STATE OF MICHIGAN STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

Reg. No:	201053623
Issue No:	2009
Case No:	
Load No:	
Hearing Date:	January 4, 2011
Wayne County DHS	

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Janice Spodarek

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9; and MCL 400.37 upon claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on 1/4/11.

<u>ISSUE</u>

Did the Department of Human Services (DHS) properly deny claimant's Medica I Assistance (MA)?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. On 4/7/10, claimant applied for MA with the Michigan Department of Human Services (DHS).
- 2. Claimant did not apply for retro MA.
- 3. On 8/26/10, the MRT denied.
- 4. On 8/31/10, the DHS issued notice.
- 5. On 9/7/10, claimant filed a hearing request.

- 6. Claimant has an SSI application pending with the Social Security Administration (SSA).
- 7. On 9/24/10, the State Hearing Review Team (SHRT) denied claimant.
- 8. As of the date of app lication, claimant was a 52-year-old male standing 6'4" tall and weighing 247 pounds. Under the medica I BMI index, claimant is class ified as obes e. Claimant has an Associates Degree which he described as a "technical degree."
- 9. Claimant does not have an alcohol/drug abuse problem or history. Claimant does not smoke.
- 10. Claimant has a driver's license and can drive a motor vehicle.
- 11. Claimant is not currently working. Claimant lists his work history as having worked as a computer technician most of his life. Claimant has not worked since 2007 per Exhibit 30, or 2008 per Ex hibit 5, when he tried to start his own business.
- 12. Claimant alleges disability on the basis of stroke, diabetes, high blood pressure, cholesterol.
- 13. Claimant exercises by walking one mile everyday.
- 14. The 9/24/10 SHRT findings and conc lusions of its decision are adopted and incorporated by reference to the following extent:

... work history as a computer technician, troubleshooter and a help desk technician (light/ sedentary work as performed in the national economy). ... medical summary: physical exam claimant was 76" tall weighing 247 pounds Alert and oriented to time, place and person. Heart functioning within normal limits. Blood pressure 136/74. Peripheral pulses present and equal bilaterally. Lungs c lear to auscultation. Abdomen soft ... gait normal. Straight leg raising sitting was normal. Range of motion of all major extremities normal. Grip strength and use of hands for fine and gross dexterity normal. Neurologic al eval normal. Hypertension and cholesterol medically managed with no r eported end organ damage. No stroke residuals noted per Exhibits 11-16. Denied per 20 CFR 416.920(e).

- 15. Claimant testified he has had four strokes si nce As noted by the SHRT evaluation no stroke residuals noted Exhibits 11-16.
- 16. Claimant testified that he engages in activities of daily livin g. Claimant does not need any assist ance with his bathroom and grooming needs. Claimant can dust, do dishes, and prepare meals.
- 17. Claimant complained that his diabetes is "really out of control" and that he has a "loss of memory." Medical ev idence does not support claimant's complaints. See Exhibit 5 indicating neg ative for diabetes mellitus; Exhibit 6 indic ating a history of diabet es non-insulin dependent. Claimant submitted a personal statement cont aining complaints which are not supported by the great weight of the medical evidence.
- 18. Claimant has restrictions with t he movement of his right arm/shoulder. Claimant testified that he cannot lift it all. Exhibit 10 notes that claimant's upper extremities are reported to be "normal." Per Exhibit 21 claimant has been diagnosed with adhesive capsulitis of the shoulder, right. There is no medical evidence to indicate that claimant's right shoulder prohibit s claimant from engaging in wo rk or work-lik e settings. Exhibit 32 indicates 90 degree range of motion.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is estab lished by Title XIX of the Social Sec urity Act and is implemented by T itle 42 of the C ode of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Program Administ rative Manual (PAM), the Program Eligibili ty Manual (PEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

In order to receive MA benefits based upon disa bility or blindness, claimant must be disabled or blind as defined in T itle XVI of the Social Security Act (20 CFR 416.901). DHS, being authorized to make such dis ability determinations, utilizes the SSI definition of disability when making medical decisions on MA applications. MA-P (disability), also is known as Medicaid, which is a program designated to help public assistance claimants pay their medical expenses. Mi chigan administers the federal Medicaid program. In assessing eligibility, Michigan utilizes the federal regulations.

Relevant federal guidelines provide in pertinent part:

"Disability" is:

...the inability to do any substant ial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical or mental impairment which can be expected to result in death or which has lasted or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months.... 20 CFR 416.905.

The federal regulations require t hat seve ral considerations be analyzed in s equential order:

...We follow a set order to determine whether y ou are disabled. We review any current work activity, the severity of your impairment(s), your resi dual functional capacity, your past work, and your age, educati on and work experience. If we can find that you are disabled or not disabled at any point in the review, we do not review your claim further.... 20 CFR 416.920.

The regulations require that if disability can be ruled out at any step, analysis of the next step is not required. These steps are:

- 1. If you are working and the work you are doing is substantial gainful activity, we will find that you are not dis abled regardless of your medical condition or your age, education, and work experienc e. 20 CFR 416.920(b). If no, the analysis continues to Step 2.
- 2. Does the client have a severe impairment that has lasted or is expected to last 12 months or more or result in deat h? If no, the client is ineligible for MA. If yes, the analysis continues to Step 3. 20 CFR 416.909(c).
- Does the impairment appear on a special Listing of Impairments or are the clie nt's symptoms, signs, and laboratory findings at least equiv alent in severity to the set of medical findings specified for the listed im pairment that meets the duration requirement? If no, the analysis continues to Step 4. If yes, MA is approved. 20 CFR 416.920(d).
- 4. Can the client do the former work that he/she performed within the last 15 years? If yes, the client is ineligible for MA. If no, the analys is continues to Step 5. Sections 200.00-204.00(f)?
- 5. Does the client hav e the Residual Func tional Capacity (RFC) to perform other work according to the guidelines set forth at 20 CFR 404, Subpart P, Appendix 2, Sections 200.00-204.00? This step consider s the residual functional capacity, age, education, and past work experience to see if

the client can do other work. If yes, the analysis ends and the client is ineligible for MA. If no, MA is a pproved. 20 CFR 416.920(g).

At application claimant has the burden of proof pursuant to:

...You must provide medical evidence showing that you have an impairment(s) and how severe it is during the time you say that you are disabled. 20 CFR 416.912(c).

Federal regulations are very specific regarding the type of medical evidence required by claimant to establish statutory disability. The regulations essent ially require laboratory or clinical medical re ports that corroborate claimant's claims or claimant's physicians' statements regarding disability. These regulations state in part:

...Medical reports should include --

- (1) Medical history.
- (2) Clinical findings (such as the results of physical or mental status examinations);
- (3) Laboratory findings (such as sure, X-rays);
- (4) Diagnosis (statement of di sease or injury based on its signs and symptoms).... 20 CFR 416.913(b).

...Statements about your pain or other symptoms will not alone establish that you are disabled; there must be medical signs and laboratory findings which s how that you have a medical impairment.... 20 CFR 416.929(a).

...The med ical evidence...mus t be complete and detailed enough to allow us to make a determination about whether you are disabled or blind. 20 CFR 416.913(d).

Medical findings consist of symptoms, signs, and laboratory findings:

(a) **Sy mptoms** are your own description of your physical or mental impairment. Y our statements alone are not enough to establish t hat there is a physic al or mental impairment.

- (b) Signs are anatomical, physiological, or psychological abnormalities which can be obs erved, apart from your statements (symptoms). Si gns must be shown by medically acceptable clinic al diagnostic t echniques. Psychiatric signs are medically demonstrable phenomena which indic ates pecific ps ychological abnormalities e.g., abnormalit ies of behavior, mood, thought, memory, orientat ion, development, or perception. They must al so be shown by observable facts that can be medically described and evaluated.
- (c) Laboratory findings are anatomical, phy siological, or psychological phenomena which can be s hown by the use of a medically accept able laboratory diagnostic techniques. Some of these diagnostic techniques include chemical tes ts, el ectrophysiological studies (electrocardiogram, electroencephalogram, etc.), roentgenological studies (X -rays), and psychologic al tests. 20 CFR 416.928.

It must allow us to determine ---

- (1) The nature and limiting effects of your impairment(s) for any period in question;
- (2) The probable duration of your impairment; and
- (3) Your residual functional capac ity to do work-related physical and mental activities. 20 CFR 416.913(d).

Information from other sour ces may also help us to understand how y our impairment(s) affects your ability to work. 20 CFR 416.913(e).

...You can only be found disabled if you are unable to do any substantial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical or ment al impairment which can be expected to result in death, or which has lasted or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less t han 12 months. See 20 CFR 416.905. Your impairment must result from anatomical, physiologi cal, or psyc hological abnormalities which are demonstrable by medically acceptable clinical and laborat ory diagnostic techniques.... 20 CFR 416.927(a)(1).

It is noted that Congress removed obesity from the Listing of Impai rments shortly after the removal of drug addition and alcoholism. This removal reflects the view that there is a strong behavioral component to obesity. Thus, obesity in-and-of itself is not sufficient to show statutory disability.

Applying the sequential analysis her ein, claimant is not inelig ible at the first step as claimant is not currently working. 20 CFR 416.920(b). The analysis continues.

The second step of the analysis looks at a two-fold assessment of duration and severity. 20 CFR 416.920(c). This second step is a *de min imus* standard. Ruling a ny ambiguities in claimant's favor, this Adm inistrative Law Judge (ALJ) finds that claimant meets both. The analysis continues.

The third step of the analysis looks at whet her an individual meets or equals one of the Listings of Impairments. 20 CFR 416.920(d). Claimant does not. The analys is continues.

The fourth step of the analysis looks at the ab ility of the ap plicant to return to past relevant work. This step ex amines the physical and mental dem ands of the work done by claimant in the past. 20 CFR 416.920(f).

In this case, this Administrative Law Judge concurs with the SHRT and the MRT decision in finding that claim ant is capable of returning to his past relevant work. Statutory disability requires a very high standard of medical evidence to indicate that an individual cannot work or return to past re levant work. Claimant has some e problems clearly—claimant has some diabetes issues, some symptoms d ue to his strokes, and some issues with his shoulder. However , the law requires a showing that the impairment or impairments have lasted and are expected to last 12 months or more and interfere with an individual's ability to engage in any work or any retraining for any work. The medical evidence in this case, taken as a whole, simply does not rise to that level of statutory disability.

As noted above, claimant has the burden of proof purs uant to 20 CFR 416.912(c). Federal and state law is guite specific with r egards to the type of evidenc e sufficient to show statutory disability. 20 CFR 416.913. This authority requires sufficient medical evidence to substantiate and c orroborate stat utory disability a s it is defined under 20 CFR 416.913(b), .913(d), and federal and state law. .913(e); BEM 260. Thes e medical findings must be c orroborated by m edical tests, labs, and other c orroborating medical evidence that substantiates di sability. 20 CFR 416. 927, .928. Moreover, compliance and sym ptoms of pain must be corroborated pursuant to 20 CF R 416.929(a), .929(c)(4), and .945(e). Claimant's medical evidence in this case, taken a s a whole, simply does not rise to statutory disability by meeting these federal and state requirements. 20 CFR 416.920; BEM 260, 261.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon t he above findings of fact and conclusion s of law, decides that the department's actions were correct.

Accordingly, the department's determination in this matter is UPHELD.

<u>/s/</u>

Janice Administrative Spodarek Law Judge for Duane Berger, Interim Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: <u>January 18, 2011</u>

Date Mailed: January 19, 2011

NOTICE: Administrative Hearings may or der a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at t he request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hear ings will not orde r a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the mailing date of the rehearing decision.

JS/vc

CC:

