# STATE OF MICHIGAN STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

Reg. No: 2010-53914 Issue No: 2009, 4031 Case No: Load No:

Hearing Date:

November 03, 2010 Mecosta County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Landis Y. Lain for Jana Bachman

# **HEARING DECISION**

This matter is before the undersigned Admini strative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon claimant's request for a hearing. After due notic e, a telephone hearing was held on November 3, 2010. Claimant personally appeared and testified.

## **ISSUE**

Whether the claimant meets the disability criteria for Medical As sistance (MA-P) and State Disability Assistance (SDA)?

## FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- (1) On June 18, 2010, claimant filed an application for Medical Assistance and State Disability Assistance benefits alleging disability.
- (2) On Augus t 16, 2010, the Medica I Rev iew Team denied c laimant's application stating that claimant could perform other work.
- (3) On August 26, 2010, the department case worker sent claimant notice that her application was denied.
- (4) On September 3, 2010, claimant f iled a r equest for a hearing to contest the department's negative action.
- (5) On September 23, 2010, the State Hearing Rev iew Team again denied claimant's application st ating in its' analysis and recommended decision: the objective medical evidence present does not establish a disability at

the listing or equiv alence level. The collective Medical evidence shows that the claimant is capable of performing a wide range of light work. The claimant's impairment's do not meet/equal the intent or severity of a Social Security listing. The medical evidence of record indicates that the claimant retains the capacity to perform a wide range of light work. Therefore, based on the claimant's vocational profile of a younger individual, high school education and an unskilled work history, MA-P is denied using Vocational Rule 202.20 as a guide. Retroactive MA-P was considered in this case and is also denied. SDA is denied per PEM 261 because the nature and severity of the claimant's impairment's would not preclude work activity at the above stated level for 90 days.

- (6) The hearing was held on November 3, 2010. At the hearing, claimant waived the time periods and request ed to submit additional medical information.
- On February 4, 2011, the State Hearing Review Team approved claimant (7) stating in its' analysis and recomme ndation: the objective medical evidence supports that the claimant's condition does not meet or equal any listing level criteria. However, the evidence does also support that the claimant would not be able to reasonably perform even sedentar exertional tasks. The claimant's impairments do not meet/equal the intent or severity of an appropriate Social Security listing. The medical evidence of record indicates that claimant retains the capacity to perform a less than sedentary exertional range of work. However, based on the claimant's vocational profile of 21 years old, a high school education and a history of no gainful employment, MA-P is approved using Vocational Rul 201.00(h) as a guide. Retroactive MA-p was considered in this case and is approved effective March 2010. SDA is approved in accordance with PEM 261. This case needs to be re view for continuing benefits in February 2010.
- (8) Claimant is a 2 1-year-old woman whose birth date is Claimant is 5'1" tall and weighs 113 pounds. Claimant is a high school graduate. Claimant is able to read and wr ite and does have basic math skills.
- (9) Claimant has no work history.
- (10) Claimant alleges as disabling impairments: broncho pulmonary dy splasia causing chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Her lung function results show FEB 1 of 1.24 and met Social Se curity criteria up to age 18 under 1.03.02 chronic obstructive pulmonary insufficiency FEB criteria.

#### **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

The State Disability A ssistance (SDA) program which provides financial assistance for disabled persons is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human Service s (DHS or department) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MAC R 400.3151-400.3180. Department polic ies are found in the Program Administrative Manual (PAM), the Program Eligibility Manual (PEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is estab lished by Title XIX of the Social Sec urity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the C ode of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

Because of the SHRT determination it is not necess ary for the Administrative Law Judge to discuss the issue of di sability per BAM, Item 600. The department is required to initiate a determination of claimant's financial eligibility for the requested benefits if not previously done.

## **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusion sof law, decides that the claimant meets the definition of medically disabled under the Medical Assistance and retroactive Medical Assistance program as of the June 18, 2010, application date and for the months of March, April and May 2010. Claimant also meets the definition of medically disabled under the State Disability Assistance program as of the June 18, 2010, application.

Accordingly, the department's decision is REVERSED. The depar tment is ORDERED to initiate a review of the June 18, 2010, application if it has not already done so to determine if all other non-medica. I eligib ility criteria are met for Medical. Assistance, retroactive Medical Assistance and Stat. e Disab ility Assistance be nefits. The department shall inform the claimant of a determination in writing.

It is also ORDERED that a medical review be conducted in February 2012. At review the following needs to be provided: prior medical packet; DHS-49, B, F, G; all hos pital and treating source notes and test results; all consultative examinations, including those purchased by the Social Security Administration/Disability Determination Service. Listings 2.02, 3.01 are to be considered in this determination.

Landis

Y. Lain

Administrative Law Judge

for Maura D. Corrigan, Director

Department of Human Services

Date Signed: February 15, 2011

Date Mailed: February 16, 2011

**NOTICE**: Administrative Hearings may or der a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hear ings will not orde rarehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

#### LYL/alc

cc: