#### STATE OF MICHIGAN

# STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 20109921 Issue No.: 1000

Case No.: Load No.:

Hearing Date: October 6, 2010

Wayne County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Aaron McClintic

## **HEARING DECISION**

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon the Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on October 6, 2010. The Claimant appeared at the hearing along with and both testified. Claimant was represented by her authorized hearings representatives, and FIS appeared on behalf of the Department.

### **ISSUE**

Was the Department correct in closing Claimant's FIP benefits due to excess income?

## FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- (1) On November 1, 2009 Claimant's FIP case closed because of excess income.
- (2) Claimant requested a hearing on November 4, 2009 contesting the closure of FIP benefits.
- (3) The parties reached an agreement whereby the Department agreed to reinstate extended FIP benefits for the months of September 2009 through April 2010.

## CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

#### 20109921/AM

The Family Independence program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 8 USC 601, et seq. The Department of Human services (DHS or Department) administers the FIP program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MAC R 400.3101-3131. The FIP program replaced the Aid to Dependant Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Program Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Program Reference manual (PRM).

Families receive EFIP for up to six months, with a grant of \$10, when loss of FIP eligibility relates to income from employment of a FIP program group member. BEM 519.

Under Bridges Administrative Manual Item 600, clients have the right to contest any agency decision affecting eligibility or benefit levels whenever they believe the decision is illegal. The agency provides an Administrative Hearing to review the decision and determine if it is appropriate. Agency policy includes procedures to meet the minimal requirements for a fair hearing. Efforts to clarify and resolve the client's concerns start when the agency receives a hearing request and continues through the day of the hearing.

In the present case, the parties reached an agreement whereby the Department agreed to reinstate extended FIP benefits for the months of September 2009 through April 2010. Since the Claimant and the Department have come to an agreement it is unnecessary for this Administrative Law Judge to make a decision regarding the facts and issues in this case.

Issues were raised by Claimant at hearing regarding her child day care benefits. No records regarding Claimant's child day care benefits were contained in the hearing file. This Administrative Law Judge directs the Department to review Claimant's Child Day Care benefit.

#### DECISION AND ORDER

The Department and Claimant have come to a settlement regarding Claimant's request for a hearing. Therefore it is ORDERED that the Department reinstate extended FIP benefits for the months of September 2009 through April 2010, in accordance with this settlement agreement. Any missed benefits shall be paid to Claimant in the form of a

#### 20109921/AM

supplement.

/s/

Aaron McClintic Administrative Law Judge For Ismael Ahmed, Director Department of Human Services

Am Milet

Date Signed: October 13, 2010

Date Mailed: October 13, 2010

**NOTICE**: Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hearings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

## AM/hw

CC:

