

STATE OF MICHIGAN  
STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES

ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE  
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF: [REDACTED]

Claimant

Reg. No: 2010-23622

Issue No: 1030, 3052

Case No: [REDACTED]

Load No: [REDACTED]

Hearing Date:

August 12, 2010

Muskegon County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Kevin Scully

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge by authority of MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37. Claimant's request for a hearing was received on December 8, 2009. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on Thursday, August 12, 2010.

ISSUE

Whether the Department of Human Services (Department) properly determined that the Claimant received an overissuance of Family Independence Program (FIP) and Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- (1) The Claimant is a FIP and FAP recipient.

(2) On December 3, 2009, the Department sent the Claimant notice that due to Department error, the Department had issued the Claimant a FAP allotment that she was not entitled to receive for the months of November and December of 2009. Department Exhibit 7.

(3) The Department determined that the Claimant received an overissuance of FIP benefits for the months of November and December of 2009.

(4) The Department received the Claimant's request for a hearing on December 8, 2009, protesting the Department's recoupment of the overissuance of FIP and FAP benefits.

### CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) (formerly known as the Food Stamp program, is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (DHS or Department), administers the FAP program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MAC R 400.3001-3015. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Reference Table Manual (RFT), and the Bridges Reference Manual (BRM).

The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 8 USC 601, et seq. The Department of Human Services (DHS or Department) administers the FIP program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MAC R 400.3101-3131. The FIP program replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Reference Table Manual (RFT), and the Bridges Reference Manual (BRM).

An overissuance is the amount of benefits issued to the client group in excess of what they were eligible to receive. BAM 705. The amount of the overissuance is the amount of benefits the group actually received minus the amount the group was eligible to receive. BAM 720. When a client group receives more benefits than they are entitled to receive, the Department must attempt to recoup the overissuance. BAM 700.

Department errors are caused by incorrect actions by the Department. BAM 705. Department error overissuances are not pursued if the estimated overissuance is less than \$125 per program. BAM 700. Client errors occur when the customer gave incorrect or incomplete information to the Department. Client errors are not established if the overissuance is less than \$125 unless the client group is active for the overissuance program, or the overissuance is a result of a quality control audit finding. BAM 700.

The Claimant is an ongoing FIP and FAP recipient. From September of 2009, through November of 2009, the Claimant's benefit group changed in size and composition. The Claimant started new employment on November 25, 2009. After the Department entered these changes into the Bridges computer system, it charged the Claimant with an overissuance of FIP and FAP benefits began to recoup this overissuance.

The Department's manager testified that she was unable to explain how the Bridges computer system had determined that the Claimant received an overissuance of FIP and FAP benefits. The Department's manager testified that due to difficulties with the Bridges computer system, she was unable to determine the Claimant's eligibility for FIP and FAP benefits for the months of November and December of 2009.

The Department failed to establish that it is entitled to recoup an overissuance of FIP and FAP benefits issued to the Claimant during the months of November and December of 2009.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, decides that the Department is unable to establish that the Claimant received an overissuance of FIP and FAP benefit during the months of November and December of 2009.

Accordingly, the Department's FIP and FAP eligibility determination is REVERSED. It is further ORDERED that the Department shall:

1. Initiate a determination of the Claimant's eligibility for FIP and FAP benefits for the months November and December of 2009.
2. Provide the Claimant with written notification of the Department's revised eligibility determination.
3. Issue the Claimant any retroactive benefits she may be eligible to receive, if any.

/s/  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Kevin Scully  
Administrative Law Judge  
for Ismael Ahmed, Director  
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: August 16, 2010

Date Mailed: August 17, 2010

**NOTICE:** Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hearings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 60 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

KS/vc

cc:

