

STATE OF MICHIGAN
STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES

ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF: [REDACTED],
Claimant

Reg. No: 2010-34025
Issue No: 2009; 4031
Case No: [REDACTED]
Load No: [REDACTED]
Hearing Date:
June 9, 2010
Ionia County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Jana A. Bachman

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on June 9, 2010.

ISSUE

Whether claimant has established disability for Medical Assistance (MA) and State Disability Assistance (SDA).

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- (1) February 24, 2010, claimant applied for MA and SDA.
- (2) April 20, 2010, the Medical Review Team (MRT) denied claimant's application.

Department Exhibit A.

(3) April 23, 2010, the department sent claimant written notice that the application was denied.

(4) April 28, 2010, the department received claimant's timely request for hearing.

(5) May 20, 2010, the State Hearing Review Team (SHRT) denied claimant's application. Department Exhibit B.

(6) June 9, 2010, the telephone hearing was held.

(7) Claimant asserts disability based on impairments caused by arthritis, muscle problems with hips, bipolar disorder, depression, and asthma.

(8) Claimant testified at hearing. Claimant is 39 years old, 5'4" tall, and weighs 253 pounds. Claimant completed 11th grade and is currently attending high school completion. She is able to read, write, and perform basic math. Claimant does not have a driver's license and is able to drive. Claimant cares for her needs at home.

(9) Claimant's past relevant employment has been performing general labor such as factory work and housekeeping.

(10) September 29, 2009, claimant underwent x-ray of the sacrum and coccyx. A report was prepared that revealed no acute abnormalities. Department Exhibit A, pg. 17.

August 26, 2009, claimant underwent MRI of the bilateral hips. A report was prepared that indicates while there is edema tracking within the gluteal musculature, doctor believes both gluteus medius and minimus tendons are intact bilaterally. There is also a small volume of hip joint diffusion but no osseous abnormality. Certainly no evidence of avascular necrosis.

Majority of fluid seems lies underneath the fascia lata. It is fairly common to see fluid in this location. Incidental note is made of a functional cyst of the left ovary. Department Exhibit A,

pgs. 18-19. August 7, 2009, x-rays were taken of the bilateral hips and pelvis. A report was prepared that indicates no acute bony abnormality is seen. Department Exhibit A, pg 20.

(11) June 14, 2009, claimant presented to Emergency Room complaining of worsening back pain. Physical exam revealed heart rate of S1, S2; regular rate and rhythm; no rubs, gallops, or murmurs. Lungs were clear to auscultation bilaterally. No rales, rhonchi or wheezes. Abdomen was soft and nontender with no hepatosplenomegaly. No rebound or guarding. Extremities move x 4 with power; no clubbing, cyanosis, or edema. Sitting root test was negative for long nerve root tension sign or radicular pain. Deep tendon reflexes were equal and reactive with no evidence of a sensory motor loss in the L1-5 and S1 nerve root levels. Extension of the great toe was well maintained. She had palpitory tenderness primarily left paraspinal and none in the midline on the left. The sacroiliac joint was not tender. Provisional diagnoses were chronic lumbar back pain and lumbar myofascial pain. Department Exhibit A, pgs. 25-26.

(12) June 27, 2009, claimant underwent two chest x-rays due to chest pain. A report was prepared that indicates upright frontal and lateral projection views of the chest show the cardiac silhouette to be within the range of normal size with no effusion or hyperaeration appreciated. There is density consistent with a right cardiophrenic angle fat pad, possibly including some infiltrate or atelectatic density adjacent to it. Department Exhibit A, pg. 31. A chest CT scan conducted that same day revealed no pulmonary embolism. Areas of pleural shadow prominence and interstitial pulmonary density consistent with probable scarring. Department Exhibit A, pg. 32. June 30, 2009, claimant underwent stress test and echo imaging testing. Prepared report indicates a technically difficult study, but appears negative for mild cardiac ischemia or mild cardiac infarction. Resting echocardiogram was unremarkable except

mildly elevated pulmonary arteries, systolic pressure at 41mmHG. Department Exhibit A, pg. 36. Stress echocardiogram revealed no electrocardiogram changes consistent with ischemia during exercise. No chest discomfort during exercise. No ectopy during exercise. Normal blood pressure response to exercise. Care to build exercise tolerance. Department Exhibit A, pgs 37-38.

(13) January 31, 2010, claimant presented to Emergency Room complaining of mid low back and hip pain. Physical examination revealed in pertinent part: abdomen was soft, obese, and non-tender. Bowel sounds are present. Straight leg raising is negative at 90 degrees. Normal range of motion of hips including internal and external rotation. Homans sign is negative. Dorsalis pedis pulses are decreased. No effusions of the knee or wrist joints. No warmth to touch. No synovitis appreciated bilaterally. Reflexes on the patella is somewhat decreased on the right compared to the left. Achilles reflexes are equal. Extensor hallucis longus strength is equal. Straight leg raise is negative at 90 degrees. Provision diagnosis was low back; hip pain; most likely degenerative joint disease. Department Exhibit A, pgs. 47-48.

(14) March 3, 2010, claimant presented to Emergency Room complaining of pain in the right heel. X-rays revealed very small, minimal heel spurs. Department Exhibit A, pg. 49.

(15) April 13, 2010, claimant's physician complete a Medical Examination Report (DHS-49) following physical exam that took place that same day. Doctor indicates diagnoses of degenerative disc disease of the lumbar spine, chronic pain syndrome, and bipolar depression. Doctor indicates a normal physical exam with the following exceptions: Scant wheezes consistent with smoking; decreased range of motion and strength in lower extremities bilaterally; some reduced ability to comprehend and follow instructions. Doctor indicates that claimant's condition is deteriorating. Doctor opines that claimant is able to occasionally lift less than 10

pounds, stand/or walk less than two hours in an eight hour workday, and perform a full range of repetitive actions with upper extremities bilaterally. Doctor indicates that claimant has some limitations in comprehension and memory. Department Exhibit A, pgs. 13-15.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Program Administrative Manual (PAM), the Program Eligibility Manual (PEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

Pursuant to Federal Rule 42 CFR 435.540, the Department of Human Services uses the federal Supplemental Security Income (SSI) policy in determining eligibility for disability under the Medical Assistance program. Under SSI, disability is defined as:

...the inability to do any substantial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical or mental impairment which can be expected to result in death or which has lasted or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months.... 20 CFR 416.905

A set order is used to determine disability. Current work activity, severity of impairments, residual functional capacity, past work, age, or education and work experience is reviewed. If there is a finding that an individual is disabled or not disabled at any point in the review, there will be no further evaluation. 20 CFR 416.920.

If an individual is working and the work is substantial gainful activity, the individual is not disabled regardless of the medical condition, education and work experience. 20 CFR 416.920(c).

If the impairment or combination of impairments do not significantly limit physical or mental ability to do basic work activities, it is not a severe impairment(s) and disability does not exist. Age, education and work experience will not be considered. 20 CFR 416.920.

Statements about pain or other symptoms do not alone establish disability. There must be medical signs and laboratory findings which demonstrate a medical impairment.... 20 CFR 416.929(a).

...Medical reports should include –

- (1) Medical history.
- (2) Clinical findings (such as the results of physical or mental status examinations);
- (3) Laboratory findings (such as blood pressure, X-rays);
- (4) Diagnosis (statement of disease or injury based on its signs and symptoms).... 20 CFR 416.913(b).

In determining disability under the law, the ability to work is measured. An individual's functional capacity for doing basic work activities is evaluated. If an individual has the ability to perform basic work activities without significant limitations, he or she is not considered disabled. 20 CFR 416.994(b)(1)(iv).

Basic work activities are the abilities and aptitudes necessary to do most jobs. Examples of these include --

- (1) Physical functions such as walking, standing, sitting, lifting, pushing, pulling, reaching, carrying, or handling;
- (2) Capacities for seeing, hearing, and speaking;
- (3) Understanding, carrying out, and remembering simple instructions;
- (4) Use of judgment;

- (5) Responding appropriately to supervision, co-workers and usual work situations; and
- (6) Dealing with changes in a routine work setting. 20 CFR 416.921(b).

Medical findings must allow a determination of (1) the nature and limiting effects of your impairment(s) for any period in question; (2) the probable duration of the impairment; and (3) the residual functional capacity to do work-related physical and mental activities. 20 CFR 416.913(d).

Medical evidence may contain medical opinions. Medical opinions are statements from physicians and psychologists or other acceptable medical sources that reflect judgments about the nature and severity of the impairment(s), including your symptoms, diagnosis and prognosis, what an individual can do despite impairment(s), and the physical or mental restrictions. 20 CFR 416.927(a)(2).

All of the evidence relevant to the claim, including medical opinions, is reviewed and findings are made. 20 CFR 416.927(c).

For mental disorders, severity is assessed in terms of the functional limitations imposed by the impairment. Functional limitations are assessed using the criteria in paragraph (B) of the listings for mental disorders (descriptions of restrictions of activities of daily living, social functioning; concentration, persistence, or pace; and ability to tolerate increased mental demands associated with competitive work).... 20 CFR, Part 404, Subpart P, App. 1, 12.00(C).

If an individual fails to cooperate by appearing for a physical or mental examination by a certain date without good cause, there will not be a finding of disability. 20 CFR 416.994(b)(4)(ii).

When determining disability, the federal regulations require that several considerations be analyzed in sequential order. If disability can be ruled out at any step, analysis of the next step is not required. These steps are:

1. Does the client perform Substantial Gainful Activity (SGA)? If yes, the client is ineligible for MA. If no, the analysis continues to Step 2. 20 CFR 416.920(b).
2. Does the client have a severe impairment that has lasted or is expected to last 12 months or more or result in death? If no, the client is ineligible for MA. If yes, the analysis continues to Step 3. 20 CFR 416.920(c).
3. Does the impairment appear on a special listing of impairments or are the client's symptoms, signs, and laboratory findings at least equivalent in severity to the set of medical findings specified for the listed impairment? If no, the analysis continues to Step 4. If yes, MA is approved. 20 CFR 416.290(d).
4. Can the client do the former work that he/she performed within the last 15 years? If yes, the client is ineligible for MA. If no, the analysis continues to Step 5. 20 CFR 416.920(e).
5. Does the client have the Residual Functional Capacity (RFC) to perform other work according to the guidelines set forth at 20 CFR 404, Subpart P, Appendix 2, Sections 200.00-204.00? If yes, the analysis ends and the client is ineligible for MA. If no, MA is approved. 20 CFR 416.920(f).

At Step 1, claimant is not engaged in substantial gainful activity and so is not disqualified from receiving disability at Step 1.

At Step 2, the objective medical evidence of record indicates that claimant likely has degenerative disc disease and degenerative joint disease in the lower back and hips bilaterally. She reports pain due to this condition. Objective medical testing revealed some decreased reflexes and decreased strength in lower extremities, but extremities were otherwise within normal limits. The objective medical evidence indicates claimant has very small heel spurs.

Claimant has mild chest wheezing, consistent with smoking. Doctor noted some difficulties to comprehend and follow instructions. Finding of Fact 10-15.

At Step 2, the objective medical evidence of record is not sufficient to establish that claimant has severe impairments that have lasted or are expected to last 12 months or more and prevent employment at any job for 12 months or more. Therefore, claimant is disqualified from receiving disability at Step 2.

At Step 3, claimant's impairments do not rise to the level necessary to be specifically disabling by law.

At Step 4, claimant's past relevant employment has been performing general labor such as housecleaning and factory work. Claimant's hip and lower back condition would appear to make these jobs difficult to perform. See discussion at Step 2 above. Finding of Fact 9-15.

At Step 4, the objective medical evidence of record is sufficient to establish that claimant has functional impairments that prevent claimant, for a period of 12 months or more, from engaging in a full range of duties required by claimant's past relevant employment. Therefore, claimant is not disqualified from receiving disability at Step 4.

The residual functional capacity is what an individual can do despite limitations. All impairments will be considered in addition to ability to meet certain demands of jobs in the national economy. Physical demands, mental demands, sensory requirements and other functions will be evaluated.... 20 CFR 416.945(a).

To determine the physical demands (exertional requirements) of work in the national economy, we classify jobs as sedentary, light, medium and heavy. These terms have the same meaning as they have in the Dictionary of Occupational Titles, published by the Department of Labor.... 20 CFR 416.967.

Sedentary work. Sedentary work involves lifting no more than 10 pounds at a time and occasionally lifting or carrying articles like docket files, ledgers, and small tools. Although a sedentary job is defined as one which involves sitting, a certain amount of walking and standing is often necessary in carrying out job duties. Jobs are sedentary if walking and standing are required occasionally and other sedentary criteria are met. 20 CFR 416.967(a).

