STATE OF MICHIGAN STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



| Reg. No: | 2010-8351 |
|--------------------|-----------|
| Issue No: | 2014 |
| Case No: | |
| Load No: | |
| Hearing Date: | |
| July 8, 2010 | |
| Genesee County DHS | |

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Landis Y. Lain

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Admini strative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon claimant's request for a hearing. After due notic e, a telephone hearing was held on July 8, 2010. Claimant personally appeared and testified.

ISSUE

Did the Department of Human Services (the department) properly deny claimant's Medical As sistance (MA-P) benefits based upon its' determination that claimant had excess income and a deductible spend-down?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- (1) Claimant was receiving Medical Assistance benefits.
- (2) The claimant's Adult Medical As sistance was reviewed and updated on the new computer system BRIDGES on October 6, 2009.
- (3) The BRIDGES system did determi ne that claimant has a Medical Assistance excess income for full Me dical Assistanc e eligib ility and a deductible spend-down.
- (4) On October 21, 2009, the department caseworker sent claimant notice that she would have a spend-down effective December 1, 2009.
- (5) On October 30, 2009, claimant filed a request for a hearing to contest the department's negative action.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is estab lished by Title XIX of the Social Sec urity Act and is implemented by T itle 42 of the C ode of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

Michigan provides Medical Assist ance Michigan provides MA eligible clients under two general classifications: Group 1 and Group 2 MA. Claimant qualified under the Group 2 classification which consists of clients whose eligibility results from the state designating certain types of individuals as medically needy. BEM, Item 105. In order to qualify for Group 2 MA, a medically needy client must have income that is equal to or less than the basic protected monthly income level.

Department policy sets forth a method for de termining the basis maintenance level by considering:

- 1. The protected income level,
- 2. The amount diverted to dependents,
- 3. Health insurance and premiums, and
- 4. Remedial services if determining the eligibility for claimants in adult care homes.

If the claim ant's income exceeds the protected income level, the excess income must be used to pay medical expenses before Group 2 MA coverage can begin. This process is known as a spend-down. The policy requires the department to count and budget all income received that is not specifically excluded. There are three main types of income: countable earned, countable un earned, and excluded. Earned income means incom e received from another person or organization or from self-employment for duties that were performed for remuneration or profit. Unearned income is any income that is not earned. The amount of income counted may be more than the amount a person actually receives, because it is the amount bef ore deduc tions are taken, including the deductions for taxes and garnishments. The amount before any deductions are taken is called the gross amount. PEM, Item 500, p. 1. Sometimes policy deems someone's income (or a portion of income) availabl e to another person. Deeming rules are are automatically calculated. BEM, programmed into Bridges and deemed amounts 503, page 4 **Child Support** is money paid by an absent parent(s) for the living expenses of a child(ren). Medical, dental, child care and educational expenses may also be included. Court-ordered ch ild support may be either certified or direct. Certified support is retained by the state due to the ch ild's FIP activity. Direct support is paid t o

the client. Child support is inc ome to the child for whom the support is paid. BEM, 503, page 5. Gross inc ome includes amounts withheld from inco me which are any of the following:

- Voluntary.
- To repay a debt.
- To meet a legal obligation.

Some examples of amounts which may be withheld, but are still considered part of gross income are:

- Income taxes.
- Health or life insurance premiums.
- Medicare premiums.
- Union dues.
- Loan payments.
- Garnishments.
- Court-ordered or voluntary child support payments. BEM, Item 500, page 3.

In the instant case, the Department determined that claimant was receiving monthly RSDI income of per SOLQ. Claimant pays out of state child's upport in the amount of from monthly that is deducted from RSDI income. The department determined that claimant is ineligible to receive Ad-Care/QMB because of excess monthly income. The department, in the inst ant case, calculated the claimant's income based upon his receipt of from the ingroup of the superior of the

After giving claimant the appropriate **\$** deduction claimant was left with a net monthly income of **\$** (Department Exhibit C) The Administrative Law J udge has reviewed the records and the exhibits and finds that the fiscal Group's net income after being provided with the most beneficial un-earned income deduction was **\$** for the determination of the Medi cal Assistanc e monthly protected income lev els. The department, in this case, is in compliance with the Program Reference Manual, Tables , Charts & Schedules, table 240- 1. Table 240-1 indic ates that the claimant's monthly protected income lev el for a per son in claimant 's fiscal group is, in claimant's situation for a group of 1 person, is **\$** per month, which leaves him with excess income in the

amount of \$ The department s determination that claimant had exc ess income for purposes of Medical Assistance eligibility is correct.

Deductible spend-down is a process which allows a customer with excess income to become eligible for Group 2 MA, if sufficient allowable medical expenses are incurred. BEM, Item 545, p. 1. Meeting the deductible spend-down means reporting and verifying allowable medical expenses that equal or exceed the spend-down/deductible for the calendar month tested. BEM, Item 545, p. 9. The Group must report expenses by the last day of the 3 rd month following the month it wants MA coverage for that period . BEM, Item 130, explains verification and time limit standards. BEM, Item 545, p. 9. The departments determination that claimant had a spend-dow n of \$ per month is correct.

Claimant testified on the record that the spend-down is unfair and too expens ive because for 1, her father pays his spend-do wn he will not have enough mon ey to pay anything beyond his rent.

This Administrative Law Judge finds t hat the department has established by t he necessary competent, material and substantial evidence on the record that it was acting in compliance with department policy when it determined that claimant had exces s income for purposes Medical As sistance benefit eligibility and when it determined that claimant had a Medicaid deductible spend-down in the amount of **\$** per month.

Claimant, in this cas e, makes a compelli ng equitable argument to be excused from department policy.

The claimant's grievance centers on dissatisfaction with the department's current policy. The claim ant's request is not within the scope of authority del egated to this Administrative Law Judge pursuant to a wr itten directive signed by the Department of Human Services Director, which states:

Administrative Law J udges hav e no aut hority to make decisions on constitutional gr ounds, ov errule statutes, overrule promulgated regulations or overrule or make exceptions to the department policy set out in the program manuals.

Furthermore, administrative adjudication is an exercise of execut ive power r ather than judicial power, and restricts the granting of equitable remedies . *Michigan Mutual Liability Co. v Baker*, 295 Mich 237; 294 NW 168 (1940). The Administrative Law Judge has no equity power s in this case and cannot act outside of department policy.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon t he above findings of fact and conclusion s of law, dec ides that t he department has established by the necessary c ompetent,

material and substantial ev idence on the r ecord that it was acting in com pliance with department policy when it determined that claimant had excess income for purposes of Medical Assistance benefit elig ibility and when it determined that claimant had a monthly deductible spend-down.

Accordingly, the department's decision is AFFIRMED.

Landis

<u>/s/</u> Y. Lain Administrative Law Judge for Ismael Ahmed, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: <u>August 31, 2010</u>

Date Mailed: September 2, 2010

NOTICE: Administrative Hearings may or der a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at t he request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hear ings will not orde r a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

LYL/alc

cc: