# STATE OF MICHIGAN STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES

## ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

Claimant

Reg. No: 2010-9710 Issue No: 2009; 4031

Case No: Load No:

Hearing Date:

January 13, 2010 Calhoun County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Carmen G. Fahie

## **HEARING DECISION**

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on Wednesday, January 13, 2010. The claimant personally appeared and testified with his mother, and girlfriend, as witnesses.

## **ISSUE**

Did the department properly deny the claimant's application for Medical Assistance (MA-P) and State Disability Assistance (SDA)?

### FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

 On August 28, 2009, the claimant applied for MA-P and SDA without filing an application for retroactive MA-P.

- (2) On October 12, 2009, the Medical Review Team (MRT) denied the claimant's application for MA-P and retroactive MA-P stating that the claimant's impairment lacks duration of 12 months per 20 CFR 416.909 and for SDA that the claimant's physical and mental impairment does not prevent employment for 90 days or more.
- (3) On October 15, 2009, the department caseworker sent the claimant a notice that his application was denied.
- (4) On November 3, 2009, the department received a hearing request from the claimant, contesting the department's negative action.
- (5) On December 14, 2009, the State Hearing Review Team (SHRT) considered the submitted objective medical evidence in making its determination of MA-P, retroactive MA-P, and SDA eligibility for the claimant. The SHRT report reads in part:

The claimant is 29 years old with 12 years of education and a work history as a construction worker, cook, and maintenance worker. The claimant alleges disability due to Crohn's disease. The claimant did not meet applicable Social Security Listing 5.01. The claimant's impairment lacks duration per 20 CFR 416.909.

- (6) The claimant is a 29 year-old man whose date of birth is . The claimant is 5' 8" tall and weighs 185 pounds. The claimant has lost 35 pounds in the past year as a result of a Crohn's episode. The claimant has a GED and has completed the 11<sup>th</sup> grade of high school. The claimant can read and write and do basic math. The claimant was last employed as a construction worker at the heavy level on July 7, 2009. The claimant has also worked as a cook at the medium level, maintenance worker at the heavy level, and maintenance supervisor at the heavy level.
  - (7) The claimant's alleged impairment is Crohn's disease where he had an episode in that lasted 30 days.

#### CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program which provides financial assistance for disabled persons is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MAC R 400.3151-400.3180. Department policies are found in the Program Administrative Manual (PAM), the Program Eligibility Manual (PEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Program Administrative Manual (PAM), the Program Eligibility Manual (PEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

## "Disability" is:

...the inability to do any substantial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical or mental impairment which can be expected to result in death or which has lasted or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months.... 20 CFR 416.905.

...We follow a set order to determine whether you are disabled. We review any current work activity, the severity of your impairment(s), your residual functional capacity, your past work, and your age, education and work experience. If we can find that you are disabled or not disabled at any point in the review, we do not review your claim further.... 20 CFR 416.920.

...If you are working and the work you are doing is substantial gainful activity, we will find that you are not disabled regardless of your medical condition or your age, education, and work experience. 20 CFR 416.920(b).

...[The impairment]...must have lasted or must be expected to last for a continuous period of at least 12 months. We call this the duration requirement. 20 CFR 416.909.

...If you do not have any impairment or combination of impairments which significantly limits your physical or mental ability to do basic work activities, we will find that you do not have a severe impairment and are, therefore, not disabled. We will not consider your age, education, and work experience. 20 CFR 416.920(c).

[In reviewing your impairment]...We need reports about your impairments from acceptable medical sources.... 20 CFR 416.913(a).

...Statements about your pain or other symptoms will not alone establish that you are disabled; there must be medical signs and laboratory findings which show that you have a medical impairment.... 20 CFR 416.929(a).

...You must provide medical evidence showing that you have an impairment(s) and how severe it is during the time you say that you are disabled. 20 CFR 416.912(c).

... [The record must show a severe impairment] which significantly limits your physical or mental ability to do basic work activities.... 20 CFR 416.920(c).

... Medical reports should include --

- (1) Medical history.
- (2) Clinical findings (such as the results of physical or mental status examinations);
- (3) Laboratory findings (such as blood pressure, X-rays);
- (4) Diagnosis (statement of disease or injury based on its signs and symptoms).... 20 CFR 416.913(b).

...The medical evidence...must be complete and detailed enough to allow us to make a determination about whether you are disabled or blind. 20 CFR 416.913(d).

Medical findings consist of symptoms, signs, and laboratory findings:

- (a) **Symptoms** are your own description of your physical or mental impairment. Your statements alone are not enough to establish that there is a physical or mental impairment.
- (b) **Signs** are anatomical, physiological, or psychological abnormalities which can be observed, apart from your statements (symptoms). Signs must be shown by medically acceptable clinical diagnostic techniques. Psychiatric signs are medically demonstrable phenomena which indicate specific psychological abnormalities e.g., abnormalities of behavior, mood, thought, memory, orientation, development, or perception. They must also be shown by observable facts that can be medically described and evaluated.
- (c) **Laboratory findings** are anatomical, physiological, or psychological phenomena which can be shown by the use of medically acceptable laboratory diagnostic techniques. Some of these diagnostic techniques include chemical tests, electrophysiological studies (electrocardiogram, electroencephalogram, etc.), roentgenological studies (X-rays), and psychological tests. 20 CFR 416.928.

It must allow us to determine --

- (1) The nature and limiting effects of your impairment(s) for any period in question;
- (2) The probable duration of your impairment; and
- (3) Your residual functional capacity to do work-related physical and mental activities. 20 CFR 416.913(d).

Information from other sources may also help us to understand how your impairment(s) affects your ability to work. 20 CFR 416.913(e).

...You can only be found disabled if you are unable to do any substantial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical or mental impairment which can be expected to result in death, or which has lasted or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months. See 20 CFR 416.905. Your impairment must result from anatomical, physiological, or psychological abnormalities which are demonstrable by medically acceptable clinical and laboratory diagnostic techniques.... 20 CFR 416.927(a)(1).

...Evidence that you submit or that we obtain may contain medical opinions. Medical opinions are statements from physicians and psychologists or other acceptable medical sources that reflect judgments about the nature and severity of your impairment(s), including your symptoms, diagnosis and prognosis, what you can still do despite impairment(s), and your physical or mental restrictions. 20 CFR 416.927(a)(2).

...In deciding whether you are disabled, we will always consider the medical opinions in your case record together with the rest of the relevant evidence we receive. 20 CFR 416.927(b).

After we review all of the evidence relevant to your claim, including medical opinions, we make findings about what the evidence shows. 20 CFR 416.927(c).

...If all of the evidence we receive, including all medical opinion(s), is consistent, and there is sufficient evidence for us to decide whether you are disabled, we will make our determination or decision based on that evidence. 20 CFR 416.927(c)(1).

...If any of the evidence in your case record, including any medical opinion(s), is inconsistent with other evidence or is internally inconsistent, we will weigh all of the evidence and see whether we can decide whether you are disabled based on the evidence we have. 20 CFR 416.927(c)(2).

[As Judge]...We are responsible for making the determination or decision about whether you meet the statutory definition of disability. In so doing, we review all of the medical findings and other evidence that support a medical source's statement that you are disabled.... 20 CFR 416.927(e).

...A statement by a medical source that you are "disabled" or "unable to work" does not mean that we will determine that you are disabled. 20 CFR 416.927(e).

...If you have an impairment(s) which meets the duration requirement and is listed in Appendix 1 or is equal to a listed impairment(s), we will find you disabled without considering your age, education, and work experience. 20 CFR 416.920(d).

...If we cannot make a decision on your current work activities or medical facts alone and you have a severe impairment, we will then review your residual functional capacity and the physical and mental demands of the work you have done in the past. If you can still do this kind of work, we will find that you are not disabled. 20 CFR 416.920(e).

If you cannot do any work you have done in the past because you have a severe impairment(s), we will consider your residual functional capacity and your age, education, and past work experience to see if you can do other work. If you cannot, we will find you disabled. 20 CFR 416.920(f)(1).

...Your residual functional capacity is what you can still do despite limitations. If you have more than one impairment, we will consider all of your impairment(s) of which we are aware. We will consider your ability to meet certain demands of jobs, such as physical demands, mental demands, sensory requirements, and other functions, as described in paragraphs (b), (c) and (d) of this section. Residual functional capacity is an assessment based on all of the relevant evidence.... 20 CFR 416.945(a).

...This assessment of your remaining capacity for work is not a decision on whether you are disabled, but is used as the basis for determining the particular types of work you may be able to do despite your impairment(s).... 20 CFR 416.945(a).

...In determining whether you are disabled, we will consider all of your symptoms, including pain, and the extent to which your symptoms can reasonably be accepted as consistent with objective medical evidence, and other evidence.... 20 CFR 416.929(a).

...In evaluating the intensity and persistence of your symptoms, including pain, we will consider all of the available evidence, including your medical history, the medical signs and laboratory findings and statements about how your symptoms affect you... We will then determine the extent to which your alleged functional limitations or restrictions due to pain or other symptoms can reasonably be accepted as consistent with the medical signs and laboratory findings and other evidence to decide how your symptoms affect your ability to work.... 20 CFR 416.929(a).

If you have more than one impairment, we will consider all of your impairments of which we are aware. We will consider your ability to meet certain demands of jobs, such as physical demands, mental demands, sensory requirements, and other functions as described in paragraphs (b), (c) and (d) of this section. Residual functional capacity is an assessment based upon all of the relevant evidence. This assessment of your capacity for work is not a decision on whether you are disabled but is used as a basis for determining the

particular types of work you may be able to do despite your impairment. 20 CFR 416.945.

...When we assess your physical abilities, we first assess the nature and extent of your physical limitations and then determine your residual functional capacity for work activity on a regular and continuing basis. A limited ability to perform certain physical demands of work activity, such as sitting, standing, walking, lifting, carrying, pushing, pulling, or other physical functions (including manipulative or postural functions, such as reaching, handling, stooping or crouching), may reduce your ability to do past work and other work. 20 CFR 416.945(b).

Federal regulations require that the department use the same operative definition for "disabled" as used for Supplemental Security Income (SSI) under Title XVI of the Social Security Act. 42 CFR 435.540(a).

"Disability" is:

...the inability to do any substantial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical or mental impairment which can be expected to result in death or which has lasted or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months ... 20 CFR 416.905

In determining whether an individual is disabled, 20 CFR 416.920 requires the trier of fact to follow a sequential evaluation process by which current work activity, the severity of the impairment(s), residual functional capacity, and vocational factors (i.e., age, education, and work experience) are assessed in that order. When a determination that an individual is or is not disabled can be made at any step in the sequential evaluation, evaluation under a subsequent step is not necessary.

First, the trier of fact must determine if the individual is working and if the work is substantial gainful activity. 20 CFR 416.920(b). At Step 1, the claimant is not engaged in substantial gainful activity and has not worked since July 7, 2009. Therefore, the claimant is disqualified from receiving disability at Step 1.

Secondly, in order to be considered disabled for purposes of MA, a person must have a severe impairment. 20 CFR 416.920(c). A severe impairment is an impairment which significantly limits an individual's physical or mental ability to perform basic work activities. Basic work activities means, the abilities and aptitudes necessary to do most jobs. Examples of these include:

- (1) Physical functions such as walking, standing, sitting, lifting, pushing, pulling, reaching, carrying or handling;
- (2) Capacities for seeing, hearing, and speaking;
- (3) Understanding, carrying out, and remembering simple instructions:
- (4) Use of judgment;
- (5) Responding appropriately to supervision, co-workers and usual work situations; and
- (6) Dealing with changes in a routine work setting. 20 CFR 416.921(b).

The purpose of the second step in the sequential evaluation process is to screen out claims lacking in medical merit. *Higgs v. Bowen* 880 F2d 860, 862 (6<sup>th</sup> Cir, 1988). As a result, the department may only screen out claims at this level which are "totally groundless" solely from a medical standpoint. The *Higgs* court used the severity requirement as a "*de minimus* hurdle" in the disability determination. The *de minimus* standard is a provision of a law that allows the court to disregard trifling matters.

The objective medical evidence on the record further substantiates the following:

On \_\_\_\_\_, the claimant was admitted to \_\_\_\_\_ with a discharge date of \_\_\_\_\_. The claimant's final diagnosis was Crohn's disease with anastomotic perforation, history of acute respiratory failure, protein-caloric malnutrition,

hyperglycemia, acute renal failure which was resolved, history of enterocutaneous fistula, and anemia. The claimant was admitted on with a history of Crohn's disease where he was found to have an abscess in the abdomen, which was drained percutaneously. The claimant showed continue improvement with drain and eventually turned into a fecal material. The claimant continued to have small bowel contents consistent with enterocutaneous fistula. The drain was left in place. The claimant was started on a diet which he tolerated well without any significant improvement and significant increase in the amount of the output from the drain. The claimant was gradually improving. Subsequently, the claimant was found to have free air in the abdomen with increasing pain and leukocytosis. The claimant was taken to the operating room where a laparotomy with lysis of adhesions, resection of small bowel, and cecum was performed. (Department Exhibit 94)

Subsequently, on the claimant developed severe abdominal pain which did not improve after going for a walk. A CT was obtained that was essentially negative, but a decision was made to monitor the claimant conservatively. On the claimant suddenly became tachycardiac where his blood pressure dropped, but his pain significantly improved, but he became tachypneic. The claimant was taken back to the operating room where an anastomotic leak was noted. The claimant's abdominal cavity was irrigated and his anastomosis was taken down combined with an ileostomy was performed where the distal end was closed. Multiple drains were placed in the claimant's abdomen where he was maintained on IV antibiotics and a TPN. (Department Exhibit 94)

The claimant had slow but gradual improvement. The claimant's ileostomy started to function. He was started on a diet that he tolerated well. The claimant was discharged home on where he was to resume home medication and Vicodin for pain and follow up

with his treating physician where once his condition improved he would be given a definitive treatment for the Crohn's disease following which arrangements would be made for the closure of the ileostomy. (Department Exhibit 94)

At Step 2, the objective medical evidence in the record indicates that the claimant has established that he has a severe impairment. The claimant had an episode of Crohn's disease that required extensive hospitalization from where the claimant had a laparoscopy with resection of small bowel and ileocolic anastomosis on and on another laparotomy, bowel resection, ileostomy with closure of the distal end. The claimant was discharged on medication with a follow up treatment plan. The claimant improved with medication and treatment and his impairment will not last 12 months or more. Therefore, the claimant is not disqualified from receiving disability at Step 2. However, this Administrative Law Judge will proceed through the sequential evaluation process to determine disability because Step 2 is a *de minimus* standard.

In the third step of the sequential consideration of a disability claim, the trier of fact must determine if the claimant's impairment (or combination of impairments) is listed in Appendix 1 of Subpart P of 20 CFR, Part 404. This Administrative Law Judge finds that the claimant's medical record will not support a finding that claimant's impairment(s) is a "listed impairment" or equal to a listed impairment. See Appendix 1 of Subpart P of 20 CFR, Part 404, Part A. Accordingly, claimant cannot be found to be disabled based upon medical evidence alone. 20 CFR 416.920(d). This Administrative Law Judge finds that the claimant's impairments do not rise to the level necessary to be listed as disabling by law. Therefore, the claimant is disqualified from receiving disability at Step 3.

In the fourth step of the sequential consideration of a disability claim, the trier of fact must determine if the claimant's impairment(s) prevents claimant from doing past relevant work. 20 CFR 416.920(e). It is the finding of this Administrative Law Judge, based upon the medical evidence and objective, physical and psychological findings, that the claimant has a driver's license and does drive, but has a hard time driving long distances as a result of fatigue and a stick shift wears him out. The claimant does not cook because he has a problem standing and he gets tired. The claimant grocery shops with his girlfriend 2-4 times a month, but has a problem lifting. The claimant does clean his own home by washing dishes. The claimant doesn't do any outside work. His hobbies are drawing and cooking. The claimant felt that his condition has worsened since his episode in where he is worst than ever and because he's staying the same. The claimant stated that he did not have any mental impairment.

The claimant stated that he has a problem sleeping where he only sleeps for 2 hours and he's up for one hour. The claimant gets up between 10:00 a.m. and 2:00 p.m. He does one thing a

day. He eats and sits on the couch. He takes a nap. The claimant goes to bed at 11:00 p.m., but wakes up between 3:00 to 4:00 a.m. because of the pain in his stomach.

The claimant felt that he could walk 300 yards. The claimant he felt he could stand 20-30 minutes. The claimant didn't have a problem sitting. The heaviest weight he felt he could carry and walk was 5 pounds. The claimant stated that his level of pain on a scale of 1 to 10 without medication was a 6/7 that decreases to a 4/5 with medication.

The claimant smokes a half a pack of cigarettes a day. The claimant stopped drinking in June 2009 where before he drank occasionally. The claimant stopped smoking marijuana at 14 years of age. The claimant stated that there was no work that he thought he could do.

This Administrative Law Judge finds that the claimant has established that he cannot perform any of his prior work. The claimant was previously employed at the heavy level as a construction worker, maintenance worker, and maintenance supervisor. The claimant would have a difficult time lifting weight at the heavy level because he still suffers from fatigue and has abdominal pain from his episode in . The claimant performed as a cook at the medium level, where the claimant would have a difficult time lifting medium weight. There was no additional information provided since the claimant's hospitalization in to judge whether or not the claimant has subsequently improved or declined. However, this Administrative Law Judge will note that the claimant was released in good condition in . It is the claimant's responsibility to provide additional medical documentation to proof that his condition has not improved. Therefore, the claimant is not disqualified from receiving disability at Step 4. However, the Administrative Law Judge will still proceed through the sequential evaluation process to determine whether or not the claimant has the residual functional capacity to perform some other less strenuous tasks than in his prior jobs.

In the fifth step of the sequential consideration of a disability claim, the trier of fact must determine if the claimant's impairment(s) prevents claimant from doing other work.

20 CFR 416.920(f). This determination is based upon the claimant's:

- (1) residual functional capacity defined simply as "what can you still do despite you limitations?" 20 CFR 416.945;
- (2) age, education, and work experience, 20 CFR 416.963-.965; and
- (3) the kinds of work which exist in significant numbers in the national economy which the claimant could perform despite his/her limitations. 20 CFR 416.966.

...To determine the physical exertion requirements of work in the national economy, we classify jobs as sedentary, light, medium, heavy, and very heavy. These terms have the same meaning as they have in the <u>Dictionary of Occupational Titles</u>, published by the Department of Labor.... 20 CFR 416.967.

**Sedentary work**. Sedentary work involves lifting no more than 10 pounds at a time and occasionally lifting or carrying articles like docket files, ledgers, and small tools. Although a sedentary job is defined as one which involves sitting, a certain amount of walking and standing is often necessary in carrying out job duties. Jobs are sedentary if walking and standing are required occasionally and other sedentary criteria are met. 20 CFR 416.967(a).

**Light work**. Light work involves lifting no more than 20 pounds at a time with frequent lifting or carrying of objects weighing up to 10 pounds. Even though the weight lifted may be very little, a job is in this category when it requires a good deal of walking or standing, or when it involves sitting most of the time with some pushing and pulling of arm or leg controls.... 20 CFR 416.967(b).

...To be considered capable of performing a full or wide range of light work, you must have the ability to do substantially all of these activities. If someone can do light work, we determine that he or she can also do sedentary work, unless there are additional limiting factors such as loss of fine dexterity or inability to sit for long periods of time. 20 CFR 416.967(b).

2010-9710/CGF

The claimant has submitted insufficient evidence that he lacks the residual functional

capacity to perform some other less strenuous tasks than in his previous employment or that he is

physically unable to do any tasks demanded of him. The claimant's testimony as to his limitation

indicates his limitations are exertional.

At Step 5, the claimant should be able to meet the physical requirements of light work,

based upon the claimant's physical abilities. Under the Medical-Vocational guidelines, a younger

individual with a high school equivalent education, and a skilled and unskilled work history, who

is limited to light work, is not considered disabled. 20 CFR 404, Subpart P, Appendix 2, Rule

202.20. Using the Medical-Vocational guidelines as a framework for making this decision and

after giving full consideration to the claimant's physical impairments, the Administrative Law

Judge finds that the claimant can still perform a wide range of light activities and that the

claimant does not meet the definition of disabled under the MA program.

