## STATE OF MICHIGAN STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



 Reg. No.:
 2011-12424

 Issue No.:
 1000

 Case No.:
 January 26, 2011

 Hearing Date:
 January 26, 2011

 DHS County:
 Macomb (50-12)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Aaron McClintic

# HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on January 26, 2011. Claimant appeared and testified.

## **ISSUE**

Was the Department correct in determining Claimant's Family Independence Program (FIP) benefits?

## FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. Claimant applied for FIP benefits on September 22, 2010.
- 2. Claimant's FIP application was denied on November 15, 2010.
- 3. Claimant requested a hearing on November 23, 2010, contesting the denial of FIP benefits.
- 4. The parties reached an agreement whereby the Department agreed to reinstate and reprocess Claimant's FIP application back to the date of application.

#### CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

FIP was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 8 USC 601, *et seq.* The Department administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MAC R 400.3101-3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependant Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Program Reference manual (PRM).

Under BAM Item 600, clients have the right to contest any Department decision affecting eligibility or benefit levels whenever they believe the decision is illegal. The Department provides an Administrative Hearing to review the decision and determine if it is appropriate. Department policy includes procedures to meet the minimal requirements for a fair hearing. Efforts to clarify and resolve the client's concerns start when the Department receives a hearing request and continue through the day of the hearing.

In the present case, the parties reached an agreement whereby the Department agreed to reinstate Claimant's FIP application back to the date of application. Since Claimant and the Department have come to an agreement, it is unnecessary for this Administrative Law Judge to make a decision regarding the facts and issues in this case.

#### DECISION AND ORDER

The Department and Claimant have come to a settlement regarding Claimant's request for a hearing. Therefore, it is ORDERED that the Department reinstate and reprocess Claimant's FIP application case back to the date of application. Any missed benefits shall be paid to Claimant in the form of a supplement.

Am militi

Aaron McClintic Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: January 31, 2011

Date Mailed: February 1, 2011

**NOTICE:** Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hearings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

AM/pf

