

STATE OF MICHIGAN
STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES
ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Reg. No: 2010-23319
Issue No: 2009, 4031
Case No: [REDACTED]
Load No: [REDACTED]
Hearing Date:
April 14, 2010
Washtenaw County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Ivona Rairigh

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9; and MCL 400.37 upon claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on April 14, 2010. Claimant personally appeared and testified.

ISSUE

Did the department properly deny claimant's September 3, 2009 Medicaid (MA) and State Disability Assistance (SDA) application, finding he lacks a legally disabling condition?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. Claimant applied for MA and SDA on September 3, 2009.
2. On January 25, 2010 department's Medical Review Team determined that the claimant was not disabled for MA and SDA eligibility purposes as he was capable of other work.
3. On January 27, 2010 department sent the claimant an Application Eligibility Notice denying his MA and SDA application.
4. Claimant requested a hearing on February 8, 2010.

5. On March 12, 2010 department's State Hearing Review Team also determined that the claimant was not disabled, as he was capable of performing other work.
6. Claimant testified at the hearing that he had a Social Security Administration (SSA) hearing on April 6, 2010 and was approved for RSDI. Claimant was to provide a letter from SSA verifying this approval.
7. As no documentation from the claimant was received, the Administrative Law Judge obtained an SOLQ Data Report from SSA. This report shows that the claimant is indeed receiving RSDI and that the onset date of his disability is September 21, 2008.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Program Reference Manual (RFT).

The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program which provides financial assistance for disabled persons is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MAC R 400.3151-400.3180. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Program Reference Manual (RFT).

In Michigan, the SSA's determination of disability onset is established for MA and SDA eligibility purposes. In the present case, evidence of the favorable SSA decision established that the claimant met the federal disability standard necessary to qualify for MA and SDA pursuant to PEM 260 and 261.

The SSA determined claimant has been disabled since September 21, 2008. Consequently, the department must reverse its MA and SDA denial, and process claimant's disputed application in accordance with department policy.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, decides that the department erred in determining claimant is not disabled.

Accordingly, department's action is REVERSED. Department shall:

1. Process claimant's disputed MA and SDA application and issue him any benefits he was entitled to but did not receive, based on September 3, 2009 application date, if he is otherwise eligible to receive them (i.e. meets all of the other required financial and non-financial eligibility criteria).

2. Notify the claimant of this determination in writing.

3. Review claimant's case in December, 2011. If the claimant remains eligible for RSDI, no medical review is needed.

SO ORDERED.

/s/ _____

Ivona Rairigh
Administrative Law Judge
for Ismael Ahmed, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: December 16, 2010


Date Mailed: December 17, 2010

NOTICE: Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hearings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

IR/tg

cc:

A large black rectangular redaction box covers the names and contact information of the recipients listed in the 'cc:' field.