# STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

Reg. No:

2010-55808

Issue No: Case No: 5025

Hearing Date: August 3, 2011 Delta County DHS



ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Suzanne L. Morris

# **HEARING DECISION**

This matter is before the undersigned Admini strative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon Clai mant's request for a hearing receiv ed on Sep tember 17, 2010. After due notic e, an in-person hearing was held on August 3, 2011. Claimant personally appeared and provided testimony.

# **ISSUE**

Did the department properly deny Claimant's State Emergency Relief (SER) application for past mortgage expenses because the housing was not affordable?

## FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- Claimant applied for State Emergency Relief (SER) assistance on September 9, 2010.
- 2. Claimant requested assi stance in paying his DTE/gas bill and m ortgage foreclosure expenses (the house was in foreclosure status).
- The department approved the DTE/energy request and denied the request for mortgage (foreclosure) assistance on September 10, 2010.
- 4. Claimant submitted a hearing request on September 17, 2010, protesting the denial of his SER application.

# **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

The regulations governing the hearing and appeal process for applicants and recipients of public assistance in Michigan are found in the Michigan Administrative Code, MAC R 400.901-400.951. An oppor tunity for a hearing shall be granted to an ap plicant who requests a hearing because his claim for assistance is denied. MAC R 400.903(1).

Clients have the right to c ontest a department decis ion affecting eligibility or benefit levels whenever it is believed that the decision is incorrect. BAM 600. The department will provide an administrative hearing to review the decision and determine the appropriateness. BAM 600.

The State Emergency Relief (S ER) program is established by 2004 PA 344. The SER program is administer ed pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and by final administrative rules filed with the Secretary of State on October 28, 1993. MAC R 400.7001-400.7049. Department of Human Services (DHS or department) policies are found in the State Emergency Relief Manual (SER). Department policy states:

SER helps to prevent loss of a home if no other resources are available and the home will be available to provide safe shelter for the SER group in the foreseeable future. ERM 304. Covered home ownership service es include property taxes and fees. Home ownership services payments are only issued to save a home threatened with loss due to:

- Mortgage foreclosure.
- Land contract forfeiture.
- Tax foreclosure or sale.
- Court-ordered eviction of a mobile home from land or a mobile home park.
- Repossession for failure to meet an installment loan payment for a mobile home. ERM 304.

Claimant requested assistance in paying his mortgage foreclosure bills. Home ownership service payments are only issued to save a home threatened with loss due to mortgage foreclosure, land contract forfeiture, tax foreclosure, or court ordered eviction of a mobile home from land or a mobile home park. ERM 304. Howev er, housing affordability is a condition of eligibility for SER and does apply to home ownership services. ERM 207. Policy instructs the department to multiply the group's total countable net countable income by 75 percent. The result is the maximum total housing obligation the group can have and receive SER assistance. ERM 207.

In this case, the claimant admits that hi s household had no inc ome at the time of his SER request. Thus, his maxim um total housing obligation the group can have and receive SER assistance is zero. Theref ore, any housing obligat ion is unaffordable under department policy. Thus, the department properly denied his SER application for home ownership services.

# **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusion sof law, decides that the department acted in accordance with policy in denying Claimant's State Emergency Relief (SER) application for pash through the mortgage expenses because the housing was not affordable. Accordingly, the department is UPHELD in its determination.

It is SO ORDERED.

\_\_/s/
Suzanne Morris
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: August 15, 2011

Date Mailed: August 15, 2011

**NOTICE**: Administrative Hearings may or der a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hear ings will not orde rarehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

### SLM/ac

cc: