

STATE OF MICHIGAN
STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES
ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

[REDACTED]

Reg. No.: 2010-9499
Issue No.: 6000
Case No.: [REDACTED]
Load No.: [REDACTED]
Hearing Date: November 8, 2010
Wayne County DHS (55)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Michael J. Bennane

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon the claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on November 8, 2010. The claimant appeared and testified.

ISSUE

Did the Department properly close the Claimant's Child Care (CDC)?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. The claimant was an ongoing CDC recipient.
2. On December 19, 2009, the department closed the claimant's CDC.
3. On September 2, 2009, the Claimant filed a request for a hearing.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Child Development and Care program is established by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and MAC R 400.5001-5015. Department policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative

20109499/MJB

Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Bridges Reference Manual (BRM).

In the instant case the department closed the claimant's CDC case in December after having failed to provide covered benefits before that closure.

CDC

The client or provider reports, orally or in writing, that a child is no longer in the care of that provider.

The client or provider reports, orally or in writing, a need for fewer authorized hours.

You verify that a child member of the program group was voluntarily placed in foster care.

Information verifies the provider is no longer eligible to receive payments. (BAM 220, p.3).

At the hearing the department submitted documentation that the claimant was eligible for back CDC payments.

The law provides that disposition may be made of a contested case by stipulation or agreed settlement. MCL 24. 278(2). In the instant case, the parties reached an accord. The department agreed to accept documentation of the claimant's need for CDC and documentation that child care was delivered and adjust CDC payments to reflect same.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, finds that the department and claimant have come to an agreement and **ORDERS** the department to review the claimant's documentation and replace missed benefits appropriately documented.



Michael J. Bennane
Administrative Law Judge
For Ismael Ahmed, Director
Department of Human Services

20109499/MJB

Date Signed: 12/6/2010

Date Mailed: 12/6/2010

NOTICE: Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hearings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

MJB/jlg

cc: 