Light work. Light work involves lifting no more than 20 pounds at a time with frequent lifting or carrying of objects weighing up to 10 pounds. Even though the weight lifted may be very little, a job is in this category when it requires a good deal of walking or standing, or when it involves sitting most of the time with some pushing and pulling of arm or leg controls.... 20 CFR 416.967(b).

Medium work. Medium work involves lifting no more than 50 pounds at a time with frequent lifting or carrying of objects weighing up to 25 pounds. If someone can do medium work, we determine that he or she can also do sedentary and light work. 20 CFR 416.967(c).

Heavy work. Heavy work involves lifting no more than 100 pounds at a time with frequent lifting or carrying of objects weighing up to 50 pounds. If someone can do heavy work, we determine that he or she can also do medium, light, and sedentary work. 20 CFR 416.967(d).

At Step 5, see discussion at Step 2 above. Finding of Fact 10-15.

At Step 5, the objective medical evidence of record is sufficient to establish that claimant retains the residual function capacity to perform at least light work activities. Considering claimant's Vocational Profile (younger individual, limited education and history of unskilled work) and relying on Vocational Rule 202.17, claimant is not disabled. Accordingly, claimant is disqualified from receiving disability at Step 5.

Claimant does not meet the federal statutory requirements to qualify for disability. Therefore, claimant does not qualify for Medical Assistance based on disability and the department properly denied claimant's application.

The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program which provides financial assistance for disabled persons is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MAC R 400.3151-400.3180. Department policies are found in the Program Administrative Manual (PAM), the Program Eligibility Manual (PEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

2004 PA 344, Sec. 604, establishes the State Disability Assistance program. It reads in part:

Sec. 604 (1) The department shall operate a state disability assistance program. Except as provided in subsection (3), persons eligible for this program shall include needy citizens of the United States or aliens exempt from the Supplemental Security Income citizenship requirement who are at least 18 years of age or emancipated minors meeting one or more of the following requirements:

- (a) Recipient of Supplemental Security Income, Social Security or Medical Assistance due to disability or 65 years of age or older.
- (b) A person with a physical or mental impairment which meets federal SSI disability standards, except that the minimum duration of the disability shall be 90 days. Substance abuse alone is not defined as a basis for eligibility.
- (c) A resident of an adult foster care facility, a home for the aged, a county infirmary, or a substance abuse treatment center.
- (d) A person receiving 30-day post-residential substance abuse treatment.
- (e) A person diagnosed as having Acquired Immunodeficiency syndrome (AIDs).

- (f) A person receiving special education services through the local intermediate school district.
 - (g) A caretaker of a disabled person as defined in subdivision (a), (b), (e), or (f) above.
- (2) Applicants for and recipients of the State Disability Assistance program shall be considered needy if they:
- (a) Meet the same asset test as is applied to applicants for the Family Independence Program.
 - (b) Have a monthly budgetable income that is less than the payment standard.
- (3) Except for a person described in subsection (1)(c) or (d), a person is not disabled for purposes of this section if his or her drug addiction or alcoholism is a contributing factor material to the determination of disability. 'Material to the determination of disability' means that, if the person stopped using drugs or alcohol, his or her remaining physical or mental limitations would not be disabling. If his or her remaining physical or mental limitations would be disabling, then the drug addiction or alcoholism is not material to the determination of disability and the person may receive State Disability Assistance. Such a person must actively participate in a substance abuse treatment program, and the assistance must be paid to a third party or through vendor payments. For purposes of this section, substance abuse treatment includes receipt of inpatient or outpatient services or participation in Alcoholics Anonymous or a similar program. 1995 PA 156, Sec. 605.
- (4) A refugee or asylee who loses his or her eligibility for the federal Supplemental Security Income program by virtue of exceeding the maximum time limit for eligibility as delineated in Section 402 of Title IV of the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 8 U.S.C. 1612, and who otherwise meets the eligibility criteria under this section shall be eligible to receive benefits under the State Disability Assistance program.

After careful examination of the record and for reasons discussed at Steps 2 and 5 above, the Administrative Law Judge decides that claimant does not have severe impairments that

