STATE OF MICHIGAN STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No: 201052935

Issue No: 1005

Case No: Load No:

Hearing Date: 10/28/2010

Kalamazoo County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Kevin Scully

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administ rative Law Judge by authority of MC L 400.9 and MCL 400.37. Claimant's request fo r a hearing was r eceived on August 9, 2010. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on Thursday, October 28, 2010.

ISSUE

Whether the Department of Human Serv ices (Department) properly det ermined the Claimant's Family Independence Program (FIP) eligibility?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. The Claimant applied for FIP benefits on July 7, 2010.
- 2. On July 10, 2010, the Department sent the Claimant an Appointment Notice for an interview to take place on July 22, 20 10. This notice instructed the Claimant to bring her medical and identification documentation.
- 3. On July 30, 2010, the Department notified the Claimant that she was not eligible for FIP benefits because she failed to meet the interview requirements.
- 4. The Department received the Claimant's r equest for a hearing on Augus t 9, 2010, protesting the denial of FIP benefits.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconc iliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 8 USC 601, et seq. The Department of Human Services (DHS or Department) administers the FIP program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MAC R 400.3101-3131. The FIP program replaced the Ai d to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996. De partment policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Elig ibility Manual (BEM), Refe rence Table Manual (RF T), and the Bridges Reference Manual (BRM).

The Department must conduct an in-person in terview before determining eligibility to receive FIP benefits. BAM 115. An adult member of the FIP group must s ign the FIP application during this interview in the presence of the applicant's caseworker. BAM 115. If a FIP applicant does not show up for the initial interview, it becomes the responsibility of the applicant to reschedule the interview before 30 days have passed after submitting the FIP application. BAM 115.

In this case, the Claimant submitted an ap plication for FIP benefits. The Department scheduled an interview for July 22, 2010, but the Claimant did not attend this interview. No evidence was presented during the hear ing that the Claimant attempted to reschedule the interview.

The Claimant argued that she had good c ause for failing to attend the initial eligibility determination interview. The Claimant testified that she was unable to bring necess ary medical documentation to the Department's interview bec ause her physician had repeatedly rescheduled their appointment s. The Claimant testified that she felt that there was no reason to attend the interview without the necessary medical forms, which had to be completed by her treating physician.

The Department has established that the Claim ant failed to cooperat e in the eligibility determination process when she failed to attend or reschedule her interview on July 22, 2010.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, decides that the Department acted in accordance with policy in determining the Claimant's FIP eligibility.

The Department's FIP eligibility determination is AFFIRMED. It is SO ORDERED.

/s/	<u>_</u>
Kevin	Scully
	Administrative Law Judge
	for Duane Berger, Director
	Department of Human Services

Date Signed: January 12, 2011

Date Mailed: January 12, 2011

NOTICE: Administrative Hearings may or der a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hear ings will not orde rarehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 60 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

KS/alc

cc: