

STATE OF MICHIGAN
STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES

ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF: [REDACTED],
Claimant,

Reg No: 2010-33994
Issue No: 3002
Case No: [REDACTED]
Load No: [REDACTED]
Hearing Date:
June 15, 2010
Genesee County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Steven M. Brown

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was conducted from Lansing, Michigan on June 15, 2010.

ISSUE

Whether the Department properly computed the Claimant's Food Assistance Program (FAP) eligibility?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- (1) On March 31, 2010 Claimant completed and filed an application for FAP benefits.
- (2) The Department completed a FAP budget based on earned and unearned income and a group size of 3 which resulted in a monthly FAP allotment of \$0 due to excess income.

The FAP budget would have also resulted in excess income for a group size of 4. (Exhibits 7-13)

(3) On April 15, 2010, the Department mailed Claimant a Notice of Case Action which informed her that her FAP application was denied because the “applicant was not the primary caretaker of the child(ren)” AND the “group’s countable income exceeds the limit.” (Exhibits 1-6)

(4) On April 27, 2010, the Department received the Claimant’s hearing request protesting the denial of her FAP application.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) (formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program, is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (DHS or department), administers the FAP program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MAC R 400.3001-3015. Departmental policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Bridges Reference Manual (BRM).

For FAP purposes, all earned and unearned income available to the Claimant is countable. Earned income means income received from another person or organization or from self-employment for duties that were performed for remuneration or profit. Unearned income means ALL income that is not earned and includes FIP, RSDI, SSI and UB. The amount counted may be more than the client actually receives because the gross amount is used prior to any deductions. BEM 500

The Department determines a client’s eligibility for program benefits based on the client’s actual income and/or prospective income. Actual income is income that was already

received. Prospective income is income not yet received but expected. Prospective budgeting is the best estimate of the client's future income. BEM 505

All income is converted to a standard monthly amount. If the client is paid weekly, the Department multiplies the average weekly amount by 4.3. If the client is paid every other week, the Department multiplies the average bi-weekly amount by 2.15. BEM 505

In the instant case, Claimant agreed that the Department used the correct income in completing the FAP Budget. Claimant's question was whether her husband's child should have been included in the FAP group. Including her, however, would have still have resulted in a denial for excess income. With the above said, based on the testimony and documentation offered at hearing, I find that the Department established that it acted in accordance with policy in determining Claimant's FAP eligibility.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, finds that the Department acted in accordance with policy in determining Claimant's FAP eligibility.

Accordingly, the Department's FAP eligibility determination is AFFIRMED, it is SO ORDERED.

/s/ _____
Steven M. Brown
Administrative Law Judge
for Ismael Ahmed, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: June 16, 2010

Date Mailed: June 16, 2010

NOTICE: Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hearings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 60 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

SMB/vc

cc:

