

**STATE OF MICHIGAN**  
**STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES**  
**ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE**  
**DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES**

**IN THE MATTER OF:**

[REDACTED]

Reg. No.: 2010-56030  
Issue No.: 6004  
Case No.: [REDACTED]  
Load No.: [REDACTED]  
Hearing Date: December 2, 2010  
Wayne County SOAHR (69)

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE:** Colleen M. Mamelka

**HEARING DECISION**

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon the Petitioners' request for a hearing. After due notice, a hearing was held in Detroit, Michigan on Thursday, December 2, 2010. The Petitioners appeared and testified. [REDACTED] appeared on behalf of the Department.

**ISSUE**

Whether the Department properly denied the Petitioners' Adoption Support Subsidy application?

**FINDINGS OF FACT**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. On June 22, 2009, the Department received the Petitioners' Adoption Support Subsidy/Nonrecurring Adoption Expenses Application.
2. On this same date, the Department received the Petitioners' Adoption Assistance and Nonrecurring Adoption Expenses Intent Statement.
3. On July 30, 2009, the Department sent the denial notice to the Petitioners.
4. On August 6, 2009, the Petitioners signed the Notice of Adoption Support Subsidy/Nonrecurring Adoption Expenses Denial and Right to Appeal.
5. On March 1, 2010, the Order Placing Child (D.O.B. January 18, 2007) into Adoption/Order of Adoption was entered.

6. On March 29, 2010, the Department received the Petitioners timely written request for hearing.

### **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

The Adoption Subsidy program is established by MCL 400.115, *et seq.*, and is administered by the Department of Human Services (“DHS” - formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.* Department policies regarding adoption subsidy are found in the Child & Family Services Manual (“CFS”). The federal law upon which Michigan law is based is Title IV-E of the Social Security Act, Section 473(c).

The State of Michigan administers three adoption subsidy programs; Adoption Support Subsidy, Adoption Medical Subsidy, and Nonrecurring Adoption Expenses Reimbursement. CFS 100 The purpose of support and medical subsidies is to remove financial barriers to the adoption of Michigan foster children with special needs. CFS 100; MCL 400.115f The purpose of the nonrecurring adoption expense reimbursement program is to assist in paying the out-of-pocket expenses of adoption of special needs children. Based on each individual child’s situation and needs, one or more of the subsidy benefits may be available to support the adoption. Some children do not qualify for any subsidy program based on their individual circumstances. Subsidy is available without respect to the income of the adoptive parent(s). CFS 100; MCL 400.115g(2) The adoption support subsidy is intended to assist with the payment of expenses of caring for and raising the child and is not intended to meet all of the costs of raising the child. CFS 100 The money grant program provides assistance to adoptive parents in certain defined and limited ways. CFS 100 A support subsidy is a monthly payment to the parent or parents of an eligible adopted child. CSM 100

At the time of eligibility determination, the child must be a “child with special needs” to be certified for an Adoption Support Subsidy. CFS 100; MCL 400.115g This means that the child must meet each of the following factors:

1. The child is under age 18 years
2. The court has determined that the child cannot or should not be returned to the home of the child’s parents, and
3. The child has one of the following specific factors/conditions:
  - a. The child is SSI eligible as determined by the Social Security Administration
  - b. The child has a special need for medical, mental health, or rehabilitative care that equals or exceeds the DHS foster care level 2 determination of care

- c. The child is age 3 years or greater
- d. The child has been in foster care for at least 2 years since the termination of parental rights and efforts to locate a family willing to adopt without subsidy have failed
- e. The parental rights for the child were terminated prior to August 1, 2002 and the child has lived with the prospective adoptive parent for 12 months or more
- f. The child is being adopted by a relative
- g. The child is being adopted by the parent(s) of his/her previously adopted sibling
- h. The child is a member of a sibling group being adopted together and at least one sibling group member qualifies for adoption support subsidy through the program

CFS 200; MCL 400.115f-.115g

In the present case, the Petitioner's requested an Adoption Support Subsidy. Prior to the adoption, the Petitioners received standard, as opposed to enhanced, foster care payments. There is no evidence to show that the Department abused its discretion in determining the child's level of care. The Department denied the application because the Petitioners' child could not be certified for a support subsidy. The Department specifically found that the child did not meet the certification condition for a "child with special needs." As detailed above, a child must meet one of the eight criteria (a – h) to be eligible. The Petitioners' child did not meet any of criteria thus could not be certified for an Adoption Support Subsidy. Ultimately, the Department established that it acted in accordance with department policy when it denied the Petitioners Adoption Support Subsidy application because the child did not meet the criteria for a special needs child. Accordingly, the Department's determination is AFFIRMED.

### **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law finds the Department acted in accordance with department policy when it denied the Petitioners' Adoption Support Subsidy application.

Accordingly, it is ORDERED:

2010-56030/CMM

The Department's determination is AFFIRMED.

*Colleen M. Mamelka*

---

Colleen M. Mamelka  
Administrative Law Judge  
For Ismael Ahmed, Director  
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 12/6/2010

Date Mailed: 12/6/2010

**NOTICE: Administrative Hearings may order a** rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hearings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

CMM/jlg

cc:

