finds that the Department properly assessed the Appellant's HHS case.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED THAT:

The Department's decision is AFFIRMED.

Colleen Lack Administrative Law Judge for Olga Dazzo, Director Michigan Department of Community Health



Date Mailed: <u>4/22/2011</u>

*** NOTICE ***

The State Office of Administrative Hearings and Rules March order a rehearing on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. The State Office of Administrative Hearings and Rules will not order a rehearing on the Department's motion where the final decision or rehearing cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. The Appellant March appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt of the rehearing decision.