

STATE OF MICHIGAN  
STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES  
ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE  
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

[REDACTED]

Reg. No:

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE:

[REDACTED]

**HEARING DECISION**

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, an in-person hearing was held on [REDACTED]. Claimant personally appeared and testified. Claimant was represented by [REDACTED]. This hearing was originally held by Administrative [REDACTED] State Office of Administrative Hearing and Rules and this hearing Decision and Order was completed by Administrative Law Judge [REDACTED] by considering the record in its entirety.

**ISSUE**

Did the Department of Human Services (the department) properly deny claimant's application for Medical Assistance (MA-P) and Retroactive Medical Assistance benefits (SDA)?

**FINDINGS OF FACT**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- (1) On [REDACTED] claimant filed an application for Medical Assistance and Retroactive Medical Assistance benefits alleging disability.
- (2) On [REDACTED] the Medical Review Team denied claimant's application stating that claimant could perform other work.
- (3) On [REDACTED], the department caseworker sent claimant notice that his application was denied.
- (4) On [REDACTED], claimant filed a request for a hearing to contest the department's negative action.

- (5) On [REDACTED], the State Hearing Review Team again denied claimant's application stating they had insufficient evidence and requested a complete independent consultative examination by internist and independent psychiatric evaluation.
- (6) The hearing was held on [REDACTED]. At the hearing, claimant waived the time periods and requested to submit additional medical information.
- (7) Additional medical information was submitted and sent to the State Hearing Review Team on [REDACTED].
- (8) On [REDACTED], the State Hearing Review Team again denied claimant's application stating in its analysis and recommendation: the claimant's IQ's in [REDACTED] on the Adult Testing placed them in a low average range. He did receive Special Education services in school for learning disability. The claimant does have relevant work history. His mental status was unremarkable. The claimant had 3" stage II open wound above his knee and prolonged exploratory phase of breathing. His examination was otherwise unremarkable. The doctor indicated claimant could tolerate at least sedentary work and had no limitations of the upper extremity
- (9) On the date of hearing, claimant is a [REDACTED] year-old man whose birth date is [REDACTED]. Claimant is 5' 2" tall and weighs 200 pounds. Claimant completed the 12<sup>th</sup> grade and was in Special Education for Learning Disability. Claimant is able to read and write with some limited success and has basic math skills.
- (10) Claimant last worked a few years before the hearing as a Home Health Care Aide.
- (11) Claimant alleges as disabling impairments: bipolar disorder, obesity, hypertension, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, asthma, spinal cord injury, fetal alcohol syndrome, and leg ulcers.

### **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

The regulations governing the hearing and appeal process for applicants and recipients of public assistance in Michigan are found in the Michigan Administrative Code, MAC R 400.901-400.951. An opportunity for a hearing shall be granted to an applicant who requests a hearing because his or her claim for assistance has been denied. MAC R 400.903(1). Clients have the right to contest a department decision affecting eligibility or benefit levels whenever it is believed that the decision is incorrect. The department will provide an administrative hearing to review the decision and determine the appropriateness of that decision. BAM 600.

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

Pursuant to Federal Rule 42 CFR 435.540, the Department of Human Services uses the federal Supplemental Security Income (SSI) policy in determining eligibility for disability under the Medical Assistance program. Under SSI, disability is defined as:

...the inability to do any substantial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical or mental impairment which can be expected to result in death or which has lasted or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months.... 20 CFR 416.905

A set order is used to determine disability. Current work activity, severity of impairments, residual functional capacity, past work, age, or education and work experience is reviewed. If there is a finding that an individual is disabled or not disabled at any point in the review, there will be no further evaluation. 20 CFR 416.920.

If an individual is working and the work is substantial gainful activity, the individual is not disabled regardless of the medical condition, education and work experience. 20 CFR 416.920(c).

If the impairment or combination of impairments do not significantly limit physical or mental ability to do basic work activities, it is not a severe impairment(s) and disability does not exist. Age, education and work experience will not be considered. 20 CFR 416.920.

Statements about pain or other symptoms do not alone establish disability. There must be medical signs and laboratory findings which demonstrate a medical impairment.... 20 CFR 416.929(a).

...Medical reports should include –

- (1) Medical history.
- (2) Clinical findings (such as the results of physical or mental status examinations);
- (3) Laboratory findings (such as blood pressure, X-rays);

- (4) Diagnosis (statement of disease or injury based on its signs and symptoms).... 20 CFR 416.913(b).

In determining disability under the law, the ability to work is measured. An individual's functional capacity for doing basic work activities is evaluated. If an individual has the ability to perform basic work activities without significant limitations, he or she is not considered disabled. 20 CFR 416.994(b)(1)(iv).

Basic work activities are the abilities and aptitudes necessary to do most jobs. Examples of these include --

- (1) Physical functions such as walking, standing, sitting, lifting, pushing, pulling, reaching, carrying, or handling;
- (2) Capacities for seeing, hearing, and speaking;
- (3) Understanding, carrying out, and remembering simple instructions;
- (4) Use of judgment;
- (5) Responding appropriately to supervision, co-workers and usual work situations; and
- (6) Dealing with changes in a routine work setting. 20 CFR 416.921(b).

Medical findings must allow a determination of (1) the nature and limiting effects of your impairment(s) for any period in question; (2) the probable duration of the impairment; and (3) the residual functional capacity to do work-related physical and mental activities. 20 CFR 416.913(d).

Medical evidence may contain medical opinions. Medical opinions are statements from physicians and psychologists or other acceptable medical sources that reflect judgments about the nature and severity of the impairment(s), including your symptoms, diagnosis and prognosis, what an individual can do despite impairment(s), and the physical or mental restrictions. 20 CFR 416.927(a)(2).

All of the evidence relevant to the claim, including medical opinions, is reviewed and findings are made. 20 CFR 416.927(c).

The Administrative Law Judge is responsible for making the determination or decision about whether the statutory definition of disability is met. The Administrative Law Judge reviews all medical findings and other evidence that support a medical source's statement of disability.... 20 CFR 416.927(e).

A statement by a medical source finding that an individual is "disabled" or "unable to work" does not mean that disability exists for the purposes of the program. 20 CFR 416.927(e).

When determining disability, the federal regulations require that several considerations be analyzed in sequential order. If disability can be ruled out at any step, analysis of the next step is not required. These steps are:

1. Does the client perform Substantial Gainful Activity (SGA)? If yes, the client is ineligible for MA. If no, the analysis continues to Step 2. 20 CFR 416.920(b).
2. Does the client have a severe impairment that has lasted or is expected to last 12 months or more or result in death? If no, the client is ineligible for MA. If yes, the analysis continues to Step 3. 20 CFR 416.920(c).
3. Does the impairment appear on a special listing of impairments or are the client's symptoms, signs, and laboratory findings at least equivalent in severity to the set of medical findings specified for the listed impairment? If no, the analysis continues to Step 4. If yes, MA is approved. 20 CFR 416.290(d).
4. Can the client do the former work that he/she performed within the last 15 years? If yes, the client is ineligible for MA. If no, the analysis continues to Step 5. 20 CFR 416.920(e).
5. Does the client have the Residual Functional Capacity (RFC) to perform other work according to the guidelines set forth at 20 CFR 404, Subpart P, Appendix 2, Sections 200.00-204.00? If yes, the analysis ends and the client is ineligible for MA. If no, MA is approved. 20 CFR 416.920(f).

At Step 1, claimant is not engaged in substantial gainful activity and has worked for at least 2 or 3 years. Claimant is not disqualified from receiving disability at Step 1.

The subjective and objective medical evidence on the record indicates claimant testified on the record that he lives with his parent's and has a driver's license and is able to drive. He does as little as possible and in terms of housekeeping and he keeps up with his personal needs and his parents help him with mail and medication. A psychological evaluation dated [REDACTED], indicates that claimant was orientated times 3. He

was transported to the evaluation by his ex-wife. He reported a weight of 200 pounds and a height of 5' 3". He appeared his stated age. Posture and gait were remarkable. Clothing was clean. Hygiene was good mood was normal. Mannerisms were cooperative. His thoughts were spontaneous and well organized. No problems in the pattern or the content of the speech were noted. He denied the presence of any auditory or visual hallucinations, delusions, obsessions, persecutions, or unusual powers. He reported occasional feelings of worthlessness but no suicidal ideation. There is no fluctuation in his weight over the past year. He denied any sleep disturbances. His thoughts were spontaneous and well organized. There were no problems in pattern or content of speech. He was oriented times 3. He correctly stated the year [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] digits forward and 3 digits backwards he was able to recall 2 of the 3 objects after a 3 minute interval. He named the current [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] He correctly said his birth date as [REDACTED]. When asked to name 5 other cities he was only able to name [REDACTED]. He named current famous people as [REDACTED]. When asked to identify current events he was only able to identify the earthquake in Haiti. Calculations his was unable to perform serial 7's or serial 3 calculations. Performance on serial 3's is as follows: 100, 97, 94, 91, 88, 85, 82 & 79. Performance on single digit calculations were as follows:  $9 + 8 = 17$ ,  $12 - 7 = 5$ ,  $5 \times 5 = 25$ ,  $8 \times 7 = 56$  he was unable to calculate  $36 / 4$ . When asked the meaning of the saying "the grass is always greener on the other side of the fence," he replied "you think life would be better somewhere else." When asked the meaning of the saying, "don't cry over spilled milk," he replied "let the simple stuff go."

Claimant was diagnosed with a learning disorder NOS, and GAF of 72. In [REDACTED] a physical examination indicates that the patient was dressed in a knit shirt, jeans and tennis shoes. He was cooperative in answering questions and following commands. The patient's immediate, recent and remote memory is intact with normal concentration. The patient's insight and judgment are both appropriate. The patient provided a good effort during the examination. The vital signs, blood pressure was 138/82 pulse equals 86 and regular. Respiratory rate = 16. Weight 214 pounds, height 60" without shoes. The skin there was a 12" incision over the anterior left knee. There's a 3" stage II open wound as evidenced by the photograph. Visual acuity in the right eye was not recorded the left eye was 20/40 without corrective lens. Pupils were equal, round and reactive to light. The patient could hear conversational speech without limitation or aids. The neck was supple without masses. There was prolongation of the expiratory phase of the chest. Breath sounds were clear to auscultation and symmetrical. Accessory muscle use. The heart there is regular rate and rhythm without enlargement. There is a normal S1 and S2. There is no organomegaly or masses. Bowel sounds were normal. The vascular area no clubbing, cyanosis or edema is detected. The peripheral pulses are intact. There is no evidence of joint laxity, crepitation, or effusion. Grip strength remains strong. Dexterity unrepaired. The patient could pick up a coin closing and opening the door the patient had no difficulty getting on and off the examination table, mild difficulty in toe walking and moderately difficulty performing partial squat, no difficulty hopping on the right and moderately difficulty on the left. There is synovial thickening in the left knee.

Range and motion studies were normal. The neurological area cranial nerves were intact. Motor strength and tone were normal. Reflexes are intact and symmetrical. Romberg testing is negative. The patient walks with a moderate left limp gait without the use of an assist device. The conclusion is that claimant suffers from asthma and has some mild persistent disease but is not on inhaler therapy and he had left knee wound at the point where he would be able to tolerate standing 4-6 hours in an 8 hour day but will tolerate sedentary occupation. His upper extremities were normal. (Page C3 -C5).

At Step 2, claimant has the burden of proof of establishing that she has a severely restrictive physical or mental impairment that has lasted or is expected to last for the duration of at least 12 months. There is insufficient objective clinical medical evidence in the record that claimant suffers a severely restrictive physical or mental impairment. Claimant has reports of pain in multiple areas of his body; however, there are no corresponding clinical findings that support the reports of symptoms and limitations made by the claimant. There are no laboratory or x-ray findings listed in the file. The clinical impression is that claimant is stable. There is no medical finding that claimant has any muscle atrophy or trauma, abnormality or injury that is consistent with a deteriorating condition. In short, claimant has restricted himself from tasks associated with occupational functioning based upon his reports of pain (symptoms) rather than medical findings. Reported symptoms are an insufficient basis upon which a finding that claimant has met the evidentiary burden of proof can be made. This Administrative Law Judge finds that the medical record is insufficient to establish that claimant has a severely restrictive physical impairment.

Claimant alleges the following disabling mental impairments: bipolar, depression, anxiety

For mental disorders, severity is assessed in terms of the functional limitations imposed by the impairment. Functional limitations are assessed using the criteria in paragraph (B) of the listings for mental disorders (descriptions of restrictions of activities of daily living, social functioning; concentration, persistence, or pace; and ability to tolerate increased mental demands associated with competitive work).... 20 CFR, Part 404, Subpart P, App. 1, 12.00(C).

There is insufficient objective medical/psychiatric evidence in the record indicating claimant suffers severe mental limitations. There is no mental residual functional capacity assessment in the record. There is insufficient evidence contained in the file of depression or a cognitive dysfunction that is so severe that it would prevent claimant from working at any job. Claimant was oriented to time, person and place during the hearing. Claimant was able to answer all of the questions at the hearing and was responsive to the questions. The evidentiary record is insufficient to find that claimant suffers a severely restrictive mental impairment. For these reasons, this Administrative Law Judge finds that claimant has failed to meet his burden of proof at Step 2. Claimant must be denied benefits at this step based upon his failure to meet the evidentiary burden.

If claimant had not been denied at Step 2, the analysis would proceed to Step 3 where the medical evidence of claimant's condition does not give rise to a finding that he would meet a statutory listing in the code of federal regulations.

If claimant had not already been denied at Step 2, this Administrative Law Judge would have to deny him again at Step 4 based upon his ability to perform his past relevant work. There is no evidence upon which this Administrative Law Judge could base a finding that claimant is unable to perform work in which he has engaged in, in the past. Therefore, if claimant had not already been denied at Step 2, he would be denied again at Step 4.

The Administrative Law Judge will continue to proceed through the sequential evaluation process to determine whether or not claimant has the residual functional capacity to perform some other less strenuous tasks than in his prior jobs.

At Step 5, the burden of proof shifts to the department to establish that claimant does not have residual functional capacity.

The residual functional capacity is what an individual can do despite limitations. All impairments will be considered in addition to ability to meet certain demands of jobs in the national economy. Physical demands, mental demands, sensory requirements and other functions will be evaluated.... 20 CFR 416.945(a).

To determine the physical demands (exertional requirements) of work in the national economy, we classify jobs as sedentary, light, medium and heavy. These terms have the same meaning as they have in the *Dictionary of Occupational Titles*, published by the Department of Labor... 20 CFR 416.967.

**Sedentary work.** Sedentary work involves lifting no more than 10 pounds at a time and occasionally lifting or carrying articles like docket files, ledgers, and small tools. Although a sedentary job is defined as one which involves sitting, a certain amount of walking and standing is often necessary in carrying out job duties. Jobs are sedentary if walking and standing are required occasionally and other sedentary criteria are met. 20 CFR 416.967(a).

**Light work.** Light work involves lifting no more than 20 pounds at a time with frequent lifting or carrying of objects weighing up to 10 pounds. Even though the weight lifted may be very little, a job is in this category when it requires a good deal of walking or standing, or when it involves sitting most of the time with some pushing and pulling of arm or leg controls.... 20 CFR 416.967(b).

Claimant has submitted insufficient objective medical evidence that he lacks the residual functional capacity to perform some other less strenuous tasks than in his prior employment or that he is physically unable to do light or sedentary tasks if demanded of him. Claimant's activities of daily living do not appear to be very limited and he should be able to perform light or sedentary work even with his impairments. Claimant has

failed to provide the necessary objective medical evidence to establish that he has a severe impairment or combination of impairments which prevent him from performing any level of work for a period of 12 months. The claimant's testimony as to his limitations indicates that he should be able to perform light or sedentary work.

There is insufficient objective medical/psychiatric evidence contained in the file of depression or a cognitive dysfunction that is so severe that it would prevent claimant from working at any job. Claimant was able to answer all the questions at the hearing and was responsive to the questions. Claimant was oriented to time, person and place during the hearing. Claimant's complaints of pain, while profound and credible, are out of proportion to the objective medical evidence contained in the file as it relates to claimant's ability to perform work. Therefore, this Administrative Law Judge finds that the objective medical evidence on the record does not establish that claimant has no residual functional capacity. Claimant is disqualified from receiving disability at Step 5 based upon the fact that he has not established by objective medical evidence that he cannot perform light or sedentary work even with his impairments. Under the Medical-Vocational guidelines, a younger individual (age 37 ), with a high school education and an unskilled work history who is limited to light work is not considered disabled pursuant to Medical Vocational Rule 201.27.

It should be noted that claimant continues to smoke despite the fact that his doctor has told him to quit. Claimant is not in compliance with his treatment program.

If an individual fails to follow prescribed treatment which would be expected to restore their ability to engage in substantial activity without good cause there will not be a finding of disability.... 20 CFR 416.994(b)(4)(iv).

The Department has established by the necessary competent, material and substantial evidence on the record that it was acting in compliance with department policy when it determined that claimant was not eligible to receive Medical Assistance and/or State Disability Assistance.

### **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, decides that the department has appropriately established on the record that it was acting in compliance with department policy when it denied claimant's application for Medical Assistance, retroactive Medical Assistance benefits. The claimant should be able to perform a wide range of light or sedentary work even with his impairments. The department has established its case by a preponderance of the evidence.

Accordingly, the department's decision is AFFIRMED.

/s/ \_\_\_\_\_  
[Redacted Signature]

Date Signed: \_\_\_\_\_ [Redacted]

Date Mailed: \_\_\_\_\_ [Redacted]

**NOTICE:** Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hearings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]