

STATE OF MICHIGAN  
STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES  
ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE  
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

[REDACTED]

Reg. No.: 201033627  
Issue No.: 6019  
Case No.: [REDACTED]  
Load No.: [REDACTED]  
Hearing Date: October 14, 2010  
Wayne County DHS

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE:** Aaron McClintic

**HEARING DECISION**

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon the Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on October 14, 2010. The Claimant appeared and testified. [REDACTED], FIM appeared on behalf of the Department.

**ISSUE**

Did the Department properly determine Claimant's Child Day Care eligibility?

**FINDINGS OF FACT**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. Claimant applied for Child Day Care benefits on January 17, 2010.
2. Claimant application was denied on January 19, 2010 due to excess income.
3. Claimant has gross employment income of \$1987 per month.
4. Claimant requested a hearing on January 29, 2010 regarding the denial of her Child Day Care application.

**CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

The Child Development and Care program is established by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program

201033627/AM

is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and MAC R 400.5001-5015. Department policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

In the present case, Claimant earns \$1987 monthly in employment income. Claimant at hearing did not dispute the Department's calculation of her income. The income limit for a 2 person household is \$1607. RFT 270. Therefore, the Department was correct to deny Claimant's application for excess income.

Claimant argued at hearing that her expenses exceed her income and that her need for child day care assistance is substantial. The Department's denial is based on excess gross income, and it is correct.

#### DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law decides that the Department properly denied Claimant's day care application, and it is ORDERED that the Department's decision in this regard be and is hereby AFFIRMED.

/s/



Aaron McClintic  
Administrative Law Judge  
For Ismael Ahmed, Director  
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: October 21, 2010

Date Mailed: October 21, 2010

**NOTICE:** Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hearings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

201033627/AM

AM/hw

cc:

