STATE OF MICHIGAN STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES

ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

Claimant

Reg No: Issue No: Case No: Load No: Hearing Date: January 20, 2010



Wayne County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Jeanne M. VanderHeide

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon the Claimant's request for hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was conducted from Detroit, Michigan on January 20, 2010. The Claimant was present and , Case Manager from testified. also appeared on behalf of Claimant. Tammy Guyton, ES Worker and Elaine Harris, FIM, appeared on behalf of the Department.

ISSUE

Whether the Department properly calculated Claimant's Food Assistance Program ("FAP") case on effective 6/1/09?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. Claimant was an active FAP recipient.

- The Department recalculated Claimant's FAP budget to include unearned income, child support and shelter deduction for a group size of two effective 6/1/09.
- The unearned income amount utilized by the Department did not take into account SSI recoupment from Claimant's SSI check beginning in May of 2009.
- 4. Claimant's rent obligation decreased from \$550 per month to \$342 per month effective October 1, 2009.
- 5. At the hearing, the Department agreed to recalculate Claimant's FAP benefits from May, 2009 forward utilizing the actual amount of income received and the actual shelter expenses.
- 6. As a result of this agreement, Claimant indicated that she no longer wished to proceed with a hearing.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) (formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program) is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the FAP program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MAC R 400.3001-3015. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (PAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (PEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

Under Bridges Administrative Manual Item 600, clients have the right to contest any agency decision affecting eligibility or benefit levels whenever they believe the decision is illegal. The agency provides an Administrative Hearing to review the decision and determine if it is appropriate. Agency policy includes procedures to meet the minimal requirements for a fair hearing. Efforts to clarify and resolve the client's concerns start when the agency receives a hearing request and continues through the day of the hearing.

In the present case, the department has agreed to reopen Claimant's FAP case and recalculate FAP benefits as of May, 2009 utilizing actual unearned income received and actual shelter expenses. As a result of this agreement, Claimant indicated she no longer wished to proceed with the hearing. Since the Claimant and the Department have come to an agreement it is unnecessary for this Administrative Law Judge to make a decision regarding the facts and issues in this case.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the findings of fact and conclusions of law, finds that the Department and Claimant have come to a settlement regarding claimant's request for a hearing.

Accordingly, it is ORDERED that:

- 1. The Department shall recalculate Claimant's FAP benefits May, 2009 forward utilizing actual unearned income received and actual shelter expenses in accordance with this settlement agreement.
- 2. The Department shall supplement the Claimant for any lost benefits she was otherwise entitled to receive.

000

Jeanne M. VanderHeide Administrative Law Judge for Ismael Ahmed, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 02/23/10

Date Mailed: 03/04/10

NOTICE: Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hearings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 60 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

JV/dj

cc: