

STATE OF MICHIGAN  
STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES

ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE  
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF: [REDACTED],  
Claimant

Reg. No: 2010-44148  
Issue No: 1018  
Case No: [REDACTED]  
Load No: [REDACTED]  
Hearing Date:  
September 9, 2010  
Kent County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Suzanne L. Morris

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on September 9, 2010. The claimant personally appeared and provided testimony, along with his wife Ntimpirangeza Eva, through an interpreter, his son, [REDACTED]

ISSUE

Did the department properly determine the claimant was excess income to participate in the Family Independence Program (FIP) in March, 2010?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. The claimant's son, [REDACTED], turned 20 years old on January 1, 2010. Because he is still in high school, he no longer qualified to be included in the claimant's FIP group. (Department Exhibit 2)

2. This caused the claimant's group size to decrease to five members. The claimant was then excess income to participate in the FIP program. The claimant was placed on EFIP and given the \$10 grant. (Department Exhibit 9, 10)

3. The claimant submitted a hearing request on April 9, 2010.

### CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 8 USC 601, *et seq.* The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the FIP program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MAC R 400.3101-3131. The FIP program replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

Department policy states:

#### **DEPARTMENT POLICY**

##### **FIP and SDA Only**

Financial need must exist to receive benefits. Financial need exists when the eligible group passes both the "**Deficit Test**" and the "**Child Support Income Test**". To perform the deficit test, subtract the **program group's** budgetable income from the **eligible group's** Payment Standard (BEM, Item 515) for the benefit month. To meet the Child Support Income Test, the FIP group's countable income plus the amount of certified support (or amount of support to be certified) must be less than the eligible group's payment standard. BEM, Item 518, p. 1.

The benefit month is the month an assistance payment covers. The income month is a calendar month in which countable income is received or anticipated. The income month is the same as the benefit month. BEM, Item 518, p. 1.

Countable income is defined in BEM 500. Available income, the amount of income to budget and when to complete a budget are defined in BEM 505. Use this item to determine the program group's budgetable income and financial eligibility. BEM, Item 518, p. 1.

## **FINANCIAL NEED**

### **FIP and SDA Only**

Financial need exists if:

- . there is at least a \$1 deficit after income is budgeted, **and**
- . the group passes the Child Support Income Test.

**Exception:** A child support income test is not required for SDA groups. BEM, Item 518, p. 2.

If the group fails either test, the group is ineligible for assistance. Deny the application or close the case for the benefit month unless the group meets the conditions for Temporary Ineligibility of Extended FIP.

At application, if the group is ineligible due to excess income but a change is expected for the next benefit month, process the second month's benefit determination. If eligible, do not deny the application. BEM, Item 518, p. 2.

### **Deficit Test**

#### **FIP and SDA Only**

Compare the program group's budgetable income for the income month to the eligible group's payment standard for the benefit month. The group is ineligible for the benefit month if no deficit exists. BEM, Item 518, p. 2.

Department policy indicates that financial need must exist for a group to be eligible for FIP benefits. BEM 518. Financial need exists when the eligible group passes both the "**Deficit Test**" and the "**Child Support Income Test**". To perform the deficit test, subtract the **program**

**group's** budgetable income from the **eligible group's** Payment Standard (BEM, Item 515) for the benefit month.

In this case, the claimant's son turned 20 and has not yet completed high school. BEM 210, indicates that a dependent child must be under age 18 or age 18 or 19 and a full-time student expected to graduate before age 20. In this case, the claimant's son turned 20 and has not yet graduated from high school. Therefore, he is no longer eligible to be included in the program group.

This brought the group size of the family down to five members. The FIP payment standard for this group size is \$694. However, the income of the family exceeds the payment standard, as the claimant's wife's countable income is \$731. This made the claimant ineligible to receive FIP benefits.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, decides that the department properly determined the claimant was excess income to participate in the Family Independence Program (FIP) in March, 2010.

Accordingly, the department's determination is UPHELD. SO ORDERED.

/S/  
Suzanne L. Morris  
Administrative Law Judge  
for Ismael Ahmed, Director  
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: October 6, 2010

Date Mailed: October 6, 2010

**NOTICE:** Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hearings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

SLM 

cc:

