# STATE OF MICHIGAN STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES

## ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

,

Claimant

Reg. No.: 2010-8512

Issue No.: 2009

Case No.:

Load No.:

Hearing Date: February 1, 2010

Oakland County DHS (04)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Linda Steadley Schwarb

#### HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a hearing was held on February 1, 2010. Claimant appeared and testified. Claimant was represented by

#### <u>ISSUE</u>

Did the Department of Human Services (DHS or department) properly determine that claimant is not "disabled" for purposes of the Medical Assistance (MA-P) program?

#### FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

 On December 30, 2008, an application was filed on claimant's behalf for MA-P benefits. The application requested MA-P retroactive to September of 2008.

- 2) On February 25, 2009, the department denied claimant's application for benefits based upon the belief that claimant did not meet the requisite disability criteria.
- 3) On May 22, 2009, a hearing request was filed to protest the department's determination.
- 4) Claimant, age 41, has a seventh-grade education.
- 5) Claimant last worked in February of 2007 as a laundromat attendant. Claimant has also performed relevant work as a school custodian, fast food worker, and motel housekeeper. Claimant's relevant work history consists exclusively of unskilled work activities.
- 6) Claimant has a history of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, tobacco abuse, obesity, and crack cocaine/marijuana use.
- 7) Claimant was hospitalized following a gunshot wound to the abdomen. She underwent an exploratory laparotomy which was negative for intraperitoneal injury.
- 8) Claimant was re-hospitalized . Following complaints of severe pain and drainage from the abdominal wound, she underwent primary closure of the abdominal dehiscence wound.
- 9) Claimant was re-hospitalized with septicemia. Her secondary diagnosis was pneumonia.
- 10) Claimant sought emergency room treatment on discharge diagnosis was acute exacerbation of chronic pain and drug-seeking behavior.

- Claimant sought emergency room treatment on diagnoses. Her discharge diagnoses included acute on chronic pain exacerbation and possible drug-seeking behavior.
- 12) Claimant has had no further hospitalizations.
- Claimant currently suffers from chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, obesity, degenerative disc disease of the lumbar spine, and tobacco abuse (per her testimony, 1.5 to 2 packs per day).
- Claimant has severe limitations upon her ability to walk or stand for prolonged periods of time and/or lift extremely heavy objects. Claimant's limitations have lasted or are expected to last twelve months or more.
- 15) Claimant's complaints and allegations concerning her impairments and limitations, when considered in light of all objective medical evidence, as well as the record as a whole, reflect an individual who, at the very least, has the physical and mental capacity to engage in simple, unskilled, sedentary work activities on a regular and continuing basis.

#### CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Program Administrative Manual (PAM), the Program Eligibility Manual (PEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

Federal regulations require that the department use the same operative definition for "disabled" as used for Supplemental Security Income (SSI) under Title XVI of the Social Security Act. 42 CFR 435.540(a).

"Disability" is:

...the inability to do any substantial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical or mental impairment which can be expected to result in death or which has lasted or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months ... 20 CFR 416.905

In general, claimant has the responsibility to prove that she is disabled. Claimant's impairment must result from anatomical, physiological, or psychological abnormalities which can be shown by medically acceptable clinical and laboratory diagnostic techniques. A physical or mental impairment must be established by medical evidence consisting of signs, symptoms, and laboratory findings, not only claimant's statement of symptoms. 20 CFR 416.908; 20 CFR 416.927. Proof must be in the form of medical evidence showing that the claimant has an impairment and the nature and extent of its severity. 20 CFR 416.912. Information must be sufficient to enable a determination as to the nature and limiting effects of the impairment for the period in question, the probable duration of the impairment and the residual functional capacity to do work-related physical and mental activities. 20 CFR 416.913.

In determining whether an individual is disabled, 20 CFR 416.920 requires the trier of fact to follow a sequential evaluation process by which current work activity, the severity of the impairment(s), residual functional capacity, and vocational factors (i.e., age, education, and work experience) are assessed in that order. When a determination that an individual is or is not disabled can be made at any step in the sequential evaluation, evaluation under a subsequent step is not necessary.

First, the trier of fact must determine if the individual is working and if the work is substantial gainful activity. 20 CFR 416.920(b). In this case, claimant is not working. Therefore, claimant may not be disqualified for MA at this step in the sequential evaluation process.

Secondly, in order to be considered disabled for purposes of MA, a person must have a severe impairment. 20 CFR 416.920(c). A severe impairment is an impairment which significantly limits an individual's physical or mental ability to perform basic work activities. Basic work activities means the abilities and aptitudes necessary to do most jobs. Examples of these include:

- (1) Physical functions such as walking, standing, sitting, lifting, pushing, pulling, reaching, carrying or handling;
- (2) Capacities for seeing, hearing, and speaking;
- (3) Understanding, carrying out, and remembering simple instructions;
- (4) Use of judgment;
- (5) Responding appropriately to supervision, co-workers and usual work situations; and
- (6) Dealing with changes in a routine work setting. 20 CFR 416.921(b).

The purpose of the second step in the sequential evaluation process is to screen out claims lacking in medical merit. *Higgs v. Bowen* 880 F2d 860, 862 (6<sup>th</sup> Cir, 1988). As a result, the department may only screen out claims at this level which are "totally groundless" solely from a medical standpoint. The *Higgs* court used the severity requirement as a "*de minimus* hurdle" in the disability determination. The *de minimus* standard is a provision of a law that allows the court to disregard trifling matters.

In this case, claimant has presented the required medical data and evidence necessary to support a finding that she has significant physical limitations upon her ability to perform basic work activities such as walking and standing for prolonged periods of time and/or lifting extremely heavy objects. Medical evidence has clearly established that claimant has an impairment (or combination of impairments) that has more than a minimal effect on claimant's work activities. See Social Security Rulings 85-28, 88-13, and 82-63.

In the third step of the sequential consideration of a disability claim, the trier of fact must determine if the claimant's impairment (or combination of impairments) is listed in Appendix 1 of Subpart P of 20 CFR, Part 404. This Administrative Law Judge finds that the claimant's medical record will not support a finding that claimant's impairment(s) is a "listed impairment" or equal to a listed impairment. See Appendix 1 of Subpart P of 20 CFR, Part 404, Part A. Accordingly, claimant cannot be found to be disabled based upon medical evidence alone. 20 CFR 416.920(d).

In the fourth step of the sequential consideration of a disability claim, the trier of fact must determine if the claimant's impairment(s) prevents claimant from doing past relevant work. 20 CFR 416.920(e). It is the finding of this Administrative Law Judge, based upon the medical evidence and objective, physical and psychological findings, that claimant is not capable of the prolonged walking and standing and/or heavy lifting required by her past employment. Claimant has presented the required medical data and evidence necessary to support the finding that she is not, at this point, capable of performing such work.

In the fifth step of the sequential consideration of a disability claim, the trier of fact must determine if the claimant's impairment(s) prevents claimant from doing other work.

20 CFR 416.920(f). This determination is based upon the claimant's:

- (1) residual functional capacity defined simply as "what can you still do despite you limitations?" 20 CFR 416.945;
- (2) age, education, and work experience, 20 CFR 416.963-.965; and
- (3) the kinds of work which exist in significant numbers in the national economy which the claimant could perform despite his/her limitations. 20 CFR 416.966.

See Felton v DSS, 161 Mich. App 690, 696 (1987).

This Administrative Law Judge finds that claimant's residual functional capacity for work activities on a regular and continuing basis does, at the very least, include the ability to meet the physical and mental demands required to perform simple, unskilled, sedentary work. Sedentary work is defined as follows:

Sedentary work involves lifting no more than 10 pounds at a time and occasionally lifting or carrying articles like docket files, ledgers, and small tools. Although a sedentary job is defined as one which involves sitting, a certain amount of walking and standing is often necessary in carrying out job duties. Jobs are sedentary if walking and standing are required occasionally and other sedentary criteria are met. 20 CFR 416.967(a).

There is insufficient objective medical evidence, signs, and symptoms to support a determination that claimant is incapable of performing the physical and mental activities necessary for a wide range of sedentary work. In this matter, claimant was hospitalized following a gunshot wound to the abdomen. She underwent an exploratory laparotomy which was negative for intraperitoneal injury. Claimant was re-hospitalized following complaints of pain and drainage from the abdominal wound. She underwent primary closure of the abdominal dehiscence wound and was placed on IV antibiotics. Claimant was hospitalized with a diagnosis of septicemia as well as pneumonia. Thereafter, claimant sought emergency room

. Her discharge diagnosis was acute exacerbation of chronic pain and drug-seeking behavior. She again sought emergency room treatment on

On that occasion she was diagnosed with acute on chronic pain exacerbation with possible drug-seeking behavior. Claimant had a CT of the lumbar spine performed on

The CT documented multi-level facet arthritis and degenerative changes with multi-level central canal stenosis and a broad-based disc bulge at L5-S1. Claimant had no hospital contact in

Claimant currently suffers with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, obesity, degenerative disc disease of the lumbar spine, and tobacco abuse (per claimant's testimony, she smokes 1.5 to 2 packs per day). Claimant arrived at the hearing room with a walker. Medical records indicate that the walker was provided to her during her hospitalization from

At that point, she was instructed to walk with the walker and carry no heavy weights of more than twenty pounds for two weeks after her discharge. The record does not support the contention that, at this point, claimant requires the use of a walker or any assistive device for ambulation. A review of claimant's hospital records and test results have failed to establish limitations which would compromise claimant's ability to perform a wide range of sedentary work activities on a regular and continuing basis. See Social Security Rulings 83.10 and 96.9p. The record fails to support the position that claimant is incapable of sedentary work.

Considering that claimant, at age 41, is a younger individual, has a seventh-grade education, has an unskilled work history, and has a sustained work capacity for sedentary work, this Administrative Law Judge finds that claimant's impairments do not prevent her from engaging in other work. As a guide, see 20 CFR, Part 404, Subpart P, Appendix 2, Table 1, Rule

201.24. Accordingly, the undersigned must find that claimant is not presently disabled for purposes of the MA program.

#### **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, decides that the Department of Human Services properly determined that claimant is not "disabled" for purposes of the Medical Assistance program. Accordingly, the department's determination in this matter is hereby affirmed.

Linda Steadley Schwarb
Administrative Law Judge
for Ismael Ahmed, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: March 29, 2010

Date Mailed: March 30, 2010

**NOTICE:** Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hearings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

### LSS/pf

cc:

