STATE OF MICHIGAN STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF: Reg.



No:	2011-145
Issue No:	1038
Case No:	
Load No:	
Hearing Date:	
October 28, 2010	
Muskegon County DHS	

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Suzanne L. Morris

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Admini strative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on October 28, 2010. The claimant appeared and provided testimony, along with and her the strategy of the strategy

ISSUE

Did the department properly terminate and s anction the claimant's Family Independence Progr am (FIP) benefits for nonc ompliance with Work First/Jobs, Education and Training (WF/JET) requirements?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- The department received two different Medical Needs forms (DHS-54A) in November, 2009. One form indicated that the claimant could not work for approximately six months and the other indicated that the claim ant could perform sedentary, light-duty work with breaks. (Department Exhibit 26, 28 – 29)
- On November 12, 2009, after receiv ing the conflicting information, the department began the Medical Review Te am (MRT) assessment process. (Department Exhibit 20 – 25)

- 3. The department scheduled an appointment with the claimant to bring in the MRT f orms on November 19, 2009. The claimant di d not attend or return the forms. (Department Exhibit 19 20)
- 4. On February 18, 2010, the claimant called her worker and stated that she felt she is able to attend WF/JET. (Department Exhibit 12)
- 5. The claimant began to attend WF/J ET on March 8, 2010. (D epartment Exhibit 8)
- 6. The claimant was a no show for job search on April 2; April 9 and April 16, 2010. (Department Exhibit 8)
- The claimant attended her job search appointment on April 30, 2010, but then did not attend May 7; May 14; May 21 and May 28, 2010. (Department Exhibit 8)
- The claimant was mailed a Notic e of Noncompliance (DHS-2444) on June 3, 2010, scheduling a triage appointment for June 15, 2010. (Department Exhibit 6 7)
- 9. The claimant called to reschedule t he appointment two times. The triage was lastly scheduled for June 24, 2010. (Department Exhibit 5 6)
- 10. On June 24, 2010, t he claimant called and le ft a message that she couldn't attend the triage appointment. The claim ant indicated that she was having health problems and reques ted the triage be postponed unt il after July 11, 2010. The departm ent worker returned her call a nd informed her that the triage would not be rescheduled as it already had been twic e. The department discussed the noncomplianc e with the claimant and informed her that if she provided medical doc umentation excusing her from WF/JET participat ion for May and J une, 2010 that they would find good cause and reinstate her FIP case. (Department Exhibit 4)
- 11. On July 20, 2010, the claimant c alled the department and stated that she had medical verifications to excuse her from WF/JET participation in May, June and July, 2010. An appoint ment was scheduled for July 21, 2010 to determine good cause. The claimant did not attend the appoint ment, call or provide the verifications. (Department Exhibit 1)
- 12. The department did not reinstate the claimant's case as no verif ications were provided.
- 13. The claimant submitted a hearing request on September 24, 2010.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Family Independence Progr am (FIP) was establis hed pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and W ork Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 8 USC 601, *et seq.* The Department of Human Serv ices (DHS or department) administers the FIP progr am pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MAC R 400.3101-3131. The FIP program replaced the Ai d to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996. Department polic ies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Program Referenc e Manual (PRM).

Department policy indicates:

DEPARTMENT PHILOSOPHY

FIP

DHS requires clients to partici pate in employment and selfsufficiency-related activities and to accept employment when offered. Our focus is to assist clients in removing barriers so they can participate in activities which lead to selfsufficiency. However, there are consequences for a client who refuses to participate, without good cause.

The goal of the FI P penalty policy is to obtain client compliance with appropriate wo rk and/or self-sufficiencyrelated as signments and to ensure that barriers to such compliance have been identified and removed. The goal is to bring the client into compliance.

Noncompliance may be an indic ator of possible disabilities. Consider further exploration of any barriers.

DEPARTMENT POLICY

FIP

A Work Eligible Indiv idual (WEI), see B<u>EM 228</u>, who fails, without good cause, to participa te in em ployment or self-sufficiency-related activities, must be penalized.

See <u>BEM 233B</u> for the Food Assistance Program (FAP) policy when the FIP penalty is closure. For the Refugee Assistance Program (RAP) pena Ity policy, see <u>BEM 233C</u>. BEM 233A, p. 1.

NONCOMPLIANCE WITH EMPLOYMENT AND/OR SELF-SUFFICIENCY-RELATED ACTIVITIES

As a condition of eligibilit y, all WEIs and non-WEIs must work or engage in employment and/or self-sufficiencyrelated activities. Noncomplia nce of applic ants, recipients, or member adds means doing any of the f ollowing without good cause:

- Failing or refusing to:
 - .. Appear and participate with the Jobs, Education and Training (JET) Program or other employment service provider.
 - .. Complete a Family Automated Screening Tool (FAST), as assigned as t he first step in the FSSP process.
 - .. Develop a Family Se If-Sufficiency Plan (FSSP) or a Personal Responsibility Plan and Family Contract (PRPFC).
 - .. Comply with activities assigned to on the Family Self-Sufficiency Plan (FSSP) or PRPFC.
 - .. Appear for a scheduled ap pointment or meeting related to assigned activities.
 - .. Provide legitimate documentation of work participation.
 - .. Participate in employ ment and/or self-suffi ciencyrelated activities.
 - .. Accept a job referral.
 - .. Complete a job application.
 - .. Appear for a job interview (see the exc eption below).

Stating orally or in writing a definite intent not to comply with program requirements. .

- Threatening, physically abusing or otherwise behaving disruptively toward anyone conducting or participating in an employment and/or self-sufficiency-relat ed activity.
- Refusing employment support s ervices if t he refusal prevents participation in an em ployment and/or self sufficiency-related activity. BEM 233A, pp. 1-2.

GOOD CAUSE FOR NONCOMPLIANCE

Good cause is a valid reason for noncomplianc e with employment and/or self-sufficien cy-related activities that are based on factors that are beyond the control of the noncompliant person. A cl aim of good cause must be verified and documented for me mber adds and recipients. Document the good c ause determination in Bridges and the FSSP under the "Participation and Compliance" tab.

See "School Attendance" BEM 201 for good cause when minor parents do not attend school.

Employed 40 Hours

Client Unfit

Good cause includes the following:

- The person is working at least 40 hours per week on average and earning at least state minimum wage.
- . The client is physic ally or m entally unfit for the job or activity, as shown by medica I evidence or other reliable information. This includes any dis ability-related limitations that pr eclude participation in a work and/or self-sufficiency-related activi ty. The disability-related needs or limitations may not have been identified or assessed prior to the noncompliance.

Illness or Injury

The client has a debilitating illness or injury, or an immediate family member's illn ess or injury requires in-home care by the client.

Reasonable Accommodation

The DHS, employ ment services provider, contractor, agency, or employer failed to make reasonable accommodations for the client 's disability or the client's needs related to the disability. BEM 233A, pp. 3-4.

No Child Care

The client requested Child Day Care Services (CDC) from DHS, the MWA, or other employ ment services provider prior to case closure for noncomp liance and CDC is needed for a CDC-eligible child, but none is appr opriate, s uitable, affordable and within reasonable distance of the client's home or work site.

- . **Appropriate.** The c are is appropriate to the child's age, disabilities and other conditions.
- . **Reasonable distance.** The total commuting time to and from work and child care facilities do es not exceed three hours per day.
- **Suitable provider.** The prov ider meets applicable state and local standards. Also, prov iders (e.g., relatives) who are NO T registered/licensed by the DHS Office of Child and Adult Services must meet DHS enrollment requirements for day care aides or relative care providers. See PEM 704.

Affordable. The child care is provided at the rate of payment or reimbursement offered by DHS.

No Transportation

.

The client requested transportati on services from DH S, the MWA, or other employment serv ices provider prior to cas e closure and reasonably priced transportation is not available to the client.

Illegal Activities

The employment involves illegal activities.

Discrimination

The client experiences discrim ination on the bas is of age, race, disability, gender, color, national origin, religious beliefs, etc. BEM 233A, p. 4.

Unplanned Event or Factor

Credible information indicates an unplanned event or factor which lik ely prevents or si gnificantly interferes with employment and/or self-sufficiency-related activities. Unplanned events or factors incl ude, but are not limited t o the following:

- . Domestic violence.
- . Health or safety risk.
- . Religion.
- . Homelessness.
- . Jail.
- . Hospitalization.

Comparable Work

The client quits to assume employment comparable in salary and hours. The new hiring must occur before the quit.

Long Commute

Total commuting time exceeds:

- Two hours per day, NOT inc luding time to and from child care facilities, **or**
- Three hours per day, including time to and from child care facilities. BEM 233A, pp.4-5.

EFIP

.

EFIP unles s noncomplianc e is j ob quit, firing or voluntarily reducing hours of employment.

NONCOMPLIANCE PENALTIES FOR ACTIVIE FIP CASES AND MEMBER ADDS

The penalt y for nonc ompliance without good caus e is FIP closure. Effective April 1, 2007, the following minimum penalties apply:

- For the first occurrence on the FIP case, close the FIP for 3 calendar months unless the client is excused from the nonc ompliance as not ed in "First Cas e Noncompliance Without Loss of Benefits" below.
- For the second occur rence on the FIP case, close the FIP for 3 calendar months.
- . For the third and subsequent occurrence on the FIP case, close the FIP for 12 calendar months.
- The penalty counter also begins April 1, 2007 regardless of the previous nu mber of noncompliance penalties.

TRIAGE

JET participants will not be te rminated from a JET program without first scheduling a "triage" meeting with the client to jointly disc uss noncompliance and good cause. Locally coordinate a process to notif y the MWA case manager of triage meetings including scheduling guidelines.

Clients can either attend a meeting or participate in a conference call if attendance at the triage meeting is not possible. If a client calls to reschedule an already scheduled triage meeting, offer a phone conference at that time. Clients must comply with triage requirement within the negative action period.

When a phone triage is conducted for a first noncompliance and the client agrees to comply, complete the DHS-754, First Nonc ompliance Letter, as you would complet e in a triage meeting. Note in the client signature box "Client Agreed by Phone". Immediatel y send a copy of the DHS-754 to the client and phone t he JET case manager if the compliance activity is to attend JET.

Determine good cause based on the best information available during the triage and pr ior to the negative action date. Good cause may be verified by information already on file with DHS or MWA. If the FIS, JET case manager, or MRS counselor do not agree as to whether "good cause" exists for a noncompliance, the case must be forwarded to the immediate supervisors of each party involv ed to reac h an agreement.

DHS must be involv ed with al I triage appointment/phone calls due to program require ments, documentation and tracking.

Note: Clients not participating with JET must be scheduled for a "triage" meeting between t he FIS and the client. This does not include applicants. BEM 233A, p. 7.

Good Cause Established

If the client establis hes go od cause with in the neg ative action period, do **NOT** impose a penalty. See "<u>Good Cause</u> for Noncompliance" earlier in this item. Send the client back to JET, if applic able, after re solving transportation, CDC, or other factors which may have contributed to the good cause. Do not enter a new referral on ASSIST. Enter the good cause reason on the DHS-71 an d on the FSSP un der the "Participation and Compliance" tab.

Good Cause NOT Established

If the client does NOT provide a good cause reason within the negative action period, determine good cause bas ed on the best information available. If no good cause exists, allow the case to close. If good cause is det ermined to exist, delete the negative action. BEM 233A, pp. 10-11.

Noncompliance is defined by de partment policy as failing or refusing to do a number of activities, such as attending and partici pating with WF/JET, completing the FAST survey, completing j ob applications, participat ing in employm ent or self -sufficiency-related activities, providing legitimate docum entation of work parti cipation, etc. BEM 233A. This Administrative Law Judge finds that the claimant was noncompliant with WF/JET program requirements by not participating with WF/JET for over four weeks.

Good cause is defined as a valid reason for noncompliance with employment and/or self-sufficiency-related activities that are based on factors that are beyond the control of the noncompliant person. BEM 233A. T he claimant indic ates that she has a physical/mental condition that prevents her from being able to participate with WF/JET. The claim ant was given several opportuniti es to present medical documentation to

WF/JET and DHS. Howev er, the claimant failed to present any documentation to establish good cause for her noncompliance.

The claimant presented a physician's slip to this Administrative Law Judge that is dated July 13, 2010. The slip in dicates that the claimant was seen on March 29, 2010; May 17, 2010 and July 13, 2010 and that she is disabled. However, this does excuse the claimant from WF/JET participation fo r May and June, 2010. The claimant was specifically told by the department staff members to pr ovide documentation that excused her for May and June, 2010. Further, the claimant was to have submitted this to the department at the time of triage to excuse her noncompliance.

The department has s hown that the claimant was nonc ompliant with WF/JET program requirements. The claimant failed to provide evidence that she was unable to participate with WF/JET duri ng April and May, 2010. Thus , the department did act i n accordance with department policy.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon t he above findings of fact and conclusion s of law, decides that the department properly terminated and sanctioned the claimant's Family Independence Program (FIP) benefits for noncompliance with Work First/Jobs, Education and Training (WF/JET) requirements.

Accordingly, the department's determination is UPHELD. SO ORDERED.

Suzanne

<u>/s/</u>

L. Morris Administrative Law Judge for Ismael Ahmed, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: December 14, 2010

Date Mailed: December 14, 2010

NOTICE: Administrative Hearings may or der a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at t he request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hear ings will not orde r a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

SM/alc

