


STATE OF MICHIGAN
STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES
ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 201050815
Issue No.: 1022
Case No.: [REDACTED]
Load No.: [REDACTED]
Hearing Date: November 17, 2010
Wayne County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Aaron McClintic

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon the Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on November 17, 2010. The Claimant appeared and testified. [REDACTED], FIM and [REDACTED], FIS appeared on behalf of the Department.

ISSUE

Was the Department correct in closing Claimant's FIP benefits?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- (1) Claimant was an ongoing recipient of FIP benefits.
- (2) Claimant's son turned 18 in July 2009, and he is no longer in high school.
- (3) Claimant's FIP case closed on September 1, 2010 because there were no FIP eligible children in the household.
- (4) Claimant requested a hearing on July 19, 2010 contesting the closure of FIP benefits.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Family Independence program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 8 USC 601, *et seq.* The Department of Human services (DHS or Department) administers the FIP program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MAC R 400.3101-3131. The FIP program replaced the Aid to Dependant Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Program Reference manual (PRM).

Dependent Child A **dependent child** is an unemancipated child who lives with a caretaker and is one of the following: • Under age 18. • Age 18 or 19 and a full-time high school student expected to graduate before age 20. BEM 210

In the present case, Claimant's son turned 18 in July 2009, and he is no longer attending high school. The Department closed Claimant's case because there were no longer any FIP eligible dependent children in the household. (BEM 210). This is in accordance with Department policy and is proper and correct.

Claimant was advised to apply for SDA and MA if she is unable to work due to health problems.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law decides that the Department was correct in the closure of FIP benefits, and it is ORDERED that the Department's decision in this regard be and is hereby AFFIRMED.



Aaron McClintic
Administrative Law Judge
For Ismael Ahmed, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: November 29, 2010

Date Mailed: November 29, 2010

201050815/AM

NOTICE: Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hearings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

AM/hw

cc:

