STATE OF MICHIGAN

STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

Reg. No.: 2010-54649

Issue No.: 6019

Case No.: Load No.:

Hearing Date: October 18, 2010

Macomb County DHS (20)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Colleen M. Mamelka

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon the Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was conducted from Detroit, Michigan on Monday, October 18, 2010. The Claimant appeared and testified, along with appeared on behalf of the Department.

ISSUE

Whether the Department properly denied the Claimant's Child Development and Care ("CDC") application?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. On February 26, 2010, the Claimant submitted an application for public assistance seeking CDC benefits.
- 2. The Department sent the Claimant to the WorkFirst program despite the fact that the Claimant was working.
- 3. The Department denied the CDC application based on the failure to attend the WorkFirst program.
- 4. On April 16, 2010, the Department received the Claimant's timely written request for hearing.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Child Development and Care program is established by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and MAC R 400.5001-5015. Department policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual ("BAM"), the Bridges Eligibility Manual ("BEM") and the Bridges Reference Manual ("BRM").

The goal of CDC program is to preserve the family unit and to promote its economic independence and self-sufficiency by promoting safe, affordable, accessible, quality child care for qualified families. BEM 703 DHS may provide payment for child care services for qualifying families when the parent(s)/substitute parent(s) is unavailable to provide the child care because of employment, education, and/or because of a health/social condition for which treatment is being received and care is provided by an eligible provider. BEM 703 Child care may be provided in or out of the child(ren)'s home. BEM 704 Clients have the right to choose where the care will be provided as well as the type of child care provider they wish to use. BEM 704 In order for DHS to pay, care must be provided in Michigan by an eligible provider. BEM 704

In this case, the Claimant submitted a CDC application in February 2010. The Claimant was working at the time thus was not required to participate in the WorkFirst program in order to be approved for CDC benefits. During the hearing, the Department agreed that the denial was not proper and has agreed to re-open and process the application for the months of February through April. In light of the accord, there is no further issue to address.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law finds the Department's determination is not upheld.

Accordingly, it is ORDERED:

- 1. The Department's determination is not upheld.
- 2. The Department shall re-open and process the Claimant's February 2010 application in accordance with policy.

2010-54649/CMM

3. The Department shall supplement for lost benefits that the Claimant was entitled to receive if otherwise eligible and qualified.

Collein M. Mamilka

Colleen M. Mamelka Administrative Law Judge For Ismael Ahmed, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 10/19/2010

Date Mailed: 10/19/2010

<u>NOTICE</u>: Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hearings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

CMM/jlg

