#### STATE OF MICHIGAN

# STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 201110817 Issue No.: 1005

Issue No.: Case No.:

Case No.: Load No.:

Hearing Date:

January 20, 2011 Macomb County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Aaron McClintic

## **HEARING DECISION**

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge upon pursuant to MCL 400. 9; MCL 400.37 upon Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on January 20, 2011. The Claimant appeared and testified.

,

, JET Case Manager appeared on behalf of the Department.

## **ISSUE**

Did the Department properly deny Claimant's Family Independence Program ("FIP") application for non-compliance with the Work First/Jobs Education and Training ("JET") program?

## **FINDINGS OF FACT**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. Claimant applied for FIP benefits on August 27, 2010 and was assigned to JET.
- Claimant participated with the Work First program in October 2010.
- Claimant was assigned back to Work First on December 13, 2010.

- 4. On December 13, 2010 Claimant's FIP application was denied for failing to participate with Work First.
- 5. No triage was held.
- 6. Claimant's FIP application was not processed with the standard of promptness.
- 7. Claimant had good cause for failing to participate with JET, due to inclement weather.
- Claimant requested hearing on December 13, 2010 contesting the denial of FIP benefits.

## **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

The Family Independence program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 8 USC 601, et seq. The Department of Human services (DHS or Department) administers the FIP program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MAC R 400.3101-3131. The FIP program replaced the Aid to Dependant Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996. Department policies are found in the Program Administrative Manual (PAM), the Program Eligibility Manual (PEM) and the Program Reference manual (PRM).

The Family Independence Program (FIP) provides temporary cash assistance to support a family's movement to self-sufficiency. The recipients of FIP engage in employment and self-sufficiency-related activities so they can become self-supporting. Federal and State laws require each work eligible individual (WEI) in the FIP group to participate in the Jobs, Education and Training (JET) Program or other employment-

related activities unless temporarily deferred or engaged in activities that meet participation requirements. These clients must participate in employment and/or self-sufficiency related activities to increase their employability and obtain stable employment. BEM 230A.

JET is a program administered by the Michigan Department of Labor and Economic Growth (DLEG) through the Michigan Works Agencies (MWAs). The JET program serves employers and job seekers for employers to have skilled workers and job seekers to obtain jobs that provide economic self-sufficiency. BEM 230 A. A mandatory participant in the JET program who fails without good cause to participate in employment activity must be penalized. BEM 233(a). The penalty for the first occurrence of noncompliance in the JET program is a closure for a minimum of three calendar months under the FIP program. BEM 233(a). Good cause is a valid reason for noncompliance with employment related activities. A claim of good cause must be verified and documented for applicants, members, and recipients. BEM Manual Item 230(a), BEM Manual Item 230(b); 7 CFR Parts 272 and 273.

Department policy dictates the standard of promptness for processing applications: FIP, SDA, RAP, CDC, MA and AMP Only--Certify program approval or denial of the application within 45 days. BAM 115.

Department policy states, JET participants will not be terminated from a JET program without first scheduling a triage meeting with the client to jointly discuss noncompliance and good cause. BEM 233(a).

In the present case, Claimant applied for FIP benefits on August 27, 2010 and began participating with JET on October 11, 2010. Claimant completed job search hours on October 18, 2010. Claimant was assigned back to Work First, with a December 13, 2010 appointment date. The Department denied the application for failing to participate with JET, without scheduling or holding a triage and without determining good cause. Claimant had good cause for failing to participate on December 13, 2010 due to inclement weather.

The Department failed to process Claimant's application within the 45 day standard of promptness, a point the Department conceded at hearing. BAM 115.

Department policy clearly states that JET participants will not be terminated from a JET program without first scheduling a triage meeting with the client to jointly discuss noncompliance and good cause. BEM 233(a). Since Claimant was a JET participant, a triage meeting and a determination of good cause is required by Department policy. BEM 233(a). Therefore, the Department's denial of Claimant's FIP application prior to a triage being held was improper and incorrect. This Administrative Law Judge finds that Claimant had good cause for missing her JET appointment due to inclement weather.

The Department argued that no triage was required because the application had not yet been approved. However, to allow the Department to circumvent Department policy and procedures, and avoid triage requirements by allowing applications to linger outside the standard of promptness would be unjust and irrational. The Department further argued that the Department policy that states that JET participants are entitled to triage only applies to "active" participants that are actively receiving FIP. This argument

is not persuasive. Department policy makes no reference to being a FIP recipient, it only

speaks to participation with JET. BEM 233(a).

This Administrative Law Judge cannot find that Claimant refused to cooperate or

failed to make a reasonable effort to participate with JET, or was otherwise

noncompliant with JET. Accordingly, the Department's denial of FIP benefits was

improper.

**DECISION AND ORDER** 

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and

conclusions of law decides that the Department incorrectly denied Claimant's FIP

application, and is hereby REVERSED. Claimant's FIP application shall be reinstated

and reprocessed as of the date of application. Any missed benefits shall be paid to

Claimant in the form of a supplement.

Aaron McClintic Administrative Law Judge

For Maura Corrigan Director

Department of Human Services

Am Mileti

Date Signed: February 7, 2011

Date Mailed: February 7, 2011

5

**NOTICE:** Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hearings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

## AM/hw