The department's Program Eligibility Manual provides the following policy statements

and instructions for caseworkers regarding the SDA program.

**DISABILITY - SDA** 

**DEPARTMENT POLICY** 

**SDA** 

To receive SDA, a person must be disabled, caring for a disabled

person, or age 65 or older.

**Note:** There is no disability requirement for AMP. PEM 261, p. 1.

**DISABILITY** 

A person is disabled for SDA purposes if he:

receives other specified disability-related benefits or

services, or

resides in a qualified Special Living Arrangement facility, or

15

- is certified as unable to work due to mental or physical disability for at least 90 days from the onset of the disability.
- . is diagnosed as having Acquired Immunodeficiency Syndrome (AIDS).

If the client's circumstances change so that the basis of his/her disability is no longer valid, determine if he/she meets any of the other disability criteria. Do NOT simply initiate case closure. PEM, Item 261, p. 1.

#### **Other Benefits or Services**

Persons receiving one of the following benefits or services meet the SDA disability criteria:

- . Retirement, Survivors and Disability Insurance (RSDI), due to disability or blindness.
- . Supplemental Security Income (SSI), due to disability or blindness.
- . Medicaid (including spend-down) as blind or disabled if the disability/blindness is based on:
  - .. a DE/MRT/SRT determination, or
  - .. a hearing decision, or
  - .. having SSI based on blindness or disability recently terminated (within the past 12 months) for financial reasons.

Medicaid received by former SSI recipients based on policies in PEM 150 under "SSI TERMINATIONS," INCLUDING "MA While Appealing Disability Termination," does not qualify a person as disabled for SDA. Such persons must be certified as disabled or meet one of the other SDA qualifying criteria. See "Medical Certification of Disability" below.

Michigan Rehabilitation Services (MRS). A person is receiving services if he has been determined eligible for MRS and has an active MRS case. Do not refer or advise applicants to apply for MRS for the purpose of qualifying for SDA.

- Special education services from the local intermediate school district. To qualify, the person may be:
  - .. attending school under a special education plan approved by the local Individual Educational Planning Committee (IEPC); **or**
  - not attending under an IEPC approved plan but has been certified as a special education student **and** is attending a school program leading to a high school diploma or its equivalent, **and** is under age 26. The program does not have to be designated as "special education" as long as the person has been certified as a special education student. Eligibility on this basis continues until the person completes the high school program or reaches age 26, whichever is earlier.
- Refugee or asylee who lost eligibility for Social Security Income (SSI) due to exceeding the maximum time limit PEM, Item 261, pp. 1-2.

Even though the claimant does not meet the definition of disabled under the MA program, the evidence does establish that the claimant was unable to work for a period exceeding 90 days. The claimant was hospitalized from where the claimant lost 35 pounds as a result of his Crohn's episode. The claimant had two major surgeries and was released with medication and an itinerary for follow up treatment. The claimant would not be able to work from August 2009 to June 2010 and should be eligible for SDA with a medical review due July 2010. The claimant is also encouraged to participate with Michigan Rehabilitation Services as a condition for his SDA continuing beyond June 2010.

## **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, decides that the department has appropriately established that it was acting in compliance with department policy when it denied the claimant's application for MA-P and retroactive

#### 2010-9710/CGF

MA-P. The claimant should be able to perform any level of light work. The department has established its case by a preponderance of the evidence.

Accordingly, the department's decision is **AFFIRMED** for MA-P and retroactive MA-P. The department's decision is **REVERSED** for SDA where the claimant should be eligible for SDA benefits based on his August 28, 2009 application through June 2010 with a medical review required in July 2010. In addition, the claimant is encouraged to participate with Michigan Rehabilitation Services for continued benefits past June 2010.

<u>/s/</u> Carmen G. Fahie Administrative Law Judge for Ismael Ahmed, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: April 15, 2010

Date Mailed: April 19, 2010

NOTICE: Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hearings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

#### CGF/vmc

cc: