STATE OF MICHIGAN STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.:2Issue No.:2Case No.:2Hearing Date:3DHS County:M

2011-11575 2006

January 27, 2011 Macomb (50-20)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Aaron McClintic

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on January 27, 2011. Claimant appeared and testified.

<u>ISSUE</u>

Was the Department correct to deny Claimant's Medical Assistance (MA) application for failing to return verifications?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. Claimant was an ongoing recipient of MA.
- On October 12, 2010, Claimant applied for MA and Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits and disclosed assets on her application that had not been previously verified.
- 3. A verification checklist was sent to Claimant on October 22, 2010, with a November 1, 2010 due date.
- 4. A verification checklist was sent to Claimant on November 4, 2010, with a November 15, 2010 due date.
- 5. Claimant submitted asset verifications on November 16, 2010.

- 6. On November 16, 2010, a notice of case action was sent to Claimant informing him that her MA case was closed.
- 7. Claimant requested a hearing on December 2, 2010, contesting the closure of her MA benefits.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The MA program is established by Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

Clients must cooperate with the local office in obtaining verification for determining initial and ongoing eligibility. BAM 130, p. 1. The questionable information might be from the client or a third party. *Id.* The Department can use documents, collateral contacts or home calls to verify information. *Id.* The client should be allowed 10 calendar days to provide the verification. If the client cannot provide the verification despite a reasonable effort, the time limit to provide should be extended at least once. BAM 130, p.4; BEM 702. If the client refuses to provide the information or has not made a reasonable effort within the specified time period, then policy directs that a negative action be issued. BAM 130, p. 4.

In the present case, Claimant disclosed assets on her application that had not been previously verified. The Department sent out verification checklists on two occasions requesting that the newly disclosed assets be verified. Claimant submitted the requested verifications one day late. Claimant did not request an extension or any assistance in obtaining the requested verifications. This Administrative Law Judge finds that Claimant failed to make a reasonable effort to cooperate with Department policy and procedures. Therefore, the Department was correct in closing Claimant's MA case.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, decides that the Department was correct in the closure of Claimant's MA case, and it is ORDERED that the Department's decision is hereby AFFIRMED.

Am militi

Aaron McClintic Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: January 31, 2011

Date Mailed: February 1, 2011

NOTICE: Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hearings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

AM/pf

