STATE OF MICHIGAN STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES

ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

Claimant

Reg. No:2010-9394Issue No:2009; 4031Case No:1000Load No:1000Hearing Date:1000January 21, 20101000Macomb County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Landis Y. Lain

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a three-way telephone hearing was held on January 31, 2010. Claimant personally appeared and testified.

<u>ISSUE</u>

Did the Department of Human Services (the department) properly deny claimant's

application for Medical Assistance (MA-P) and State Disability Assistance (SDA)?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

 On July 27, 2009, claimant filed an application for Medical Assistance and State Disability Assistance benefits alleging disability.

(2) On September 17, 2009, the Medical Review Team denied claimant's application stating that claimant's impairments were non-severe.

(3) On September 21, 2009, the department caseworker sent claimant notice that his application was denied.

(4) On September 28, 2009, claimant filed a request for a hearing to contest the department's negative action.

(5) On December 9, 2009, the State Hearing Review Team again denied claimant's application stating that claimant was capable of performing other work in the form of unskilled work per 20 CFR 416.968(a).

(6) The hearing was held on January 21, 2010. At the hearing, claimant waived the time periods and requested to submit additional medical information.

(7) Additional medical information was submitted and sent to the State HearingReview Team on January 22, 2010.

(8) On January 26, 2010, the State Hearing Review Team again denied claimant's application stating that claimant was capable of performing his past work as a stocker.

(9) Claimant is a 51-year-old man whose birth date is Claimant is Claimant is 6' 2" tall and weighs 300 pounds. Claimant graduated from high school and attended two semesters at Claimant is able to read and write and does have basic math skills.

(10) Claimant last worked December 16, 2004 at a patio and landscaping supply store. Claimant has also worked closing, mopping and cleaning, as a night stocker at doing doing landscaping work, and in quality control testing parts.

(11) Claimant alleges as disabling impairments: sciatica, back pain, radiculopathy, as well as mood disorder, depression, anxiety, and lack of his legs to support himself.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program which provides financial assistance for disabled persons is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MAC R 400.3151-400.3180. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

Pursuant to Federal Rule 42 CFR 435.540, the Department of Human Services uses the federal Supplemental Security Income (SSI) policy in determining eligibility for disability under the Medical Assistance program. Under SSI, disability is defined as:

...the inability to do any substantial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical or m ental impairment which can be expected to resu 1t in d eath or which has lasted or can be expected to last for a conti nuous period of not less than 12 months.... 20 CFR 416.905

A set order is used to determine disability. Current work activity, severity of impairments, residual functional capacity, past work, age, or education and work experience is reviewed. If there is a finding that an individual is disabled or not disabled at any point in the review, there will be no further evaluation. 20 CFR 416.920.

If an individual is working and the work is substantial gainful activity, the individual is not disabled regardless of the medical condition, education and work experience. 20 CFR 416.920(c).

If the impairment or combination of impairments do not significantly limit physical or mental ability to do basic work activities, it is not a severe impairment(s) and disability does not exist. Age, education and work experience will not be considered. 20 CFR 416.920.

Statements about pain or other symptoms do not alone establish disability. There must be medical signs and laboratory findings which demonstrate a medical impairment.... 20 CFR 416.929(a).

...Medical reports should include -

- (1) Medical history.
- (2) Clinical findings (such as the results of physical or mental status examinations);
- (3) Laboratory findings (such as blood pressure, X-rays);
- (4) Diagnosis (statement of dis ease or injury based on its signs and symptoms).... 20 CFR 416.913(b).

In determining disability under the law, the ability to work is measured. An individual's functional capacity for doing basic work activities is evaluated. If an individual has the ability to perform basic work activities without significant limitations, he or she is not considered disabled. 20 CFR 416.994(b)(1)(iv).

Basic work activities are the abilities and aptitudes necessary to do most jobs. Examples

of these include --

- (1) Physical functions such as walking, standing, sitting, lifting, pushing, pulling, reaching, carrying, or handling;
- (2) Capacities for seeing, hearing, and speaking;
- (3) Understanding, carrying out, and remembering simple instructions;
- (4) Use of judgment;
- (5) Responding appropriately to supervision, co-workers and usual work situations; and

(6) Dealing with changes in a routine work setting. 20 CFR 416.921(b).

Medical findings must allow a determination of (1) the nature and limiting effects of your impairment(s) for any period in question; (2) the probable duration of the impairment; and (3) the residual functional capacity to do work-related physical and mental activities. 20 CFR 416.913(d).

Medical evidence may contain medical opinions. Medical opinions are statements from physicians and psychologists or other acceptable medical sources that reflect judgments about the nature and severity of the impairment(s), including your symptoms, diagnosis and prognosis, what an individual can do despite impairment(s), and the physical or mental restrictions. 20 CFR 416.927(a)(2).

All of the evidence relevant to the claim, including medical opinions, is reviewed and findings are made. 20 CFR 416.927(c).

The Administrative Law Judge is responsible for making the determination or decision about whether the statutory definition of disability is met. The Administrative Law Judge reviews all medical findings and other evidence that support a medical source's statement of disability.... 20 CFR 416.927(e).

A statement by a medical source finding that an individual is "disabled" or "unable to work" does not mean that disability exists for the purposes of the program. 20 CFR 416.927(e).

When determining disability, the federal regulations require that several considerations be analyzed in sequential order. If disability can be ruled out at any step, analysis of the next step is <u>not</u> required. These steps are:

 Does the client perform Substantial Gainful Activity (SGA)? If yes, the client is ineligible for MA. If no, the analysis continues to Step 2. 20 CFR 416.920(b).

- 2. Does the client have a severe im pairment that has lasted or is expected to last 12 m onths or m ore or result in death? If no, the client is ineligible for MA. If yes, the analys is continues to Step 3. 20 CFR 416.920(c).
- 3. Does the impairm ent appear on a special listing of i mpairments or are the client's sym ptoms, signs, and laboratory findings at least equivalent in severity to the set of medical findings specified for the listed impairment? If no, the analysis continues to Step 4. If yes, MA is approved. 20 CFR 416.290(d).
- 4. Can the client do the form er work that he/she performed within the last 15 years? If yes, the client is ineligible for MA. If no, the analysis continues to Step 5. 20 CFR 416.920(e).
- 5. Does the client have the Residual Functional Capacity (R FC) to perform other work according to the guidelines set forth at 20 CFR 404, Subpart P, Appendix 2, Sec tions 200.00-204.00? If yes, the analysis ends and the client is in eligible for MA. If no, MA is approved. 20 CFR 416.920(f).

At Step 1, claimant is not engaged in substantial gainful activity and has not worked since

2004. Claimant is not disqualified from receiving disability at Step 1.

The objective medical evidence on the record indicates that a mental residual functional capacity assessment in the record, dated September 3, 2009, indicates that claimant is not significantly limited in most areas and only moderately limited in the ability to make simple, work-related decisions, the ability to complete a normal work day and work week without interruptions from psychologically-based symptoms and to perform at a consistent pace without an unreasonable number and length of rest periods, and in the ability to set realistic goals or make plans independently of others. (Pages 11-12)

A medical examination report indicates that as of August 31, 2009, claimant was 6' 2" tall and weighed 290 pounds. His blood pressure was 136/83 and he is right-hand dominant. He was normal in all areas of examination and with no mental limitations. (Pages 7-8)

A December 23, 2009 MRI indicates that claimant had a slight retrolisthesis of L4 on L5. There is a Grade I spondylolisthesis of L5 on S1, accompanied by very mild degenerative changes and probably bilateral spondylosis. There was no evidence of an acute fracture or other spondylolisthesis. There is mild to very mild impingement upon the neural foramen bilaterally at L2-3 and L3-4 level, secondary to mild diffuse posterior disc bulging and mild degenerative change. At the L4-5 level, there is diffuse posterior disc bulging, probably accompanied by a thin broad-based disc herniation in the mid-line, which is a bit more eccentric to the right. There is mild impingement upon the neural foramen and mild to moderate impingement upon the right. At the L5-S1 disc level, there is diffuse posterior disc bulging accompanied by a thin, broad-based disc herniation extending from the mid-line eccentrically to the left. This does not produce spinal stenosis. There is moderate impingement upon the right neural foramen and moderate to severe impingement upon the left. There is no evidence of disc herniation or spinal stenosis to the lumbar spine. The neural foramen are otherwise patent bilaterally. (Pages 1-2, New Information)

Claimant testified on the record that he lives in Section VIII housing and lives alone in an apartment. Claimant is single with no children under 18, and he receives Food Assistance Program benefits in the Adult Program. Claimant does have a driver's license but does not have any vehicle. He normally takes the bus. Claimant cooks one or two times a day per day and cooks things like rice, pasta and eggs and he grocery shops one time per month with no help. Claimant does clean his home by doing dishes, laundry and vacuuming. His hobby is growing plants. Claimant testified that he can stand for 10 to 15 minutes at a time and can sit for ½ an hour at a time. Claimant testified that he can walk a block, squat, bend at the waist, shower and dress himself, toilet, tie his shoes and touch his toes. Claimant stated that his level of pain on a scale from 1 to 10 without medication was a 7, and with medication is a 5 to a 6. Claimant is

right-handed, and his right wrist is fused and his fingertips are numb. Claimant testified that the heaviest weight he can carry is 10 pounds for a short distance, and that he doesn't smoke or drink, but he does see a psychiatrist and therapist.

At Step 2, claimant has the burden of proof of establishing that he has a severely restrictive physical or mental impairment that has lasted or is expected to last for the duration of at least 12 months. There is insufficient objective clinical medical evidence in the record that claimant suffers a severely restrictive physical or mental impairment. Claimant has reports of pain in most areas of his body; however, there are insufficient corresponding clinical findings that support the reports of symptoms and limitations made by the claimant. Although the claimant does have some back problems, there is no clinical impression that he is deteriorating. There is no medical finding that claimant has any muscle atrophy or trauma, abnormality or injury that is consistent with a deteriorating condition. In short, claimant has restricted himself from tasks associated with occupational functioning based upon his reports of pain (symptoms) rather than medical findings. Reported symptoms are an insufficient basis upon which a finding that claimant has met the evidentiary burden of proof can be made. This Administrative Law Judge finds that the medical record is insufficient to establish claimant has a severely restrictive physical impairment.

There is insufficient objective medical/psychiatric evidence in the record indicating claimant suffers mental limitations resulting from his reportedly depressed state. The mental residual functional capacity assessment in the file indicates that claimant is not significantly limited in most areas and only moderately limited in a few areas. For these reasons, this Administrative Law Judge finds that the record is insufficient to find that claimant suffers a severely restrictive mental impairment. The Administrative Law Judge finds that claimant has

failed to meet the burden of proof at Step 2. Claimant must be denied benefits at this step based upon his failure to meet the evidentiary burden.

If claimant had not been denied at Step 2, the analysis would proceed to Step 3 where the medical evidence of claimant's condition does not give rise to a finding that he would meet a statutory listing in the code of federal regulations.

If claimant had not already been denied at Step 2, this Administrative Law Judge would have to deny him again at Step 4 based upon his ability to perform his past relevant work. Claimant's past relevant work was light or medium. As a night stocker at Kroger does not require strenuous physical exertion, there is insufficient medical evidence upon which this Administrative Law Judge could base a finding that claimant is unable to perform work in which he has engaged in, in the past. Therefore, if claimant had not already been denied at Step 2, he would be denied again at Step 4.

The Administrative Law Judge will continue to proceed through the sequential evaluation process to determine whether or not claimant has the residual functional capacity to perform some other less strenuous tasks than in his prior jobs.

At Step 5, the burden of proof shifts to the department to establish that claimant does not have residual functional capacity.

The residual functional capacity is what an individual can do despite limitations. All impairments will be considered in addition to ability to meet certain demands of jobs in the national economy. Physical demands, mental demands, sensory requirements and other functions will be evaluated.... 20 CFR 416.945(a).

To determine the physical demands (exertional requirements) of work in the national economy, we classify jobs as sedentary, light, medium and heavy. These terms have the same

meaning as they have in the *Dictionary of Occupational Titles*, published by the Department of Labor... 20 CFR 416.967.

Sedentary work. Sedentary work involves lifting no more than 10 pounds at a time and occasionally lifting or carrying articles like docket files, ledgers, and small tools. Although a sedentary job is defined as one which involves sitting, a certain amount of walking and standing is often necessary in carrying out job duties. Jobs are sedentary if walking and standing are required occasionally and other sedentary criteria are met. 20 CFR 416.967(a).

Light work. Light work involves lifting no more than 20 pounds at a time with frequent lifting or carrying of objects weighing up to 10 pounds. Even though the weight lifted may be very little, a job is in this category when it requires a good deal of walking or standing, or when it involves sitting most of the time with some pushing and pulling of arm or leg controls.... 20 CFR 416.967(b).

Claimant has submitted insufficient objective medical evidence that he lacks the residual functional capacity to perform some other less strenuous tasks than in his prior employment or that he is physically unable to do light or sedentary tasks if demanded of him. Claimant's activities of daily living do not appear to be very limited and he should be able to perform light or sedentary work even with his impairments. Claimant has failed to provide the necessary objective medical evidence to establish that he has a severe impairment or combination of impairments which prevent him from performing any level of work for a period of 12 months. The claimant's testimony as to his limitations indicates that he should be able to perform light or sedentary work.

There is insufficient objective medical/psychiatric evidence contained in the file of depression or a cognitive dysfunction that is so severe that it would prevent claimant from working at any job. Claimant's complaints of pain, while profound and credible, are out of

proportion to the objective medical evidence contained in the file as it relates to claimant's ability to perform work. Therefore, this Administrative Law Judge finds that the objective medical evidence on the record does not establish that claimant has no residual functional capacity. Claimant is disqualified from receiving disability at Step 5 based upon the fact that he has not established by objective medical evidence that he cannot perform light or sedentary work even with his impairments.

The department's Program Eligibility Manual contains the following policy statements and instructions for caseworkers regarding the State Disability Assistance program: to receive State Disability Assistance a person must be disabled, caring for a disabled person or age 65 or older. BEM, Item 261, p. 1. Because the claimant does not meet the definition of disabled under the MA-P program and because the evidence of record does not establish that claimant is unable to work for a period exceeding 90 days, the claimant does not meet the disability criteria for State Disability Assistance benefits either.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, decides that the department has appropriately established on the record that it was acting in compliance with department policy when it denied claimant's application for Medical Assistance, retroactive Medical Assistance and State Disability Assistance benefits. The claimant should be able to perform a wide range of light or sedentary work even with his impairments. The department has established this case by a preponderance of the evidence.

Accordingly, the department's decision is AFFIRMED.

<u>/s/</u>
Landis Y. LainAdministrative Law Judge
for Ismael Ahmed, DirectorDepartment of Human Services

Date Signed: <u>May 19, 2010</u>

Date Mailed: __May 20, 2010______

NOTICE: Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hearings will not o rder a rehe aring or re consideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a tim ely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

LYL/cv

